

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Rto 36

नहीं विल्ली, गनिवार, सितम्बर 5, 1981/मात्र 14, 1903

No. 36

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 1981/BHADRA 14, 1903

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संस्था की जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

> भाग II-- क्र पड 3-- जय- खण्ड (ii) PART II-Section 3-Sub-section (ii)

(एक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों द्वारा जारी किए गए सोविधिक झावेग ग्रीर ग्रीधसुचनाएं Statutory Orders and Notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence)

मंत्रीमण्डल सचिवालय

नई विल्ली, 26 जून, 1981

का० आ० 2300 -- मंत्रिमंडल सचिवालय के सुरक्षा स्कंध के, भर्ती, काडर भीर सेवा के नियम 21-10-75 को लागू हुए भीर उन्हें कर्म-चारियों के परिशीलन तथा निरीक्षण के लिए उक्त कार्यालयों में रखे गये थे । श्रद्ध पूनः उन्हें कर्मचारियों के परिशीलन तथा निरीक्षण के लिए उक्त कार्यालयों में रखा गया है।

> [अधिसूचना संख्या 1/1981] ए० चन्त्रशेखर, संगुक्त सचिव

CABINET SECRETARIAT

New Delhi, the 26th June, 1981

S.O. 2300.—The Rules relating to Recruitment, Cadre and Service of the Security Wing of the Cabinet Secretariat, which came into force on 21st October, 1975 and which were placed in the offices of the said Wing for perusal and inspection by the employees concerned, have been once again placed in the said offices for their perusal.

> [Notification No. 1/1981] A. CHANDRASEKHAR, Jt. Secy.

गृह मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 19 ग्रगस्त, 1981

का० आ० 2301.---सिख गुरुद्वारा चुनाव जांच नियमावली, 1925 के नियम 9 के उप-नियम (1) भीर नियम 10 द्वारा प्रदत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए राष्ट्रपति, चनाव क्षेत्र "82-करनाल" में मार्च, 1979 में हुए भिरोमणि गुरुद्वारा प्रबंधक कमेटी के चुनावों से संबंधित चुनाव याचिका में लगाए गए भारोपों की जांच करने के लिए एक जांच बोर्ड नियुक्त करते हैं जिसमें एकमान जिला तथा सन्न न्यायाधीश करनाल श्री एन० एस० राव होंगे, घौर यह निदेश देते हैं कि उक्त बार्ड का मुख्यालय करनाल में होगा।

> [संख्या एस० 130 15/3/80-एस० भार] मो॰ पी॰ कालड़ा, मवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 19th August, 1981

S.O. 2301.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subrule (1) of rule 9 and rule 10 of the Sikh Gurdwayge Election Enquiries Rules, 1925, the President is pleased Enquiry Board consisting of Shri N. S. Rao, Sessions Judge, Karnal, to hold an enon'

tions made in the election petition relating to the elections of the Shiromani Gurdwara Prabandhak Committee beld in March, 1979 in Constituency "82-Karnal", and to direct that the headquarters of the said Board shall be at Karnal.

[No. S-13015|5|80-SR]

O. P. KALRA, Under Secy.

वित्त मंत्रालय

(राजस्य विभाग)

केन्द्रीय प्रस्यक्ष कर बोर्ड

नई दिल्ली, 27 जुलाई, 1981

प्रायकर

कार आर 2302.—आयकर प्रधिनियम, 1961 (1961 का 43) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (44) के उप खण्ड (iii) का अनुसरण करते हुए तथा भारत सरकार के राजस्य विभाग की दिनांक 15 मई, 1980 की अधिसूचना संख्या 3297 (फार संर 398/1/80-आर कर सर कर) का प्रधिलंघन करतें हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्द्रारा श्री आर के भाष्ट्र को, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार के राजपन्नित प्रधिकारी हैं, उक्त प्रधिनियम के अन्तर्गत कर वसूनी अभिकारी की णक्तियों का प्रयोग करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती हैं।

2. यह मधिसूचना श्री भार० के० णाह द्वारा कर बसूली मधिकारी के पद का कार्यभार ग्रहण करने की तारीख से लागू होगी।

> [संह्य(4134/फा० से० 398/17/81-मा० फ० स० क०] एन० वॅकटरामन्, निदेशक

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

New Delhi, the 27th July, 1981

INCOME TAX

- S.O. 2302.—In pursuance of sub-clause (iii) of Clause (44) of Section 2 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), and in supersession of Notification of the Government of India in the Department of Revenue No. 3297 (F. No. 398|1|80-ITCC) dated 15-5-80, the Central Government hereby authorises Shri R. K. Shah, being a gazetted officer of the Central Government, to exercise the powers of a Tax Recovery Officer under the said Act.
- 2. This Notification shall come into force with effect from the date, Shri R. K. Shah takes over charge as Tax Recovery Officer.

[No. 4134/F. No. 398/17/81-ITCC] H. VENKATARAMAN, Director.

(आर्थिक कार्य विद्याग)

नई दिल्ली, 4 धगस्त, 1981

वैकिंग प्रमान

भा० आ० 2303.—प्रादेशिक प्राभीण कैंक प्रधित्यम, 1976 (1976 का 21) की धारा 11 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतवृद्धारा श्री कें विच्छांचर को चिन्नदुर्ग प्रामीण बैंक, चिन्नदुर्ग का प्रध्यक्ष तियुक्त करती है तथा 5 ग्रगस्त, 1981 से प्रारस्थ होकर 4 ग्रगस्त, 1984 को समाप्त होने वाली धनधि को न्यास्त कें स्प में विधारित करती है जिसके दौरान श्री कें चन्द्रशेखर के स्प में वार्थ करेंगे।

[संख्या एफ॰ 1-1/81-भार॰ भार॰ बी॰] विनेश चन्द्र, निदेशक

(Department of Economic Affairs)

New Delhi, the 4th August, 1981

BANKING DIVISION

S.O. 2303.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of section 11 of the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976 (21 of 1976), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri K. Chandra Shekhar as the Chairman of the Chitradurga Gramin Bank, Chitradurga and specifies the period commencing on the 5th August, 1981 and ending with the 4th August, 1984 as the period for which the said Shri K. Chandra Shekhar shall hold office as such Chairman.

[No. F. 1-1|81-RRB]

DINESH CHANDRA, Director.

नई विल्ली, 7 भगस्त, 1981

का ब्या 2304. — राष्ट्रपति, संविधान के प्रनुक्छेय 77 के खण्ड (3) के अनुसरण में निकीय गकिनयों का प्रत्यायोजन नियम, 1978 का संगोधम जरने के लिये निम्नलिखित मियम बनाते हैं, प्रथितः —

- (1) इन नियमों का संक्षिप्त नाम विलीय शक्तियों का प्रत्यायीजन (द्वितीय संशोधन) नियम, 1981 है;
 - (2) ये राजपक्त में प्रकाशन की तारीख को प्रवृत्त होंगे।
 - 2 वित्तीय शक्तियों का प्रत्यायोजन नियम, 1978 में:--
- (४४) नियम 21 के विद्यमान दितीय परन्तुक के स्थान पर निम्त-लिखित रखा जायेगा, धर्यातु:---

'परन्तु यह झौर कि पूर्ति विभाग की वमा में मारत में था विदेश में केन्द्रीय कथ संगठन द्वारा कथ के लिथे खण्ड (क), (ख) झौर (भ) के अधीन धन संबंधी परिसीमाएं वो करोड़ वपये होंगी।'

- (क) भनुसूची 5 के उपबन्ध में:----
- (क) कम संख्यांक 3 के सामने, स्तम्भ 4 में 'साधारण टिप्पणी' गीषंक के मधीन:——
- (क) विश्वमान पैरा (i) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित रखा आयेगा, अर्थात्:---
- "(i) किसी सरकारी सेवक को, चाहेवह प्रराजपित हो या राजपित क कपर पैरा (1) में जिल्लिखित घाधार पर प्रतिपूर्ति किये गये टैक्सी भाड़े या प्रत्य सवारी भाड़े की कुल रकम किसी भी एक मास में 75 रु० से अधिक तहीं होगी।"
- (ii) विद्यमान पैरा (vi) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित रखा जायेगा मर्थात्:----
- (vi) यदि किसी सरकारी सेवक को 8 बजे सायं धौर 6 बजे प्रातः के बीच बयूटी पर बुलाया जाये या कार्यालय में 8 बजे सायं के पश्चात् रोका जाये घौर उसे 6 बजे सायं घौर 6 बजे प्रातः के बीच घर लौटना हो जब वाहम के मामूली साधन उपलब्ध न हो, तो उसे टैक्सी के या घ्रम्य बाहन के वास्तविक भाड़े की प्रतिपूर्ति की जा सकेगी, परन्तु यह तब जब कि उसे कार्यालय में घ्रधिक देर तक एके रहने के लिये कोई पारिश्रमिक ने मिलता हो घौर उसके पास कोई घ्रपना वाहन नहो या वह घपने वाहन का उपयोग करने में घरमायं हो घौर यातें यह भी है कि राजपित्रत आफिसर की दशा में विभागाध्यक्ष का घौर घराजपित्रत सरकारी सेवक की दशा में कार्यालय के प्रधान का इस प्रभाव का प्रमाण-पत्र हो कि उस आफिसर की 8 बजे सायं के पश्चात् बुलाया/रोका जाना लोक सेवा के हित में था।"

- (**ब**) कम संख्यांक 21(क) के सामने, स्तम्भ 3 में, मद (ii) की विश्वमान प्रतिब्हियों के स्थान पर , निम्निलिखित रखा जायेगा, भवित् :---
 - "(ii) कार्यालय उपयोग के लिये प्राथित से भिन्न, गोपनीय सामग्री के मुद्रण के संबंध में ध्रपेक्षित, लेखन सामग्री स्टोरों के ऋष के लिये महा प्रयन्धक, इण्डिया सिक्योरिटी प्रैस धीर महा प्रमन्धक, करेंसी नोट प्रैस की दशा में पूरी शक्तियां; ध्रीर
- (ग) कम संख्यांक 2.6 को कम संख्यांक 2.6(क) के रूप में पूतः संक्यांकित किया आंग्रेगा ग्रीर इस प्रकार पुन. संख्यांकित कम संख्यांक के पश्चात् निम्मलिखित कम संख्यांक 26(खा) के रूप में भ्रन्तःस्थापित किया जायेगा, प्रचति :---

3

2.6(चा) सभी प्रकार के कम्प्यू-पुरी

टरों किराये पर लेना ग्रौर म क्तिया उनका शक्तिमां धमुरक्षण

धनरक्षण (जिसके धन्तर्गत मरम्मल भी है), उसे पास रखने पर जब कार्य किसी पक्लिक सैक्टर उपक्रम को सौंपागया है छौर जब समयबद्धकार्य पुराकिया जाना है किन्तु विद्युत के बन्द होते, भनियमित वियुत्त प्रदाय, ग्रस्य सूचना पर विशेष प्रकार के कम्प्यटर पूजी **भनु**पल-धता आदि के कारण भस्यावश्यकता की वशा में ज्लाक समय के लिये किराये पर लेने की द्वामा में व्यय विस मंज्ञालय या इलैक्ट्रानिक विभाग द्वारा समय समय पर इस निमित्त जारी किये गये साधारण या विशेष धनुदेशों के मधीन रहते हुए उपगत किया जायेगा।''

4

[सं॰ एफ॰ 1(14)/ई-II(ए)/৪0] बी०सी० तिवारी, प्रवर सचिव

टिव्यण:---ये नियम, साप्ताहिक राजयत, भाग 2, खण्ड (3), उपखण्ड (ii) तारीख 22 जुलाई, 1978 में काञ्चा० 2131 द्वारा प्रक्यापित वित्तीय शक्तियों का प्रत्यायोजन नियम, 1978 का दितीय संशोधन नियम 1981, 🕻 I

(Deptt. of Expenditure)

New Delhi, the 7th August, 1981

- S.O. 2304.—In pursuance of clause (3) of article 77 of the Constitution of India, the President hereby makes the following rules to amend the Delegation of Financial Powers Rules, 1978, namely :-
- 1. (1) These rules may be called the Delegation of Financial Powers (Second Amendment) Rules, 1981.
- (2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

- 2. In the Delegation of Financial Powers Rules, 1978,—
- (A) for the existing second proviso to rule 21, the following shall be substituted, namely :-
 - "Provided further that In the case of Department of Supply monetary limits under clauses (a), (b) and (c) shall be rupees two crores for purchases by the Central Purchasing Organisation in India or abroad";
 - (B) In Annexure to Schedule V-
 - (a) Against Serial No. 3, in column 4 under the heading 'General Notes' :-
 - (i) for the existing paragraph (i), the following shall be substituted, namely :---
 - "(i) The total amount of taxi hire or other conveyance hire reimbursed to a Government servant whether gazetted or non-gazetted on the basis mentioned in paragraph (1) above in any one month shall not exceed Rs. 75";
 - (ii) for the existing paragraph (vi), the following shall be substituted, namely :--
 - "(vi) If a Government servant is called to duty between 8.00 P.M. and 6.00 A.M. or is detained in office beyond 8.00 P.M. and has to return between 8.00 P.M. and 6.00 A.M. when ordinary means of conveyance are not available, actualtaxi, or other conveyance hire may be reimbursed to him provided he is not in receipt of any remuneration for prolonged detention in office and is not in possession of his own conveyance or is not able to use his conveyance and subject also to the condition that a certificate from the Heads of Departments in the case of gazetted officer and Heads of Offices in the case of nongazetted Government servants is forthcoming to the effect that the officer had to be called/detained after 8.00 P.M. in the interest of public service";
 - (b) Against Serial No. 21(A), in column 3, for the existing entries at item (ii), the following shall be substituted, namely :-
 - "(ii) Full powers in the cases of General Manager, India Security Press and General Manager, Currency Note Press for purchase of stationery stores, other than those intended for office use, required in connection with security printing"; and
 - (c) Serial No. 26 shall be re-numbered as Serial No. 26(a) and after the Serial No. so re-numbered the following shall be inserted as Serial No. 26(b), namely :-

3 1 2 Full maintenance powers.

The expenditure on the maintenance (including repairs), upkcep when the work is entrusted to a 'Public Sector Undertaking' and hire for block time in case of exigency faced by breakdowns, erratic power supply, non-availability of computer spares of special nature at short notice etc. when time bound work has to be completed, shall be

4

"26(b) Hire and of computers of all kinds.

1	2	3
		incurred subject to general or special in- structions issued by the Ministry of Finance or Department of Electronics form time to time in this behalf".

[No. F.1(14) E.II(A)/80] V. C. TEWARI, Under Secy.

NOTE:— These are second Amendment Rules, 1981 to the Delegation of Financial Powers Rules, 1978 promulgated vide S. O. 2131 appearing in Part II, Section (3), Sub-Section (ii) of the Weekly Gazette dated July 22, 1978.

केन्द्रीय उत्पावन शुल्क समाहर्तालय

गुन्दुर, 27 जून, 1981

का० आ० 2 305.— केन्द्रीय उत्पादन गुल्क नियमायली, 1944 के नियम 5 के प्रधीन भीर नियम 12 तथ्नैव में निहित मक्तियों के प्रधीन इस कार्यालय की दिनांक 4-6-1971 की प्रधिसूचना संख्या 1/71 दारा विक्त मंत्रालय की दिनांक 17-11-62 की प्रधिसूचना संख्या 1/71 दारा विक्त मंत्रालय की दिनांक 17-11-62 की प्रधिसूचना संख्या 1/71 दारा विक्त परन्तुक (V) के संबंध में केन्द्रीय उत्पादन गुल्क समाहतिथों को प्रत्यायोजित शक्तियों के भिष्ठकमण में, मैं इसके द्वारा, मुझ में निहित गिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन गुल्क के सहायक समाहतिथों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन गुल्क के सहायक समाहतिथों को भिन्न्यने अधिकार क्षेत्र में, विक्त मंत्रालय की दिनांक 12-11-1980 की प्रधिसूचना से 178/80 केंव उव द्वारा प्रतिस्थापित विक्त मंत्रालय की दिनांक 17-11-1962 की प्रधिसूचना संव 192/62 केंव उव द्वारा प्रतिस्थापित विक्त संत्रालय की दिनांक 17-11-1962 की प्रधिसूचना संव 192/62 केंव उव द्वारा प्रतिस्थापित विक्त के परन्तुक (V) के संबंध में, भांडागार प्रथवा माल का उत्पादन करने वाले कारखाने से, माल के निर्यात की प्रविध की, उस तिथि से जब कि निर्यात के लिए माल की निर्यात की प्रविध की गयी थी, 4 महीने से परे बढ़ाने की, समाहती की शिक्तियों प्राधिकृत करता हो।

[भिधिसूचना संख्या 4/81/फा॰ सं॰ IV /16/20/81/एस॰पी-2] डी॰ कृष्णमृति, समाहती

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE

Guntur, the 27th June, 1981

S.O. 2305.—In exercise of the powers vested in me under rule 5 of Central Excise Rules 1944 and in supersession of the powers of Collector of Central Excise delegated in respect of proviso (v) of Ministry of Finance otification No. 197162-CE dated 17-11-1962 issued under the powers vested in rule 12-ibid vide this office Notification No. 1/71, dated 4-6-1971, I hereby empower the Asst. Collectors of Central Excise to exercise within their jurisdiction, the powers of Collector of Central Excise in respect of proviso (v) of Ministry of Finance Notification No. 197/62-CE dated 17-11-1962 substituted by Ministry of Finance Notification No. 178/80-CE, dated 12-11-1980 for extending the period of export of goods beyond 4 months from the date on which the goods were first cleared for export from the producing factory or the warehouse.

[Notification No. 4|81|F. No. IV|16|20|81MP2]
D. KRISHNAMURTI, Collector.

ंसंयुक्त मुख्य नियंत्रक द्यायात नियति का कार्यालय केन्द्रीय लाइसँस क्षेत्र निरुत्त-प्रावेश

मर्ड दिल्ली, 6 जूम, 1981

का॰ आ॰ 2306.—मैसर्स : पंजाब नैस्ट प्लांट कं॰ 92, इन्डस्ट्रियम एरिया सम्बीगढ़-2 को एक झायात लाइसेंस सं॰ पी॰/सी/2215257 1 टी॰/पी॰आर॰/71/डी॰/79 वि॰ 30-4-79 वास्ते 807331/- स॰ पोलैन्ड से तीन स्विपट कार्डिंग सैट के प्रायात हेतु दिया गया था। उन्होंने प्रायात-निर्यात की कार्य-विधि पुस्तिका 1981-82 के पैरा 351-352 के प्रन्तर्गत एक गपप-पन्न इस प्राधार पर प्रस्तुत किया है कि उनके प्रायात लाइसेंस सं० पी०/सी०/2215257/वि० 30-4-79 वास्ते 8,07,331 की कस्टम हेतु कापी बिना किसी कस्टम पर पंजीकृत किए तथा बिना इस्तेमाल किए ही खो गई है भीर उन्हें इसकी 8,07,331 क० वास्ते एक प्रमुखिए (इंप्लीकेट) कापी की प्रावश्यकता है।

2. मैं सन्तुष्ट हूं कि उपरोक्त लाइसेंम की कस्टम हेतु कापी खो गई है।

- 3. म्रतः, म्रायात-व्यापार नियन्त्रण भावेश 1955 दि० .7-12-55 (यथा संशोधित) की धारा 9(cc) में प्रदत्त भ्रधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए मैं उपरोक्त लाइसेंस की मूल कस्टम कावी निरस्त करने का भावेश देता हं।
- 4 मानेदक की प्रायंना पर भ्रम श्रामान-निर्मात की कार्यविध-पुंडितका 1981-82 के भनुसार उपरोक्त लाईमेंस पी०सी०/2215257 वि० 30-4-79 वास्से 807331/- रु० की कस्टम कापी की भ्रनुलिपि (शुष्लीकेट कापी) जारी करने पर विभार किया आयेगा ।

[सं क्षी - जी - | एस - एस - प्राई - | 137 | ए - एम - - 79 | ए व्यू - II | सी - एल ए | माया दास गुप्ता, उप मन्य नियंत्रक भाषात-निर्यात

उप मुख्य नियंत्रक भाषात-निर्यात कृते, संयुक्त मुख्य नियंत्रक भाषात-निर्यात

OFFICE OF THE JT. CHIEF CONTROLLER OF IMPORTS AND EXPORTS

(Central Licensing Area)

CANCELLATION ORDER

New Delhi, the 6th June, 1981

- S.O. 2306.—M|s. Punjab Waste Plant Co., 92, Industrial Area, Chandigarh-2 were granted Import Licence No. P|C| 2215257|T|PR|71|D|79 dt. 30-4-79 for Rs. 8,07,331 for import of Three Swift Carding set for import from Poland. They have filed an affidavit as required under paras 351-352 of Hand Book of Import Export Procedure 1981-82 wherein they have stated that the custom clearance purpose copy of Licence No. P/C/2215257 dated 30th April, 1979 for Rs. 8,07,331 has been misplaced without having been registered with any customs Authority and utilised at all and the duplicate custom clearance copy is required for the same amount i.e. Rs. 8,07,331.
- -2..I am satisfied that the Custom purpose copy. has been misplaced.
- 3. In exercise of the powers conferred on me under clause 9(CC) in the Import Trade Control Order 1955 dt. 7-12-55 and amended upto date the Custom Clearance Purpose copy of the said Licence No. P|C|2215257 dt. 30-4-79 is hereby cancelled.
- 4. The applicant is now being issued duplicate custom clearance purpose copy of Licence No. P|C|2215257 dt. 30-4-79 for the value of Rs. 8,07,331 in accordance with the provision of para 351 of Hand Book of Import Export Procedure 1981-82.

No. CG[SSI]137|AM-79|AU-IIICLA]

(Miss) MAYA DASS GUPTA, Dy. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports

For Jt. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

नागरिक पूर्ति मंत्रालय

भारतीय मानक संस्वा

नई दिल्ली, 1981-08-13

का० था० 2307.---भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणन चिह्न) विनियम, 1955 के विनियम 4 के उपनियम (1) के प्रनुसार भारतीय मानक संस्था से अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि जिन मानक चिह्नों की डिजाइनों उनके 'शाब्यिक विवरण तथा तत्मम्बन्धी भारतीय मानकों के शीर्षकों महित नीचे प्रनुमूची में दिए गए हैं वे भारतीय मानक संस्था द्वारा निर्धारित किए गए हैं वे भारतीय मानक संस्था द्वारा निर्धारित किए गए हैं ।

भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणम चिह्न) अधिनियम 195: भीर उसके अधीन बने नियमों भीर विनियमों के ये मानक चिह्न प्रत्येक के आगे वी गई निधियों से लागू होंगे।

धनुसूची

क्रम .	संख्या मानक चित्र की डिजाइन	,	तरसम्बन्धी भारतीय मानक की प संक्या भीर शीर्यक	द- मानक जिह्न के डिजाइन का शास्त्रिक विवरण	 लग्गृहोनेकी निथि
1	2	3	4	5	6
1~		भोजन प रोसने के स्टेनलेस इस्पात के कर्तन	IS: 3258—1975 भोजन परोसने के लिए स्टेनलेम इस्पात के बर्तनों की विशिष्टि		1980-04-16
2.		षरेलू उपयोग के लिए संक्षिलष्ट भौर प्रकालक पाउडर	IS: 4935-1978 घरेलू उपयोग के लिए संक्लिष्ट घीर प्रकालक पाउडर की विशिष्टि	भारतीय मानक संस्था का मोनोग्राम जिसमें "ISI" शब्द होते हैं स्तम्भ (2) में विखायी गयी शैली भीर भनुपात में तैयार किया गया है भीर जैसा डिजा- इन में विखाया गया है मोनोग्राम के ऊपर की मोर मानक की संख्या तथा वर्ष विया गया है।	1981-02-16

[मं० मी० एम० डी०/13: 9]

MINISTRY OF CIVIL SUPPLIES INDIAN STANDARDS INSTITUTION

New Delhi, the 1981-08-13

S.O. 2307.—In pursuance of sub-rule (1) of rule 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Rules, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution, hereby, notifies that the Standard Mark(s), design(s) of which together with the verbal description of the design(s) and the title(s) of the relevant Indian Standard(s) are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been specified.

These Standard Mark(s) for the purpose of the Indian Standard's Institution (Certification Marks) Act, 1952 and the Rules, and Regulations framed thereunder, shall committee force with effect from the dates shown against each:

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Design of the Standard Mark	Product/Class of Produc	t No. and Title of the Relevan Indian Standard	t Verbal description of the Design of the Standard Mark	Date of Effect
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	5)	(6)
1.	<u>5</u>	Stainless steel serving utensils	IS: 3258—1965 Specification for stainless steel serving utensils	The monogram of the Indian Standards institution, consisting of letters 'ISI', drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in Col. (2); the number of the Indian Standard being superscribed on the top side of the monogram as indicated in the design.	1980-04-16
2.		Synthetic detergent powders for household use	IS: 4955—1978 Specification for synthetic detergent powders for household use	The monogram of the Indian Standards—Institution, consisting of letters 'ISI', drawn in the exact style and relative proportions as indicated in Col. (2); the number of the Indian Standard, along with its year, being superscribed on the top side of the monogram as indicated in the design.	1981-02-16

कां अरा 2308--भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रभाणन चिहुन) विनियम, 1955 के विनियम 7 के उपविनियम (3) के मनुसार भारतीय मानक संस्था द्वारा प्रधिसूचिन किया जाता है कि विभिन्न उत्पादों की प्रति इकाई मृहर लगाने की फीस प्रनुसूची में दिए गए क्योरे के अनुसार निर्धारित की गई है भीर ये फीस प्रत्येक के सामने दी गई तिथियों से लागू होंगी:

श्रमुखी

कम संख्या	उत्प.व/उत्पाद की	' श्रेणी	तत्सम्बन्धी भारतीय मानक व शीर्षक	ती प द संख्या भौर	इकाई	प्रति इकाई महर लगाने जा की फीस	पुहोने की तिकि
1		2	3		4	5	6
1.	भोजन परोसने वे के बर्तन	स्टेनलैस इस्पात	IS: 3258-1975 भोजन ए इस्पात के बर्तनों की विशि		100 किलोग्राम	रुपये 1.50	1980-04-16
2.	घरेलू उपयोग के प्रक्षालक पाउडर		IS: 4955-1978 घरेलू संक्ष्लिक्ट घौर प्रक्षालक विशिष्टि		एक मीटरी टन	 (1) रु० -2.00 प्रति इकाई पहली 1000 इका- ईयों के लिए, घौर (2) रु० 1.00 प्रति इकाई 1001वीं घौर इससे ऊपर की इकाइयों के लिए 	1981-02-16

[सं॰ सी॰ एम॰ **ची॰**/13:10]

S.O. 2308.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (3) of regulation 7 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution, hereby, notifies that the marking fee(s) per unit for various products details of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been determined and the fee(s) shall come into force with effect from the dates shown against each:

SCHEDULE

Sl. Produc No.	t/Class of Product	No. and Title of Relevant Indian Standard	Unit	Marking Fee per Unit	Date of Effect
1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Stainless	steel serving utensils	IS: 3258—1965 Specification for stainless steel serving utensils	100 kg.	Rs. 1.50	1980-04-16
	detergents powders ehold use	IS: 4955—1978 Specifica- tion for synthetic deter- gent powders for house- hold use	One Tonne	 (i) Rs. 2.00 per unit for the first 1000 units, and (ii) Rs. 1.00 per unit for the 1001st unit and above 	

[No. CMD/13: 10]

मई विल्ली, 1,981-08-19

का० आ० 2309.—-ममय-समय पर संशोधित भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणन चिह्न) विनियम 1955 के विनियम 4 के प्रनुसार भारतीय मानक संस्था द्वारा अधिसूचित किया जाना है कि उक्त विनियम (3) के उपविनियम (1) के प्रनुसार प्राप्त पश्चिकारों के प्रधीन यहां प्रनुसूची में दिए भारतीय मानकों . के संशोधन जारी किए गए हैं।

धनुसूची

कय संख्या	संज्ञोधित भारतीय मानक की पत्र संख्या भीर पीर्षक	िष त राजपत अधिसूचना में भारतीय मानक तैयार होने की सूचना छपी थी उसकी संख्या भौर तिथि	संगोधन की संख्या भौर तिपि		ग्रेगे।यन व्यान् होने की तिथि
1	2	. 3	4	5	в
	5:261—1966 कॉपर मल्फेट की क्रिकिटिट (पहुला पुनरीक्षण)	एम॰ घो॰ 2177 तिपि 1967-07-01	नं० 1 विसम्बर 1978	[पूष्ठ 4, खंड 2.1 (बी)] वर्तमान मैटर के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित कर लें: "बी गुद्ध-परि- व्यक्त रसायन भीर भीषश्चीय प्रयोग के सिये।"	

1 2	3		4 5	- · - <i>.</i>
 IS: 2851974 कप विशेष के सामृत की विशिष्टि (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण) 	एस ्घो ० 3279 निध 1976-09-11	% संख्या घिसम्बार, 1978	यह सोधा गया था कि अलकोहल में अधु- लनशील पवार्यों की प्रपेक्षा द्वारा नमक (सोडियम क्लोराइड) की अपेक्षा भी भी नियक्षित रहेगी। परन्तु वास्तव में ऐसे नहीं पाया गया और जो साबुन रक्षा मंत्रालय को मिला उसरी देखा गया कि मधिक नमक की माला ने साबुन के कुछ समय रखने पर समस्या पैदा की प्रीर कुछ हद तक नाजुन के प्रयोग पर ग्रमर बाला। सम्बद्ध नकनीकी समिति ने प्रतः फिर से नमक की माला पर इस संशोधन नं० 1 द्वारा अपेक्षा लगाई है।	1978.12.31
3. IS: 398 (भाग 3)—1976 शिरोपरि विश्वत संचार के लिये एलुमिनियम चालक की विशिष्टि, भाग 3, एलुमिनियम युक्त इस्पान प्रचलित एलुमिनियम चालक (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	<i>एस•</i> प्रो०	संघरा 1 दिसम्बर 1978	 (1) (पृष्ठ 15, परिशेगक बी, आगीय - बारिक नालिका, कालम 3, बूमरी और अविधिष्ट) ("76" के स्थान पर "75" और "74" के स्थान पर "62" करें) (2) (पृष्ठ 15, "*" नारांकिन पाद टिप्पणी काट दीजिए। 	1978-12-31
4. IS: 6171975 सामान्य इन्जीनियरी उपयोग के लिये एलुमिनियम भौर एलुमि- नियम मिश्र चातु के इंगट और डलाईमों की विभिष्टि (व्ह्सरा पुनरीक्षण)	एस॰ घो॰ 3530 तिथि 1977-11-19	संख्या 1 विम म्ब र 1978	(पुट्ट 5, नालिका 1, कालम 6, कम संख्या 14 के सामने)→-"0.2→-6.4" के स्थान पर "0.2→-0.4" करें।	1978-12-31
 IS: 1254—1975 नालीवार एल्मि- नियम चावरों की विशिष्टि (धूसरा पुनरीक्षण) 	एम० ग्रो० 2239 तिथि 1978-08-05	संबक्षा 1 विसम्बर 197	चांण्ड 6.1, 6.2 भीर 6.4 के स्थान 8 पर नवे व्या ण्ड बिये गमे हैं।	1978-12-31
 IS: 1288-1973 खनिज जिपमम धौर जिपसम पदार्थौ की परीक्षण पद्धनि (पह्सा पुनरीक्षण) 	एस॰ भो॰ 4690 तिथि 1975-11-01	संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1978	चंड 14.4 के पण्डात् खण्ड 15 जोड़ा गया है।	1978-12-31
7. IS: 1239 (भाग 1)1973 मृदु इस्पान को निक्षया, निकाकार भौर अन्य पिटवा इस्पान को फिटिंग की विकिष्टि भाग 1 मृदु इस्पात की निमयां (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	एस॰ मो॰ 776 तिथि 1966-12-21	संख्या 5 दिसम्बन्	 (1) (चांड 14.1.1 टिप्पणी सिहत चांड 14.1.1 के स्थान पर नया दिया गया है। (2) खांड 0.2.2 के पक्ष्मात् खांड 0.2.3 जोड़ा गया है। 	1978-12-31
8. IS : 1370 1976 घर्षण सतह के वि रज्ञड़ संभाग्ण पट्टियों की विशिष्टि	तये	संख्या 1 विसम्बर 1978	(1) (पृष्ठ 2, खंड 2.3)-इस को काटिये। (2) खंड 2.2 के नीचे अनौपवारिक तालिका का संशोधन किया गया है। (3) परिशिष्ट एक के बाद परिशिष्ट जी जोड़ा गया है। (4) (पृष्ट 6, ज्याख्यात्मक दिप्पणी, दूसरा अनु- क्लेंब के अन्त में निम्नलिखित पात ओड़िये): "उत्पादक और उपमोक्ता को मार्ग दर्शन के लिये पद्टे की मोटाई का परास परिशिष्ट जी में दिया गया है।	1978-12-31
 IS: 1570 (भाग 5)—1972 पिटवां इस्पात की सूची भाग 5 जंगरोबी भीर तापरोबी इस्पात (पहला पुनरीक्षण) 	एस ः घो ० 1504 ति घि 1975-05-24	संख्या 2 दिसम्बर 1978	तालिकाएं 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, भीर 15 संशोधित की गई हैं।	1978-12-31
10. IS : 1667 1971 टॉफी की विशिष्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	एस०घो० 3163 निधि 1973-11-10	सं द या 3 विसम्बर 1978	[पृष्ठ 4, खंब 3.1.1 कम संख्या (जे)वर्तमान] पाठ की अगह निम्नलिखित करिये : "ग्रम्लकारी यदार्थं, खाद्य ग्रेड"	1978-12-31

^{*}भारतीय मानक संस्था प्रमाणन चिन्ह योजना के लिए यह संशोधन 1979.05.01 में लागू होगा।

1	2	3	4	5	в
	IS ::19501962 - गैरं-औद्योगिक इमारतों के ध्वनि-रोधन करने की रीति संहिता ।	एस॰ भ्रोष- 3100 तिथि 1962-10-13	संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1978	भाकृति॰ 3 भीरें 6 जी कीं जगह नयीं भाकृतियां दी गई हैंं।	1978-12-31
1 2.	IS: 1971—1975 हस्तचालित भवि- राम एक नाली बाले रुकाबदार पम्प की विशिष्टि (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)		संख्या 3 विसम्बर 1978	 (1) खंड 1.1.1 मीर 5.14.2 की जगह नये खंड दिए गये हैं। (2) खंड 5.9 का संशोधन किया गया है। 	1978-12-31
13.	IS: 1993-—1974 शिल-प्रप्रिक्त टीन की घादर और शीत-प्रपत्तिक काली लोहे की चादर की विशिष्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	एस• घने० 987 निधि 1976-03-06	संक्या 3 दिसम्बर 1978	(1) खंड 5.1.2, 5.1.3, 5.2.2, 5.2.3, 5.3.1, 5.3.2, 10.1.2, 10.3.1 10,3.2, 11.1.1, 12.7.1 और ए-4.3 की जगह नमें खंड दिये गये हैं। (2) खंड 11.1.1 का संशोधन किया गया है। (3) जिल्ल 3 का संशोधन किया गया है। (4) खंड 5.3.2 के बाद एक नमा खंड 5.3.3 जोड़ा गया है और बतमान खंड 5.3.4 किया गया है। (5) ताजिका 4 के झन्त में एक टिप्पणी जोड़ी गई है। (6) ताजिका 5 में टिप्पणी 2 के प्रवात् टिप्पणी 3 जोड़ी गई है।	1978-12-31
14.	1S:23611970 युलक्षाँग ग्निप्स ा की विभिष्टि (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	रस॰ मो॰ 12,77 तिषि 1972-05-27	संख्या 2 विसम्बर 1978	 (1) तालिका 1 का संशोधन किया गया है। (2) खंड 4.1 का संशोधन किया गया है। (3) खंड 5.4.1 की जगह एक नया खंड दिया गया है। (4) पृष्ठः 5 पर वर्तमान "क" बिंग्द की पाद टिपाशों के स्थान पर एक निर्दे दियां गर्द दियां गर्द है। 	1978-1 <i>2</i> -31
15.	IS: 2586 1975 बॉक (मंगीन चालक बाइस) की विशिष्टि (पहला पुनरी- अंग)		संकंपा 1 विसम्बर 1978	खंड 2 की मनौरयोरिक तालिका का संगो- धन किया गया हैं।	1978-12 31
16.	IS: 2992—1965 रोझन प्रसिरोधिता मापने के यंख	एस॰ घो॰ 2042 तिथि 1965-06-26	संख्या" 3 विसम्बर 1978	(1) वांड 9.2 (ए) के वर्तमान मैंटर में परिवर्तन किया गया है।	·••.
	IS:3010 (माग 2)1965 उप- करण संयोजक भौर श्रन्तर्गम (ग्रप्रति- वर्ती:3 पिन किस्म का) की विशि- ष्टि, भाग 2 उपकरण श्रन्तर्गम	एस॰ घो॰ 281 तिथि 1966-01-22	संख्या 3 विसम्बर 1978	(1) (पृष्ठ 4, खांड 1.1, पंक्ति 1) मध्य "5 ए मौर" काटिये। (2) (पृष्ठ 5, खांड 3.1 पंक्ति 2) "चित्र 1 या 2" के स्थान पर "चित्र" पढ़िये। (3) (पृष्ठ 6, भाकृति 2) इसको काटिये। (4) (पृष्ठ 6 मौर 7, खांड 5.1.1 माखिरी वाक्य) "भाकृति 1 या 2" के स्थान पर "माकृति" पढ़िये। (5) खांड 5.1.3 मौर 8.3.2 के स्थान पर नये खांड दिये गये हैं।	
18.	IS: 3043—1966 भृयोजन करने की रीति संहिता	एस० घो० 4023 तिघि 1966-172-31	संख्या 5 विसम्बदः 1978	(1) 17-3.2.2 के स्थान पर नया खंड गया है। (2) खंड 17.3.2.2 के प्रकार एक नया खंड 17.3.2.3 जोड़क गया है और बाद के खंडों का नवस्थर फलस्वरूप बदल गया है। (3) भूयोजन के संदर्भ में भारतीय मान हों की सूची परिशास्त्र बी में जोड़ो गई है।	-4

(1) (2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
19. IS : 3326-1978 विशिष्टि (पहला पु			संख्या <u>1</u> दिसम्बर 1978	(पृष्ठ 4, तालिका 1, कालम 2, कम संख्या (1) के सामने—सौंट प्रति देंगी के स्थान पर ''(कुल सौंट करियें)''।	1978-12-31
 IS: 3634-1977 धूलन के लिये ह की विशिष्टि (पहरू 	स्पचालिन यंत्र		संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1978	(पृष्ठ 7, सांव 6.12.1पंकि 1)—हिस्वार्ज हाउस—की जगह "डिज्वार्ज होज" पढ़िये	
21. IS: 3695-1966 र नियम वर्तनों की विधि		एम॰ग्रो॰ 24। निधि 1967-01-21	संक्या 1 विसम्बर 1978	 (1) (पदनाम) — मानक में जहां कहीं '':3695- 1956'' आये तो उसके स्थान पर IS: 1660 (भाग 5) 1966'' पिक्विं। (2) पिहले कबर पृष्ठ ''ग्रीर पृष्ठ 1 ग्रीर 3 पर गीर्षक परिवर्तन किया गया है। 	
22. IS: 3758-1966 থিলিন্টি		1967-03-18	संक्या 1 विसम्बर 1978	(1) (पृष्ठ 7, खंड 7.2 मीर 7.2.1)— वर्तमान खंडों कें स्थान पर निस्नलिखिन करिये:— "7.2 मंझारण प्रनिरोध शक्ति-यन्त्र जवालने और प्रांटीक्लेबिंग के परीक्षण जो कि IS: 7531—1975 संझारण प्रतिरोधी इस्थात के बने गल्य किया यन्त्रों के उबालने और प्रांटोक्लेबिंग परीक्षण की विधि में विधे गये हैं ये मन्ह्य होगा। (2) (पृष्ठ 7, पावटिप्पणी)—-पृष्ठ के भन्त में निम्नलिखिन पाव टिप्पणी जोड़िये:— 'स्टेनले स इस्थात के शल्य चिकित्सा भौजारों की संझारण प्रतिरोधिता के लिए उबालने भीर भ्रांटोक्लेबकारी परीक्षण पद्धति	
23. IS : 3976-1975 र कनवेस के ग्रंगरक्षी थृट पुनरीक्षण)		- एस०झो० 463 ा तिथि 1976-01-24	संख्या 5 दिसम्बर 1978	खंड 4.2.1 के स्थान पर एक नया खंड दिया गया है।	
24. IS: 4072~1975 म की इस्पात की विशि			संख्या 2 दिसम्बर 1978	नासिका । के स्थान पर एक नई सामिका दी गई। हैं।	
25. IS: 4199-1974 विमिष्टि (पह्ना पुनरी		्र एम०ग्रो० 1092 तिथि 1977-04-09	सम्बंग 1 विसम्बंग 1978	(1) पहले कवर पृष्ठ भीर पृष्ठ 1 भीर 3 पर गीर्षक परिविध्तन किया गया है । (2) (पृष्ठ 3, खंड 0.2 इस खंड की काटिये भीर बाद के खंडों का नम्बर फलम्बरूप बदलिये । (3) (पृष्ठ 5, खंड 1.1 पंक्ति 2)—— "सामान्य प्रयोग के लिये तरल साबुन" के स्थान पर "तरल श्रृंगार साबुन" पिये । (4) (पृष्ठ 5, तालिका 1 शीर्षक) वर्तमान गीर्षक के स्थान पर निस्नलिखिन पिछिये . "तालिका 1 तरल श्रृंगार साबुन की अपेकायें"	-
26. IS ' 4329—1970 मापक की विकिध्द		- एस०घो० 1555 तिथि 1976-01-24	संत्रया 1 विसम्बर 1978	खंड 12.1, 12.2 ग्री र 12.5 के स्थान पर नये खं ड दियें गये हैं।	
27. IS: 4359-1967	कत्येकी विशिष्टि		संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1978	(1) पृष्ठ ६, नालिका 1, कालम 3, 4 और 5 कम संख्या (1) के सामने "16" के स्थान पर "12" पढ़िये।	
				(2) खंड 3.2 के पण्चात खंड 3.2.1 जोड़ागया है।	

THE	GAZETTE	OF INDIA	: SEPTEMBER	5, 1981/BHAD	RA 14, 1903

(5) (6) (4) (1) (2) (3) इस संगोधन द्वारा सीधा खाने के लिये 28. IS: 4427-1967 नैल निकालने के लिये एस॰ ग्रो॰ 2036 संख्या 2 1978-12-31 भीर सीधा जाने के लिये भूंगफली बानों तिथि 1968-08-08 विसम्बर 1978 जावा फिल्म के संगक्त की के दानों की मानक में शामिल किया गया है। इस का श्रेणीकरण किस्म के दाने ग्रव निर्पात में काफी माजा में जा रहे हैं। 29. IS: 4460-1967 मशीन से कटे स्पर एस०मी० 1720 संक्या 1 (1) खंड 4.2.7.1 के स्थान पर एक गियर भीर सर्पिल गियर के श्रेणी निर्धारण तिथि 1968-05-18 विसम्बर 1978 नया खंड दिया गया है। की पश्चति (2) (पष्ठ 17, परिभिष्ट बी, ग्रनीपचारिक शालिका कॉलम 6, प्रस्तिम से एक पहली लेखी)⊸⊸"न्यूनतम 225" के के स्थान ५र "न्यूनतम 255" पिष्ये । (3) (पृष्ठ 20, परिशिष्ट बी, अनीपचारिक तालिका, कॉलम 6, दसवीं लेखी→→ "200) केस"के स्थान पर "200 (कोर)" पक्षिये। (4) परिशिष्टि वी में मनौपचारिक तालिका का संशोधन किया गया है संख्या 1 30. IS: 4520-1968 नासिका की पंच एस॰मी॰ 2578 (1) पुष्ठ 7, खंड 6.2 मीर 6.2 मीर फोर्सेंप्स (मिटेलि की एन्ट्रम भीर 6.2.1) वर्तमान खंडों के स्थान पर तिषि 1968-07-20 विसम्बर 1978 हाजेक्स की स्फिलियक्ल) की विशिष्टि निम्नलिखित करिये: "6.2 संसारण प्रतिरोध शक्ति यंत्र उबालने भीर भाटोक्लेविंग परीक्षण जो कि IS: 7521---1975* में विये गये हैं तुप्त करेगा।" (2) (पुष्ठ 7, पावदिव्यणी--पुष्ठ के झन्त में निम्नलिखित पावहिष्यणी जोडिये: "संक्षारण प्रतिरोधी इस्पात के बने शल्य किया यन्त्रों के संकारण प्रतिरोध गक्ति धाकने के लिये उवालने भीर घाँटोक्लेबिंग परीक्षण पश्चति । 31. IS: 4563-1968 व्लाक स्वथायर की एस॰मो॰ 3745 संख्या 1 (1) (पुष्ट 4, खंब 3.1, पंक्ति 3)---विशिष्टि तिथि 1968-10-26 दिसम्बर 1978 "IS: 1501--1959" के स्थान पर "IS: 1501--- 1968* पहिये । (2) (पष्ठ 4, खंड 3.2 पित्त 4)--"IS: 1501-- 1959* के स्यान पर "IS: 1501-- 1968* पविये । (3) पुष्ठ, "*" चिह्न वाली पाद टिप्पणी-वर्तमान टिप्पणी के स्वान पर निम्न-लिखिन पहिये: *इस्पात के लिये विकर्स कठोरता मापन पद्मति (पहला पुनरीक्षण) 32. IS: 4570-1968 त्रिस्टल धारक की एस०घो० 3453 संख्या 2 (1) तालिका । का संशोधन किया गया है । विशिष्टि রিখি 1968-09-28 विसम्बर 1978 (2) कंड 8.4.3.1, 8.4.3.2 मीर 8,4.3,3 के नीचे ग्रनग्रीपचारिक तालिका का संशोधन किया गया है। (3) पुष्ट 29 पर पत्न मं० 9 के पश्चात् एक नया पक्त संख्या 10 जोड़ा गया है। 33. IS: 4588-1977 करुचे प्राकृतिक रवह संख्या 1 खंड 5.1 के स्थान पर एक नथा खंड विया की विशिष्टि (दूसरा पूनरीक्षण) विसम्बर 1978 गया है।

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
मोदर च	65 (भाग 1) — 1968 सुव गिलिन भौजारों की विशिष्टिः ग्रान्य भ्रपेक्षाएं भीर परीक्षण			(1) पड़ 32, खंब 20.17.2, पंक्ति 2 78 "IS: 204819'62*" के स्थान पर "IS: 28241975*" पढ़िये। (2) पृष्ठ 32, "†" चिह्न वाली पाद टिप्पणी के स्थान पर निस्निलिखित पाद- टिप्पणी पढ़िये: "*नमी की स्रवस्था में ठोम रोधक पदार्थों के नुजन त्मक टैकिंग सूचकांकः मापने की विधि (पहला पुनरांक्षण)	1978-12-3
	762—1968 मामान्य उपयोग प्रम चालक के होज क्लिप	-	संख्या 1 25 विसम्बर 197	तालिक्ता 1 में दी गई ग्राकृति के स्थान ४ पर मधी ग्राकृति दी गई है।	<u></u>
	751975 षोलकों द्वारा प्राप्त कर्ला के भाटे की विशिषिट नरीक्षण)	्रम ्भो ० 3439 तिथि 1978-12-0	संख्या 1 2. विसम्बर 1978	(1) खंद 2.1.2 का संशोधन किया गया है 3 (2) पृष्ठ 4 पर "†" और "‡" चिक्क बाली पादटिप्पणिमों के स्थान पर नई पादटिप्पणियां लगाई गई हैं। (3) तालिका 1 का संशोधन किया गया है।	-
	6— 1968 घोलको द्वारा प्राप्त खा झाटेकी विशिष्टि	ह्य एस॰मो॰ 1906 तिथि 1969-05-17	संख्या 1 7 विसम्बर 1978	 पृष्ठ 5, तालिका 1, कम संख्या 7— इसको काट दीजिये ग्रीर बाद की लेखियों के नम्बर फलस्वरूप बदलिये। खंब 2.1 के पण्यात् खंड 2.1.1 जोड़ा गया है। पृष्ठ 4 पर "‡" विक्ल की पादटिप्पणी के बाद 0 का नई पादटिप्पणी जोड़ी गई है। 	
	9—1969 तारों का व्यास मापः न की विशिष्टि	ने एस०मो० 3728 तिथि 1969-09-15	संक्या 1 5 विसम्भर 1978	(1) सांव 0.2, 0.3, 4.1 भीर 4.2 का संशोधन किया गया है। (2) पृष्ठ 2 भीर 3 पर "*" विश्व वासी पावटिष्पणी के स्थान पर एक नई पाव- टिष्पणी दी गई है। (1) तालिका 1 का संशोधन किया गया है। भीर "*" विश्व भीर "‡" विश्व वासी पावटिष्पणियों के स्थान पर नई पाव टिष्पणिया दी गई है।	
	-1969 तेल कोल्हुओं की कार्य- एंकन की परीक्षण पद्मतियां	एस ्मो ० 89 तिथि 1970-01-10	संख्या 2 विसम्बर 1978	बांड 0.3 के स्थान पर एक नया खांड प्रेति- स्थापित किया गया है ।	
o. IS . 5359 विभिष्टि	-1969 साइन आरंग् की	एस ०प्रो० 1236 विधि 1970-04-04	संख्या 1 विसम्बर 1978	 (1) खंड : के स्थान पर एक नया खंड प्रतिस्थापित किया गया है। (2) पृष्ठ 4, "†" चिह्न वाली पाविद्याणीवर्तमान पाविद्याणी के स्थान पर निम्न्तिखित कर लें: "† इस्पात की विकर्स कठोरता परीक्षण की पद्धति (यहला पुनरीक्षण)" 	- -
. IS: 5412- नासिका मारी	1964 जोसेफ भाकृति की की विभिष्टि	एस॰मो॰ 2110 तिथि 1971-05-29		खांड 6.2 के स्थान पर एक नया खांड दिया भया है।	
	1970 समि में ढले ठोस रसड़ एड़ियों की विभिष्टि	एस॰भी॰ 1555 तिथि 1972-06-24	संक्या 4 दिसम्बर 1978	(पृष्ठ 4, खंड 4.3.1, पंक्ति 1)"तले" की जगह "तला भीर एड़ी" करिये। 🔋	
	1970 जैम्पर्टभाकृति के टर की विशिष्टि		दिसम्बर 1978	खांड 6 के स्थान पर एक नशा खांड दिया गया है।	
. IS : 5749-1 বিশিষ্টি	1970 कुट्टित रैमशोर्म हुक की			(पृष्ठ 4, क्षंड 4.1, पंक्ति 4)"0.2 प्रतिशत के स्थान पर "0.02 प्रतिशत" पड़िये।	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
4.5	5. IS: 5765 1970 एलुमिनियम के बने खाने के डिस्से की विशिष्टि	एस॰भी॰ 1555 तिथि 1972-06-24	संख्या 2 विसम्बर 1978	 (1) पदनाम-मानक में जहां भी": IS: 5765 1970" प्राये तो उमके स्थान पर "IS: 1660 (भाग 7) 1970" करिये। (2) पृष्ठ 1 पर वर्तमान पीर्षक के स्थान पर तथा भीर्षक विया गया है। 	1978-12-31
46.	IS: 5812 1970 प्रकाशीय वेबल मापक की विशिष्टि	एस०भ्रो० 1635 तिथि 1972-07-08	सुख्या 2 दिसम्बर 1978	खंड 12.1, 12.2 मीर 12.5 के स्थान पर नये खंड विये गये हैं।	
47.	lS: 61091971 कोल्ह से निकली खाद्य तिलखली के झाटे की विशिष्टि	एस०म्रो० 398 तिथि 1972-02-05	मंख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1978	 (1) पृष्ठ 5, तालिका 1, कम संख्या (6) कॉलम 3—"4.0" के स्थान पर "6.0" पित्रिये। (2) खंड 2.1 के पश्चान खंड 2.1.1 जोड़ा गया है। 	
				(3) (पृष्ठ 4, पाव टिप्पणी) श्रन्त में निम्त- निखित जोश्रिये। "±पशु झाहार और आहार पदार्थों के चयन और परीक्षण पद्धतियां: भाग । साक्षारण पद्धतियां।	
48.	IS : 6232-→1971 ढले सोहे के बक्स की कोण प्लेट की विभिष्टि	एस•मो∘ 751 तिथि 1974-03-16	संख्या 1 (दिसम्बर 1978	(पृष्ठ 1, खंड 3, पंकित 2)"1S: 210 1962 'धुसर लोह ढली वस्तुमों की विभिष्टि (पुनरीक्षित)' "के स्थान पर IS: 210 1970 ,धुसर लोहे ढली वस्तुमों की विभिष्ढि (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)" कर लीजिए।	
49.	IS : 63201971 गेहूं गहाई कें ॢ्र्य सर की विभिष्टि	एस॰झो० 3055 तिथि 1973-10-27	सं ड्या 1 दिसम्बर 1978	(1) (पृष्ठ 1, खंड 4.3, पॅक्लि 3)—नहाई इस के बायों घोर के डक्कन के स्थान पर "गहाई इस" पढ़िये । (2) खंड 6.3 के स्थान पर एक नया खंड दिया गया है। (3) (पृष्ठ 7, खंड 8.1.1 (डी)—"प्रवेशिका घौर निकास द्वार" के स्थान पर "मक्ति समता, किया (हापा)" कर लीजिए। (4) खंड 6.8 के अन्त में नयी सामग्री जोड़ी गयी है। (5) खंड 6.8 के पश्चात् खंड 6.9 जोड़ा गया है। (6) खंड 9.3.5 के पश्चात् परिणिष्ट "ए" जोड़ा गया है।	
	IS:63601971 लैक्टोबोन बोन की विशिष्टि	एस॰झो॰ 510 निथि 1974-02-23	संख्या 1 दिसम्भर 1978	(पृष्ठ 4, खंड 3.1 कम संख्या (20)— वर्तमान सामग्री के जगह निम्तलिखित पश्चिये : ''ग्रम्ज- कारी पवार्थ, खाद्य ग्रेड ''	
51.	IS:65501971 समानान्तर शैंक वाले जकदार चूड़ी काटने के यंत्र (मिलिंग कटर) की विशिष्टि	एस॰मो॰ 1853 तिथि 1974-07-27	संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1978	(पृष्ठ 1, खंड 2, भनौपचारिक तालिका कॉलम डी पांचवीं भौर छटवी प्रविष्टि सामने) ⊶ "1205" के स्थान पर 12 पद्धिये।	 -
	IS: 66381972 द्रैक्टर से जुड़े धौर स्प्रिंग भारित कस्टीवेटर की विशिष्टि	एस ्भो ० 770 ति ष ि 1975-03-08	संख्या 2 विसम्बर 1978	पृष्ठ 5 पर माक्रुति 2 के स्थान पर एक नयी भाक्रुनि वी गई हैं।	_
53.	IS: 66941972 पुनः पिषला के लिये मैगनीशियम (99.8 प्रतिशत) हंगट की विशिष्टि	एस ्झो० 1290 तिथि 1975-04-26	संख्या 1 विसम्बर 1978	खंड 3 भीर 3.1 के स्थान पर नये खंड दिए गए हैं ₁	
5 4.	IS : 7001 1973 छर्रा पिटाई की पद्धति भीर छर्रा पिटे लोह धातु पुर्जे	एस ्प्रो॰ 4 690 नि यि 1975-11-01	संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1978	पृष्ठ 5 पर बाकृति 1 के स्थान पर एक नयी बाकृति दी गयी हैं।	
5 5.	IS: 7240—1974—80° में से 40° से तक ऊष्मा रोधन सामग्रियों के लगाने भौर फिनिषा देने की रीति संहिता	एस॰म्रो॰ 1596 तिथि 1976-05-08	संख्या 1 विसम्बर 1978	 (1) खंड 10.1 के स्थान पर एक नया खंड दिया गया है। (2) (पृष्ठ 10, खंड 10.2, पंक्तिन 6)- "पाईप" के स्थान पर "पाइप/डक्ट" पढ़िये। 	

(1) (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
5 6	. IS: 73001974 समाश्रयण भीर ग्रन्थो- स्थाश्रयण की पद्धिति	एस॰भो॰ 1597 निधि 1976-05-08	संख्या 1 विसम्बर 1978	खंड 3.2.2, 3.4.3.1, 4.3.2 श्रीर 4.3.3 का संशोधन किया गया है।	
5 7	. $18:73651974$ बाल्टी श्राकार के ऊर्जा मिटाने के यंक्षों के द्ववीय टिजाइन के मापदण्ड	एस॰फो॰ 2858 निधि 19 76 -08-07	संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1978	खंड 4.2.2.3 का संशोधन किया गया है।	
58	. lS:74131974 40°से से 700°से तक ऊष्मा रोधन सामग्री लगाने भौर फिनिश देने को रीति संहिता	•	संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1978	 (1) खंड 9.1 के स्थान पर एक नया खंड लाया गया है। (2) खंड 9.2 भीर जे-5.1 का संशोधन किया गया है। 	1978-12-31
5	9. IS : 7460⊶- 1964 गावदुम रोसर बेमरि की विशिष्टि	ग एस०म्रो० 1092 तिथि 1977-04-09	संख्या 2 विसम्बर 1978	(पृष्ठ 2, खंद्र $4.1.2$, धनौपचारिक तालिका, "बाहरी ज्यास" खाना शीर्यंक के नीचे) " dm " के स्थान पर " Dm " भौर " $d*$ " के स्थान पर " D^* " पढ़िये।	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
60	. IS: 75501975 राशन के लिये बेन्न की टोकरी की विशिष्टि	एम॰म्रो॰ 1092 तिथि 1977-04-09	संख्या 1 विसम्बर 1978	 (1) खंडे 3.1.1.1 के स्थान पर एक नया खंड लगाया गया है । (2) माकृति 1 का संशोधन किया गया है। 	-
61	. 1 S : 7586 1975 खानो के लिये वर्षण भव- लम्बों की विशिष्टि	एस॰ग्रो॰ 2547 तिथि 1977-08-31	संख्या १ विसम्बर 1978	 (1) खंड 3.1 के स्थान पर एक नया खंड लाया गया है। (2) (पृष्ठ 2, खंड 3.1.1)—इसको काटिये 	_
62.	IS: 76811975 क्लोरीन गैम के लिये येश्वकृत धल्प कार्यन इस्पात	एस॰मो॰ 3351 तिथि 1978-11-25	संख्या 3 विसम्बर 1978	(1) (पृष्ठ 5, खोड 3.3, पंकित 2)— ''IS: 2602" के स्थान पर "IS: 2062" पढ़िये। (2) (पृष्ठ 9, 10 और 15) — निम्नलिखित खंडों की संख्यायें निम्नलिखित रूप में बदलिये: वर्तमान खंड नम्बर परिवर्तित खंड 6 7 6.1 7.1 7 6 7.1 6.1 7.1.1 6.1.1 7.1.2 6.1.2 7.2 6.2 11.5 6.3 (3) खंड 7.1 (नया नम्बर 6.1)—, खंड 10.10.1, 11.5 (नया नम्बर 6.3) भीर 12.1.2 के स्थान पर नये खंड दिये गय हैं। (4) खंड 552 (ए) भीर 11.1(ए) का मंशोधन किया गया है। (5) पृष्ठ 11, खंड 11.1 (है)— इम खंड के नीचे निम्नलिखित पार्वाटिप्पणी जोड़िये: "*" संपीढित गैम सिलिंडर के द्रवस्थैतिक तनाब परीक्षण के लिए भिफारिशें"	
	IS: 7835—1975 खाद्य मध्यम विकलाई- युक्त सोयाबीन के घाटे की विशिष्टि		संख्या 1 विसम्बर 1978	 (1) खंड 2.1.1 के बाद खंड 2.1.2 जोड़ा गया है। (2) पृष्ठ 4 पर नई पाद टिप्पणो "‡" बिस्टु के साथ जोड़ी गई है। (3) नालिका 1 का संगोधन किया गया है। 	
	IS: 7836	••••	संख्या 1 विसम्बर 1978	 (1) खंड 2.1.1 के बाद खंड 2.1.2 जोड़ा मिया है। (2) पृष्ठ 4 पर एक नई पाद टिप्पणी "दु" विस्तृ के साथ जोड़ी गयी है। 	1978-12-31

2976

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
प्रदाय के पि पॉलीचीन	8—(भाग 7)—1976 पेय जल लये इंजेक्शन मोल्डेड उच्च घनत्व की पिंटिंग की विशिष्टि भाग 7 पक्षेज की विशिष्टि प्रपेक्षाये	<u> </u>	संख्या 1 विसम्बर 1978	तालिका 1 का संयोधन किया गया है ।	
:	7 1976 प्रेस भौजार सेटों के लिये हुम की विणिष्टि		संख्या 1 दिसम्बर 1978	खंड 2 के नीचे भनौपचारिक तालिका का संगोधन किया गया है ।	
फीते पर ध	5 (भाग 1)—–1977 चुम्बकीय विनि प्रभिलेक्षी ग्रौर पुनत्पायन उप- से रील की विशिष्टि भाग । मोपन	****	संख्या 1 विसम्बर 1978	(पृष्ट 11, खंड 5.4.3, टिप्नगो) "टिप्पणो" के स्थान पर "टिप्पणी।" पढ़िये भीर निस्त- लिखित नई टिप्पणी जोडिये:	
				"टिप्पणी 2मापने में ग्राधिक परिगुद्धना के लिये ग्रीर लवु काल में विवाग नंकेन के निर्धे यह विधि भन्रासित है ।	

इन संगोधनों की प्रतियां भारतीय मानक संस्था, मानक भवन 9 बहादुरवाह जफर मार्ग, नई विल्ली-110002 झोर उसके वाखा कार्यालयों, श्रहमदाबाद, इंगसोर, भोपाल, भृषनेक्वर, अम्बर्ट, कलकत्ता, चंडीगढ़, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, मद्रास, पटना झीर जिनेन्द्रम में प्राप्त की जा सकती है।

[मं॰ सी एम **की**/13:5]

New Delhi, the 1981-08-19

S.O. 2309.—In pursuance of regulation 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution, hereby, notifies that amendment(s) to the Indian Standard(s) given in the schedule hereto annexed have been issued under the powers conferred by the sub-regulation (1) of Regulation of the said Regulations.

SCHEDULE Indian No. and Date Brief particulars of the Amendment No. and title of the No. and Date from of Gazette Date of the which the No. Standard amended Notification in Amendment amendment which the shall have establishment of effect the Indian Standard notified (5) (2) (3) (4) (6) (1) S.O. 2177 No. 1 [Page 4, clause 2, 1(b)]—St betitute the following 1978-12-31 1. IS : 261-1966 Specification for dated 1967-07-01 Dec. 1978 for the existing matter: copper sulphate (First Revision) (b) Pure-used in fine chemicals and pharmaceutical industry.' 2. IS: 285-1974 Specification for S.O. 3279 *No. 1 The requirement for sølt (chlorides, as sodium 1978-12-31 chloride) content was intended to be taken laundry soaps(second revision) dated 1976-09-11 Dec. 1978 care of by that for matter insoluble in alcohol and it was also expected to be auto-*For purposes of ISI Certimatically controlled in the process. In fication Marks Scheme; this amendment shall come into practice, however, this was not found so and force with effect from excess of salt content in soap bars in supplies 1979-05-01 to Ministry of Defence had created problem during storage and also effected, to some extent, performance of the soap. The concerned Sectional Committee, therefore reintroduced the requirement for salt content in this specification through this Amendment No. 1. (i) (Page 15, Appendix B, informal table, 1978-12-31 No. 1 3. IS: 398 (Part III)-1976 Specification Dec. 1978 col. 3, second and fourth entries)-Subfor aluminium conductors for overstitute '75' for '76' and '62' for '74+'. head transmission purposes. Part III Aluminium conductors, alumifoot-note with '*' mark) (ll) (Page 15, nized-steel reinforced (second revi-Delete. sion)

_		. ()1			· ·	
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	aluminius In g ets an	—1975 Specification for m and aluminium alloy id castings for general en- purposes (second revi-		No. 1 Dec. 1978	(Page 5, Table 1, col. 6, against Sl. No. 14)— Substitute '0.2—0.4' for '0.2—6.4'.	1978-12-31
		1-1975 Specification for ad aluminium sheet (second		No. 1 Dec. 1978	Clauses 6.1, 6.2 and 6.4 have been substituted by new ones.	1978-12-31
	mineral	—1973 Methods of test for gypsum and gypsum prost revision)		No. 1 Dec. 1978	Clause 15 has been added after 14.4.	1978-12-31
	tion for	9 (Part I)—1973 Specifica- mild sicel tubes, tubulars or wroutht steel fittings Part steel tubes (third revision)	dated 1976-02-21	No. 5 Dec. 1978	 (i) Clause 14.1.1 alongwith its note has been substituted by new ones. (ii) Clause 0.2.3 has been added after clause 0.2.2. 	1978-12-31
	friction s	D—1976 Specification for surface rubbor transmission second revision)		No. 1 Dec. 1978	 (i) (Page 2, clause 2.3)—Delete. (ii) Informal table under Clause 2.2 has been amended. (iii) Appendix G has been added after Appendix F. (iv) (Page 6, Explanatory Noic, para 2)—Add the following new matter at the end of second paragraph: "The range of thickness of belt is given in the Appendix G as guide for manufacturer and user". 	1978-12-31
	for wro	O (Part V)—1972 Schedules ught steels Part V Stainless t-resisting steels (first revi-	dated 1975-05-24	No. 2 Dec. 1978	Tables 5, 6, 10, 11, 12 and 15 have been amended.	1978-12-31
10.		7—1971 Specification for first revision)	S.O. 3163 dated 1973-11-10	No. 3 Dec. 1978	[Page 4, Clause 3.1.1, Sl. No. (j)]—Substitute the following for the existing matter: (j) Acidulants, food grades;'.	1978-12-31
11,		0—1962 Code of practice d insulation of non-indusdings.		No. 1 Dec. 1978	Fig. 3 and 6D have been substituted by new ones.	1978-12-31
	hand-ope	1-1975 Specification for crated continuous single- rrup-pump (third revision)		No. 3 Dec. 1978	(i) Clauses 1.1.1 and 5.14.2 have been substituted by new ones.(ii) Clause 5.9 has been amended	1978-12-31
	cold-redu	3—1974 Specification for sced tinplate and cold- blackplate (first revision)		No. 3 Dec. 1978	 (i) Clauses 5.1.2, 5.1.3, 5.2.2, 5.2.3, 5.3.1, 5.3.2, 10.1.2, 10.3.1, 10.3.2, 11.1, 12.7.1 and A-4.3 have been substituted by new ones. (ii) Clause 11.1.1 has been amended. (iii) Fig. 3 has been amended. (iv) New clause 5.3.3. has been added after clause 5.3.2 and the existing clause 5.3.3 has been renumbered as clause 5.3.4. (v) A note has been added at the end of table 4. (vi) Note 3 has been added after note 2 at the end of table 5. 	1978-12-31
		1-1970 Specification for grips (first revision)	S.O. 1277 da.ed 1972-05-27	No. 2 Dec. 1978	 (i) Table 1 has been amended. (ii) Clause 4.1 has been amended. (iii) Clause 5.4.1 has been substituted by a new one. (iv) Existing foot-note with '*' mark at page 5 has been substituted by a new one. 	1978-12-31
		5—1975 Specification for vices (machinist's vices) ision)		No. 1 Dec. 1978	Informal table of clause 2 has been amended.	1978-12-31

2978.

1	2	3	4	5	6
16,	•	S.O. 2042 dated 1965-06-26	No. 3 Dec. 1978	Existing matter of Clause 9 2(a) has been substituted.	1978-12-31
17.	-	S.O. 281 dated 1966-01-22	No. 3 Dec. 1978	 (i) (Page 4, clause 1.1, line 1)—Delete the words '5A and'. (ii) (Page 5, clause 3 1, line 2)—St bstitt 'Fig. 1' for 'Fig. 1 or 2'. (iii) (Page 6, Fig. 2)—Delete. (iv) (Pages 6 and 7, clause 5.1.1, last sentence)—Substitute 'Fig. 1' for 'Fig. 1 or 2'. (v) Clauses 5.1.3 and 8.3.2 have been substituted by new ones 	
18.	IS: 3043—1966 Code of practice for earthing	S.O. 4023 dated 1966-12-31	No. 5 Dec. 1978	 (i) Clause 17,3.2.2 has been substituted by a new one. (ii) Clause 17,3.2.3 has been added after clause 17,3.2.2 and the subsequent clauses have been renumbered accordingly. (iii) A list of Indian Standards has been added in Appendix B for reference for earthing. 	1978-12-31
19.	IS: 3326—1978. Specification for cotton stockinette (first revision)	_	No. 1 Dec. 1978	[Page 4, Table 1, col 2, against Sl. No. (i)]—Substitute 'Total Wales' for] 'Wales/dm'.	1978-12-31
20	IS: 3634—1977 Specification for hand- operated' dust applicator for burrows (first revision)		No. 1 Dec. 1978	(Page 7, clause 6.12.1, line 1)—Substitute 'Discharge Hose' for 'Discharge House'.	1978-12-31
	IS: 3695—1966 Specification for thick bottom aluminium untensils	S.O. 241 dated 1967-01-21	No. 1 Dec. 1978	 (i) (Designation)—Substitute 'IS: 1660 (Part V)—1966' for 'IS: 3695—1966' wherever it appears in the standard. (ii) Title at first cover page, pages 1 and 3 has been substituted by a new one. 	1978-12-31
22	. IS: 3758—1966 Specification for hooks, aural	S.O. 913 dated 1967-03-18	No. 1 Dec. 1978	 (i) (Page 7, clause 7.2 and 7.2.1)—Substitute the following for the existing clauses: '7.2 Corrosion Resistance—The instrument shall satisfy the boiling and autoclaving test as specified in is: 7531—1975*.' (ii) (Page 7, foot-note)—Add the following new foot-note at the bottom of the page: '*Method for boiling and autoclaving test for corrosion resistance of stainless steel surgical instruments'. 	1978-12-31
23	. IS: 3976—1975 Specification for safety rubber-canvas boots for miners—(first Revision).	S.O. 463 dated 1976-01-24	No. 5 Dec. 1978	Clause 4.2.1 has been substituted by a new one.	1978-12-3
24	IS: 4072—1975 Specification for steel for spring washers (first revision)	S.O. 3279 dated 1976-09-11	No. 2 Dec. 1978	Table 1 has been substituted by a new one	1978-12-31
25	. 1S: 4199—1974 Specification for líquid soap (first revision)	S.O. 1092 dated 1977-04-09	No. I Dec. 1978	 (i) Title at first cover page, pages 1 and 3 has been substituted by new one. (ii) (Page 3, clause 0.2)—Delete and renumber the subsequent clauses accordingly. (iii) (Page 3, clause 1.1, line 2)—Substitute 'liquid toilet soap' for 'liquid soap for general purposes'. (iv) (Page 5, Table 1, caption)—Substitute the following for the existing caption: 'Table 1 Requirements for liquid 	1978-12-3

(1) (2)	(3)	(1)	(5)	(6)
26. IS: 4239—1970 Specification mechanical bevel pretractors revision)	for S.O. 1555 (First dated 1972-06-3	No. 1 1 Dec. 1978	Clauses 12.1, 12.2 and 12.5 have been substituted by new ones.	1978-12-31
77. IS: 4359—1967 Specification for	Kaitha —	No. 1 Dec. 1978	 (i) [Page 6, Table 1, col. 3, 4 and 5, against Sl. No. (i)]—Substitute '16' for '12' at all the places. (ii) Clause 3, 2, 1 has been added after clause 3, 2. 	1978-12-3
 IS: 4427—1967 Grading for grokernels for oil milling and for Ta 		No. 2 3 Dec. 1978	JAWA variety of grounudnat kernel for table use which now constitutes a sizeable portion of the export variety of groundnut kernels is being included in the Standard through this Amendment No. 2.	1978-12-3
29. IS: 4460—1967 Method for ra machine cut spur and helical gears		No. 1 B Dec. 1978	 (i) Clause 4.2.7.1 has been substituted by a new one (ii) (Page 17, Appendix B, informal table, col. 6, last but one entry)—Substitute '255 Min' for '225 Min'. (iii) (Page 20, Appendix B, informal table, col. 6, tenth entry)—Substitute '200 (Core)' for '200 (Case)'. (iv) Informal table under Appendix B has been amended. 	1978-12-31
30. IS: 4520—1968 Specification for ceps, punch, nasal (Citelli's antru Hajeks' sphenoidal)		No. 1 Dec. 1978	 (i) (Page 7, clauses 6.2 and 6.2.1)—Substitute the following for the existing clauses: '6.2 Corrosion Resistance—The instrument shall satisfy the bolling and autoclaving test as specified in IS: 7531—1975*. (ii) (Page 7, foot-note)—Add the following new foot-note at the bottom of the page: '*Method for boiling and autoclaving test for corrosion resistance of stainless steel surgical instruments'. 	1978-12-31
 IS: 4563—1968 Specification for squares 	block S.O. 3745 dated 1968-10-26	No. 1 6 Oct. 1978	(i) (Page 4, clause 3.1, line 3)—Substitute 'IS: 1501—1968* for IS: 1501— 1959*. (ii) (Page 4, clause 3.2, line 4)—Substitute 'IS: 1501—1968*' for 'IS: 1501— 1959*. (iii) (Page 4, foot-note with '*' mark)— Substitute the following for the exist- in foot-note: '*Method for Vickers hardness test for steel (first revision).	1978-10-31
32. IS: 4570-1968 Specification for holders	crystal \$.O. 3453 dated 1968-09-28	No. 2 Dec. 1978	 (1) Table 1 has been amended (ii) Informal table under clauses 8.4.3.1, 8.4.3.2 and 8.4.3.3 has been amended. (iii) New matter sheet No. 10 has been added after sheet No. 9 at page 29. 	1978-12-31
 33. IS: 4588—1977 Specification for raw, natural (second revision) 34. IS: 4665 (Pt I)—1968 Specificati 		No. 1 Dec. 1978 No. 1	Clause 5.1 has been substituted by a new one. (i) (Page 32, clause 20.17.2, line 2)—	1978-12-31 1978-12-31
portable motor-operated tools: General requirement and tests.	Part I dated 1968-12-28	Dec. 1978	Substitute 'IS: 2824—1975*' for 'IS: 2048—1962*'. (ii) (Page 32, foot-note with 'f' mark)—Substitute the following for the existing foot-note: "Method for determining the comparative tracking index of solid insulating materials under moist conditions (first revision).'	

(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Specification for worn or general purposes	n S.O. 368 dated 1969-01-2	No. 1 25 Dec. 1978	Existing figure of table 1 has been substituted by a new one.	1978-12-31
8		Specification for edibleur (solvent extracted		No. 1 02 Dec. 1978	 (i) Clause 2.1.2 has been amended (ii) Foot-notes with 't' and 't' mark at page 4 have been substituted by new ones. (iii) Table 1 has been amended. 	1978-12-31
		Specification for edible (solvent extracted)	e S.O. 1906 dated 1969-05-	No. 1 17 Dec. 1978	 (1) (Page 5, Table 1, Sl. No. (vii)—Delete and renumber the subsequent entries accordingly. (1l) Clause 2.1.1 has been added after clause 2.1. (ill) A new foot-note has been added after foot-note with '‡' mark at page 4. 	1978-12-31
	S:5049—1969 or wire dlamete	specification for gauge	S.O. 3728 dated 1969-09-1	No. 1 3 Dec. 1978	 (i) Clauses 0.2, 0.3, 4.1 and 4.2 have been amended. (ii) Existing foot-note with '*' at pages 2 and 3 has been substituted by a new one. (iii) Table 1 has been amended and the existing foot-notes with '*' and 'I' have also been substituted by new ones. 	1978-12-31
		Methods of test fo		No. 2 0 Dec. 1978	Clause 0.3 has been substituted by a new one.	1978-12-31
40. I	S:5359—1969 S	peclfication for sine bar	s S.O. 1236 dated 1970-04-0	No. 1 14 Dec. 1978	 (1) Clause 3 has been substituted by a new one. (i) (Page 4, foot-note with '†' mark)—Substitute the following for the existing foot-note: '†' Method for Vickers hardness tes for steel (first revision).' 	1978-12-31 t
	S: 5412—1969 nasal, Joseph's p	Specification for saw	s, S.O. 2110 dated 1971-05-	No. 1 29 Dec. 197	Clause 6.3 has been substituted by a new one.	1978-12-31
42.	IS: 5676—1970 moulded solld heels	Specification for S rubber soles and 1	6.O. 1555 dated N 972-06-24 D	o. 4 (1 ec. 1978	Page 4, clause 4.3.1, line 1)—Substitute 'Soles and heels' for 'soles'.	1978-12-31
43.	IS: 5747—197 retractor, e	O Specification for indaural (Lempert's i		lo. 1 (occ. 1978	Clasue 6 has been substituted by a new one	1978-12-31
44.	IS: 5749—197 forged ramsho	O Specification for the hooks			Page 4, clause 4.1, line 4)—Substitute '0.02 percent' for '0.20 percent'.	1978-12-31
45.	IS: 5765—197 box, lunch, ale	O Specification for auminium		No. 2 Dec. 1978	 (i) (Designation)—Substitute 'IS: 1660 (Part VII)—1970' for 'IS: 5765—1970' wherever it appears in the standard. (ii) Title at page 1 has been substituted by a new one. 	
46.	IS: 5812-197 optical bevel p	O Specification for a		To. 2 (ec. 1978	Clauses 12.1, 12.2 and 12.5 have been substituted by new ones.	- 1978 - 12-31
4 7.	IS: 6109—197 edible scass pressed)	O Specification for many me flour (expeller			 (i) (Page 5, Table 1, Sl. No. vi, col 3)—Substitute '6.0' for '4.0' (ii) Clause 2.1.1 has been added after clause 2.1 (iii) (Page 4, foot-note)—Add the following note at the end: "‡' Methods of sampling and tests for 	

<u></u>	(4)	(1)	<u></u>	(0)	·
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
48.	IS: 6232-1971 Specification for cast iron box angle plates	S.O. 751 dated 1974-03-16	No. 1 Dec 1978	 (i) (Page 1, clause 3, line 2)—Substitute "IS: 210—1970 'Specification for grey iron castings (second revision)" for "IS: 210—1962 'Specification for grey iron castings (revised) (ii) (Page 2, table 1, foot-note with 'a' mark line 2)—Substitute "IS: 2013—1974 'Dimensions for T-slots (first revision)" for "IS: 2013—1962 'Dimensions for T-slots'". 	1978-12-31)'''.
49.	IS: 6320—1971 Specification for wheat power, thresher (Hammer Mill type)	S.O. 3055 dated 1973-10-27	No. 1 Dec 1978	 (i) (Page 5, clause 4.3, line 3)—Substitute 'the threshing drum' for 'left side cover of threshing drum'. (ii) Clause 6.3 has been substituted by a new one (iii) (Page 7, clause 8.1.1(d)—Substitute 'Power rating, kW (hp)' for 'Inlet and outlet'. (iv) Now matter has been added at the end of clause 6.8 (v) Clause 6.9 has been added after clause 6.8 (vi) Appendix A has been added after clause 9.3.5 	1978-12-3,
50.	IS: 6360-1971 Specification for lacto bonbon	S.O. 510 dated 1974-02-23	No. 1 D∞ 1978	[Page 4, clause 3.1, Sl No. (xx)]—Substitute the following for the existing matter: '(xx) Acidulants, food grade;'	1978-12-31
51.	IS: 6550-1971 Specification for thread milling cutters with parallel shanks	S.O. 1853 dated 1974-07-27	No. 1 Dec 1978	(Page 1, clause 2, informal table, values under column d, fifth and sixth entries)—Substitute '12' for '12.5'.	1978-12-31
52.	IS: 6638—1972 Specification for tractor-mounted spring-loaded cultivators	S.O. 770 dated 1975-03-08	No. 2 Dec 1978	Fig. 2 at page 5 has been substituted by a new one	1978-12-31
53.	IS: 6694—1972 Specification for magnesium ingot (99.8 percent) for remelting	S.O. 1290 dated 1975-04-26	No. 1 Dec 1978	Clauses 3 and 3.1 have been substituted by new ones	1978-12-31
54.	IS: 7001—1973 Method for shot peening and test for shot peened farrous metal parts	S.O. 4690 dated 1975-11-01	No. 1 Dec 1978	Existing figure 1 at page 3 has been substituted by a new one	1978-12-31
55.	IS: 7240—1974 Code of practice for application and finishing of thermal insulating materials between 80°C to 40°C.	S.O. 1596 dated 1976-05-08	No. 1 Dec 1978	 (i) Clause 10.1 has been substituted by a new one (ii) (Page 10, clause 10.2, line 6)—Substitute 'pipe/duct' for 'pipe'. 	1978-12-31
56.	IS: 7300-1974 Methods of regression and correlation		No. 1 Dec 1978	Clauses 3.2.2, 3.4.3.1., 4.3.2. and 4. 3.3 have been amended	1978-12-31
5 7.	IS: 7365—1974 Criteria for hydrau- ile design of bucket type energy dissipators	S.O. 2858 dated 1976-08-07	No. 1 Dec 1978	Clause 4.2.2.3 has been amended	1978-12-31
58.	IS: 7413—1974 Code of practice for the application and finishing of thermal insulating materials at tem- perature between 40°C and 700°C	S.O. 3279 dated 1976-09-11	No. 1 D∞ 1978	 (i) Clause 9.1 has been substituted by a new one (ii) Clauses 9.2 and J-5.1 have been amended 	1978-12-31
59.	IS: 7460—1974 Tolerances for ta- pered roller bearings	S.O. 1092 dated 1977-04-09	No. 2 Dec 1978	(Page 2, clause 4.1.2, informal table, column headings under 'Outside Diameter')—'Dm' Substitute 'Dm' for 'dm' aud 'D*' for 'd*'	1978-12-31
60.	IS: 7550—1975 Specification for cane basket for ration	S.O. 1092 dated 1977-04-09	No. 1 Dec 1978	(i) Clause 3.1.1.1. has been substituted by a new one(ii) Fig. I has been amended	1978-12-31
61.	IS: 75861975 Specification for friction props for mines		No. 1 Dec 1978	(i) Clause 3.1 has been substituted by a new one(ii) (Page 2, clause 3.1.1)—Delete.	1978-12-31

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(6)
62.	IS: 7681—1975 Specification for welded low carbon steel gas cylin- ders for chlorine gas	S.O. 3351 dated 1978-11-25	*No. 3 Dec 1978	2062' for 'IS : 26 (ii) (Pages 9, 10 and	15)—Renumber the follo-	1978-12-31
				wing clauses as	under :	
				Existing Clause	Renumbered Clause	
				6	7	
				6.1 7	7.1 6	
				, 7.1	6.1	
				7.1.1	6.1.1	
				7.1.2	6.1.2	
				7.2	6.2	
				11.5	6.3	
					ew clause 6.1), 10, 10, 1, e 6.3) and 12.1,2 have been ew ones	
				(iv) Clauses 5.5.2(a amended	a) and 11.4(a) have been	
					e 11.1(e)]—Add the follonote after 11.1(e)	
					s for hydrostatic stretch essed gas cylinders.'	
63.	IS: 7835—1975 Specification for edible medium-fat soya flour		No. 1 Dec 1978	(i) Clause 2.1,2 ha 2,1.1	is been added after clause	1978-12-3
				(ii) New foot-note v at page 4	vith 'I' mark has been added	
				(iii) Table 1 has bee	n amended	
64.	IS: 7836—1975 Specification for edible low-fat soya flour	_	No. 1 Dec. 1978	(i) Clause 2.1.2 ha	s been added after clause	1978-12-3
	,			(ii) New foot-note added at page	with '§' mark has been 4	
65.	IS: 8008 (Pt VII)—1976 Specification for injection moulded high density polyethylens (HDPE) fittings for potable water supplies Part VII Specific requirements for sandwich flanges		No. 1 Dec 1978	Table 1 has been a	mended	1978-12-3
66.	IS: 8127-1976 Specification for guide bushes for press tool sets	<u> </u>	No. 1 Dec 1978	Informal table under	clause 2 has been amended	1978-12-3
67.	IS: 8655 (Part I)—1977 Specifica- tion for magnetic sound tape recor- ding and reproducing equipment (reel-to-reel) Part I Methods of measurement	<u></u>	No. 1 Dec 1978	'NOTE 1' for 'N new Note : 'NOT higher accuracy a	e 5.4.3., Note)—Substitute OTE' and add the following TE 2—For measurement for and indication of short term nethod of measurement is	1978-12-3

Copies of these amendments are available with the Indian Standards Institution, Manak Bhavan, : 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002 and also from its branch offices Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneshwar, Bombay, Calcutta, Chandigarh, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Madras, Patna, and Trivandrum.

[No. CMD/13:5]

का अा 2310 - समय समय पर संशोधित भारतीय मानक संस्था (प्रमाणन चिह्न) विनियमावली 1955 के विनियम 8 के उपविनियम (1) के ग्रनुसार, भारतीय मानक संस्था द्वारा ग्रधिसूचित किया जाता है कि नीचे ग्रनुसूची में जिन 80 लाइसेंसों के ब्यौरे दिये गये हैं, वे लाइसेंसधारियों को मुहर लगाने का स्रधिकार देते हुए, फरवरी 1979 में स्वीकृत किये गये हैं :

अनुसूची

	लाइसेंस सं० सी एम/एल	वैधता की अवधि		लाइसेंसधारी का नाम और पता	लाइसेंस के अधीन वस्तु/प्रक्रिया और	
सं०		से	तक		तत्सम्बन्धी भारतीय मानक : पदनाम	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
1.	सी एम एल/7506 1979-02-02	79-02-16	80-02-15	हिन्द मेटल एड ग्रलाईड इंडस्ट्रीज, 25 बी, एफ०, इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, बटाला (पंजाब)	जल-सेवाग्रों के लिए जोड़चूड़ियाँ, साइज केवल 15 मिमी IS: 26921964	
2.	सी एम/एल-7507 1979-02-02	79-02-16	80-02-15	केमिकल्स एंड इंसेक्टिसाइड्स, ग्राम राम- नगर, करंजहा, डा० भैंसहा (वाया सरदार- नगर) जि० गोरखपुर (उ० प्र०) (कार्यालय : सराफ चैंम्बर, हिन्दी बाजार, गोरखपुर (उ० प्र०)		
3.	सी एम/एल-75.08 19 79- 02-02	79-02-16	80-02-15	इंडियन डेयरी ऐंट्रीप्रीन्यौर्स एग्रिकल्चरल कंपनी लिमिटेड, इंडिस्ट्रयल एरिया, रानीवाड़ा- 343040 जिला जलोरा (राजस्थान) (कार्यालय : 1, भवानी सिंह रोड, जयपुर- 302001)		
4.	सी एम/एल-7509 1979-02-02	7 9-0 2-1 6	80-02-15	देवीदयाल (सेल्स) प्रा० लि०, 50/ए ड़ी० भ्राई० जी० सी० इस्टेट करोल, जि० पंचमहल, गुजरात		
5.	सी एम/एल 7510 1979-02-02	79-02-16	80-02-15	म्रोके टेक्स्टाइल्स, (94 बी) 1, लक्ष्मीनगर मेन रोड, तिरूपुर-638602 (तमिलनाडु)	इन्टरलाक बनी हुई सूती बनियान टाइप ग्रार एन ग्रीर ग्रार एन एस साइज 75 से 100 सेमी गेज : 24 ग्री 20—— 1S: 4965 (भाग 2)——1975	
6.	सी एम/एल-7511 1979-02-02	7 9- 02-16	80-02-15	मेनोटेक्स, 2 एख़ (1), क्रिजबे कालोनी एक्सटेंशन, तिरूपुर-638602 (तमिलनाडु)	इन्टरलॉक बनी हुई सूती बनियान टाइप ग्रार एन ग्रौर ग्रार एन एस साइज 75 से 100 सेमी गेज: 24— IS: 4965 (भाग 2)—1975	
7	सी एम/एल-7512 1979-02-02	7 9- 0 2- 1 6	8 0-0 2-1 5	किसान इंसेकटिसाइड्स कं०, सी-14,इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, विजयवाडा-520007 (ग्रां०प्र०)	डी डी टी पायसनीय नेजद्रव IS: 6331975	
8.	सी एम/एस-7513 1979-02-02	7 9 -01-16	80-01-15	टाटा ग्राइरन एंड स्टील कं० लि०, विद्या- विहार रेलवे स्टेशन (पश्चिम) सैन्ट्रल रेलवे (कार्यालय : न्यू इंडिया एझ्योरेंस बिल्डिंग, 87, एम० जी० रोड, फोर्ट, बम्बई-5400023)	कंकीट प्रवलन के लिए ठंडी मरोड़ी विकृ इस्पात की सरिया—— IS: 1786—1966	
9.	सी एम/एल-7514 19 79 -02-05	79-01-16	80-01-15	यूनिवर्सल सिलेंडर लि०, 197, मत्स्य इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, घलवर (राजस्थान)	33.3 लिटर पानी की ममाई वाले एल पी ज सिलेंडर IS: 31961974	
10.	सी एम/एल- 7515 1979-02-05	7 9-02- 16_	80-02-15	प्लान्टक्योर कान्सेट्रट्स, हिम्मतनगर (राणा र बड़ फै क्टरी के पीछे) सहारनपुर (उ०प्र०)	डी डी टी पायमनीय तेज द्रव — IS: 6331975	
11.	सी एम/एस-7516 197 9- 02-05	79-02-16	80-02-15	राठी इस्पात लि॰, इस्पात नगर, गाजियाबाद (उ॰प्र॰)	कार्बन इस्पात के ढले बिलेट पिंड (मानव किस्म — IS: 6914—1973	

(1) (2)	(3)	- (4)	(5)	(6)
12. सी एम/एल-7517	79-02-16	80-02-15	राठी इस्पात लि॰, इस्पात नगर, गाजियाबाद (उ॰प्र॰)	कार्बन इस्पात के ढले बिलेट पिंड (साधारण किस्म) IS: 6915-1973
13. सी एम/एल-7518 1979-02-05	79-02-16	80-02-15	हानुत सेल्स कार्पोरेशन, 140, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, झोटवाड़ा, जयपुर (राज०)	18–लिटर समाईवाले वर्गाकार टिन— IS : 916—1975
14. सी एम/एल7519 1979-02-05	79-02-16	80-02-15	यूनाइटेड पल्वराइजर्स, बोदला, म्रागरा—202007 (उ० प्र०)	कारबेरिल जलविस्जेंनीय पाउडर सान्द्र (भूमि छिड़काव ग्रेड)— IS: 7121—1973
15. सी एम/एल−7520 1 979-02-05	79-02-16	80-02-15	काउन निटिंग मिल्स, 88 एफ, (15) बी (19),कामराज रोड, तिरूपुर−638604.	
16. सी एम/एल-7521 1979-02-05	79-02-16	80-02-15	पाइपफिक्स इंडस्ट्रीज, सैक्टर 17, ई-28-29 नवीन कविनगर के पीछे इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, गाजियाबाद (उ०प्र०)	
17. सी एम/एल-7522 1979-02-05	79-02-16	80-02-15	वेल्डकाफ्ट प्रा० लि०, ब्लाक 1 शामइंड स्ट्रियल कम्प्लैक्स, 60, इंडस्ट्रियल सबर्ब, सेकिंड स्टेज, एम एफ ग्राई के पीछे, बंगलौर-560022	संरचना इस्पात की धातु आर्क वैश्विंग के लिए ढके इलेक्ट्रोड—ब्राड IS:814 (भाग 1) IS:814 (भाग 2) के लिए कोडिंग के लिए कोडिंग
				अधिकतम 6013 ई-317-412 ई-317 अधिकतम अत्यूमिनियम ई-313-412 ई-313
				IS: 814 (भाग 1 ग्रीर 2)-1974
18. सी एम/एल 7523 1978-02-06	79-02-16	80-02-15	काप हैल्य प्राडक्ट्स प्रा० लि०, डी-31/1, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, मेरठ रोड, गाजियाबाद (उ०प्र०)	
19. सी एम/एल−7524 1979-02-06	79-02-16	80-02-15	राणा रबड़ इंडस्ट्रीज, स्टार पेपर मिल रोड, सहारनपुर-247001	रबड़ कन्वेयर और एलीवेटर वेल्टिंग-ग्रेड एम- 24 सत्मान्य कार्य IS: 189(भाग 1)1968
20 . सी एम/एस7525 1979-02-07	79-02-16	80-02-15	रेडीहाट इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, 17, डिप्टीगंज, सदर बाजार, दिल्ली-110006	बिजली का घरेलू ग्राहार-मिक्सी 200 वा 230 वो ए सी, 0.5 लिटर समाईवाला (2मिनट चालू 5 मिनट बन्द) IS: 42501967
21. सी एम/एल-7526 1979-02-07	79-02-16	80-02-15	इन्टरब्रुश दौलताबाद रोड, गुड़गाँव (हरियाणा) (कार्यालय : 4/24 ए, ग्रासफ ग्रली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110001)	
22. सी एम/एल7527 1979-02-07	79-02-16	80-02-15	मल्टी प्लैक्स एग्रो इन्डस्ट्रीज प्रा० लि०, प्लाट नं० $184/11$ श्रौर 12 जी० श्राई० डी० सी० इस्टेट; नरोडा, ग्रहमदाबाद -382330 (गुजरात)	
23. सी एम/एल-7528 1979-02-08	79-02-16	80-02-15	जे० के० केबिल्म इंडस्ट्रीज, 42, इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, जम्मू (जम्मू-कश्मीर)	अल्यूमिनियम युक्त चालक और एल्यूमिनियम चालक, जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित— IS: 398 (भाग 1 भौर 2)—1976

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	<u>((e)</u>
24	सीएम/एल-7529 1979-02-08	79-02-16	80-02-15	डिट्ज इतेक्ट्रिकल्स (इंडिया) लि०, 29, मल्कागंज रोड, दिल्ली-110007	भिजली की इस्तिरियां (लोहां), प्रस्वचल प्रकार, 250 बोल्ट से भनधिक बोल्टता की— 1S: 366—1965
25.	सीएम/एल7530 1979-02-08	7 9- 02-16	8 0- 0 2-1 5	—वही⊸	बिजली की केसिलयाँ—230 बी॰, 1,5 किश भीर 1,5 लिटर समाई वाली—— IS 367——1977
26	सीएम/एल−7531 1979-02-08	79-02-16	80~02~15	म्ननिल रबड़ मिल्स, प्लाट, नं० 30, सैक्टर 6, फरीदाबाद	र्बड कर्लीयर ध्रीर एलीबेटर बैक्टिंग, मामान्य कार्य, ग्रेड एम 24 केवल— IS: 1891 (भाग 1)—1968
27.	सीएम/एल-7532 1979-02-09	79-02-16	80-02-15	छोटाभाई जैठाभाई पटेल एंड कं०, टोबेको बीडी लीट्य मर्चेन्ट्स एंड बीड़ी मैन्यु- फेक्चरर्स, गौंदिया, जिला भंडारा (महा- राष्ट्र)	योडी IS : 19251974
28.	सीएम/एस- 7533 1979-02-09	79-02-16	80-02-15	सबर्न इन्सेक्टिसाइड्स एंड फर्टिलाइजर्म, 1-ए/2 इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, प्रम्बतूर, मब्रास-600058 (तमिलनाडु)	डी डी जलिसर्जनीय पाउंबर मान्द्र IS: 5651975
29.	स्रीएम/एस-7534 1979-02-15	79-03-01	80-02-29	सयूर निर्दिग मिल्स, 33, देवजी कालोमी, निरुपुर-638601	सावा बुनी सूती बास्कटें : टाइप : भायरन श्रीर भार एन एस सोइज : 75 से 100 से मी गेज : 26 IS : 4964 (भाग 2)1975
30.	सीएम/एख-7335 1979-02-15	7 5-03 - 0 1	80-02-29	पेट्रोलियम प्रोडक्टम मेन्यु० मोसाइटी विट्ठल- वाडी इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, भावनगर-364001 (गुजरात)	
31	. सीए म/एस-7 536 1 979- 02-16	7 9-0 3-0 1	80-02-29	रामकृष्ण बन्धु, 1509, खब्धा जिन, मालेगांब- 123203,जिला नासिक (महाराष्ट्र)	कत्या ग्रेड 2 1S: 43591967
32	सीएम/एल-7537 1979-0 2- 16	79-03-01	80-02-29	प्रेंशस इंडस्ड्रीज, एम०ब्राई०डी०सी० वंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, कास रोड "बी" बंधेरी (पूर्व) बम्बई-400093 (महा०)	
33	सीएम/एल-7538 1979-02-16	79-03-01	80-02-29	यूनियर्सल स्टील इंडस्ट्रीज, 197, कोलगेट रोड, योणे (महाराष्ट्र) (कार्यालय—तीमरी लेन, दारूश्वामा बम्बई- 400010)	संरजना इस्पात (मानक किस्म) IS: 2261975
34	. सीएम/एस-7539 1979-02-16	79-03-01	80-02-29	फर्थ (इंडिया) स्टील कें शिर, प्लाट कें एफ/3, रोड नें 22 बागले इस्टेट, थाना- 400604	तेज गिनवाले भ्रौआरो के लिए इस्पात 32.0 मिमी तक गोल, भंभी स्थितियों के लिए— IS: 7291—1974
35	. सीएम/ एस- 7540 1979-02-16	79-03-01	80-02-29	नन्दू केमिकल इन्बस्ट्रीज (फूड प्रावक्टस विवी- जन), एन-12, इंबस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, गोकुल गोड, हुबली-580021 (कनटिक)	मानय नमक IS: 2531970
36	. सीएम/एल-7541 1979-01-16	79-03-01	80-02-29	गिल्लूराम गौरीशंकर, झाऊसागढ़ी वैद्यनाथ- देवधर,जिला सन्थान परगना (बिहार)	एल्युमिनियम युक्त वालक भीर एल्युमिनियम जालक, जस्तीकृत इत्यात प्रवसित— IS: 398 (भाग । भीर 2)——1976
3.7	. सीएम/एल-7542 1979-02-16	79-03-01	80-02-29	क्वालिटी टी-चैस्ट मैन्यु० वर्क्स, 1 ा/1, तपासिया रोड, कलकसा-700046	
38	. सी एम/एल-7543 1979-02-16	79- 03-01	80-02-29	इंडस्ट्रियल केबिल्स (इंडिया) लि०, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, राजपुरा-140401	

(1) (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
39.	सीएम/एल-7544 1979-02-20	79-03-01	80-02-29	प्रवीण ट्रेडिंग कारपोरेशन, सी-24, वंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, पटना-800013 (कार्यालय: फेजर रोड, पटना) (बिहार)	एल्यूमिनियम युक्त भालक और एल्यूमिनियम बालक, जस्ती, कृत इस्पान प्रसमित— IS: 398 (भाग । और भाग 2)— 1976
40.	मीएम/एल-7545 1979-02-21	79-03-01	80-02-29	श्री मंजुनाय पत्यराइजर्स प्रा.०लि०, 620 इंडस्ट्रियल सक्षर्ने, ग्रमयन्त्रनगर, कंगलीर- 560022 (कर्माटक) (कार्यालय : सायूवाणी विल्डिंग, एन० ग्रार० रोड, बंगलीर-560002 (कर्नाटक)	टोक्साफेनी पायसकीय तेज द्रव IS: 79461976
41.	सीएम/एल~7546 1979-02-21	79-03-01	80-02-29	र्भेक्सदैल वायर इंडस्ट्रीज, राजापुर पटना- 800001	एल्यूमिनियम युक्त चालक भीर एल्यूमिनियम चालक, जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित— IS:398 (भाग 1 भीर 2)—1976
42.	सीएम/एष-7547 1979-02-21	79-03-01	8 0-0 2-2 9	रैंडियेन्ट केबिस्स प्रा०लि० बी-2, इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेंट, मधतसगर, हैदराबाद-500018 (ग्रा०प्र०)	(पी वी सी रोधित भाषी इय्टी) विजली के केबिल, तांचे के चालको वाले IS: 1554 (माग 1)1976
43.	स्रोप्म/एल-7548 1979-02-21	79-03-01	80-02-29	विश्वास इंजीनियरिंग कारपोरेशन, 2 अस्त्रिका मुखर्जी रोड, कलकक्षा-700056 (पश्चिम बंगाल)	कृषि, कार्यों के लिए साफ, ठंडे, ताजा पानी के बास्ते भौतिज, भपकेन्द्रीय पम्प, निम्ना- किन साइजों के —— साइज गति 80-65 मिमी 1450 भार पी एम इयूरीप्लाइन्ट
					11 एम हैड पर 1000 एल भी एम डिस्चार्ज 70% समना एवं 3.7 किया पम्प इनपुट टाइप/मावल ई-50— IS: 6595—1972
44-	सीएम/एस-7549 1979-02-21	79-03-01	8 0-0 2-2 9	हैंडी प्राडक्टस, जवाहर कोम्रापरेटिल इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, प्लाट नं० 58/74, कामाचे पमवेस न्यू बम्बई (महा०) (कार्यालय: 10, छपरा विल्डिश, ग्रार०के० वैद्य रोड, दादर, बस्बई-400028)	था सिलेन्डरों बाली रोटरी मझीन के लिए बुज्लीकेटिंग स्याही IS: 12221973
45.	सीएम/एल^7 5 5 0 1 9 7 8-0 2-2 1	79-03-01	80-02-29	रोमर एंड कं॰ (इंडिया) इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, तालकटोरा, लखनक-226011	मीलिंग वैनस ग्रेड 1, 2 मीर 3 IS: 8681956
4 6,	सीएम/एल⁴7551 1979-02-21	79- 03- 01	8 0-0 2-2 9	न्यु कण्मीर स्टील रोलिग मिल्स, न्यू इंडस्ट्रियस इस्टेट, गंस्थाल, जस्युतवी	संरचना इस्पात (मानक किस्म) IS: 2261975
47.	सीएम/एल-7552 1979-02 -2 1	79+03-01	8 0-0 2-2 9	n	संरचना इस्पात (माधारण किस्म)— IS: 1977—1975
48.	स्रीएम/एल-7553 1979-02-21	79-03-01	80-02-29	मेटलमैन पाइप मेन्यु० कं० प्रा०लि०, 1719 मृकलिया, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, सांवर रोड, इन्दौर	मधीनी झौर सामान्य इंजीनियरी कार्यों के लिए इस्पात की निलयों, ई झार डब्स्यू 21 झौर सी ई डब्स्यू-38 ग्रेडों की————————————————————————————————————
49.	सीएम/एस-7554 1979-02-24	7 9~0 3~0 1	8 0-0 2-2 9	पालसन इंडस्ट्रियल, 165, ग्रोखला इंडस्ट्रियल कम्पलेक्स, फेज 2 नई दिल्ली-110020	टाइपराइटर के रिबन, टाइप 1 झौर 2 काले झौर काले लाल के लिए मध्यम स्याही IS: 41741977
50.	सीएम/एल-7553 1 979-02-24	79-03-01	80-02-29	इन्टर फार्सेस्यूटिकल्स (इंडिया) प्राव्लिव, श्रशोक सिनेमा बिल्डिण बुद्धमार्ग, पटमा- 800001 (बिहार)	

(1	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
51.	सीएम/एस-7556 1979-02-24	79-03-0	1 80-02-2	श के०सी०ए० चि०, चन्द्रालय, चंडी बाज वेदेश्वर, जामनगर-361002 (गुजरात) (कार्यालय: हेमन्त कुंज, घस्पताल रोड, जाम नगर 360001 (गुजरात')	IS: 2924-1974
5 2.	सीएम/एस~ 7 5 5 7 1 9 7 9- 0 2-2 8	79-03-0	1 80-02-	29 एस॰एम॰पी॰ (प्रा॰लि॰), 22/1, एम॰प्राई॰ सी॰ इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, रोहा तालुका, जिल कोलावा (महाराष्ट्र)	
53.	सीएम/एल-7558 1979-02-28	79-03-01	8 0- 0 2- 2 9	 एस०एम०पी० (पा०) सि०, 22/1,एम माई बी सी इंबस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, रोहा तालुका, जिला कोलाबा (महाराष्ट्र) 	_
54.	सीएम/ एस- 75 59 19 79- 02-28	7 9-03 -01	80-02-29) ,,	फेनीट्रोथियोन पायसनीय तेज द्रव की पुर पैकिंग— IS: 5281-1969
	सीएम/एस-7560 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	,,	भलाधियोन पायसनीय तेज द्वच की पुत पैकिंग IS: 25671978
	सी एम/एल- 7 5 6 1 1 9 7 9- 0 2- 5 8	79-03-01	80-02-29	,,	डाइक्लोबॉस पायसमीय तेज इस की पुन पैकिंग 1S: 5277-1978
	नीएम/एल- 75 6 2 1 9 7 9-0 2-2 8	79-03-01	80-02-29	"	ही दी टी जलविसर्जनीय पाउडर मान्त्रण की पुन:पैकिंग~- IS: 565-1975
	रीएम/ एस- 7 5 6 3 1 9 7 9- 0 2- 2 8	79-03-01	80-02-29	p	धी एच सी जलविजर्सनीय पाउडर सान्धण की पुन:पैंकिंग IS: 562
	गिएम/एल-7564 । 979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	यमुना पैकिंग इंडस्ट्रीज प्राव्धिक, सहारनपूर रोड, यमुमानगर-135001	चाय की पेटियों के लिये पट्टिया IS:10 (भाग 3)-1974
	ोएम/एल-7565 १979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	फीडर बैलेंसिंग डेयरी, टी गन्टूर डिस्ट्रिक्ट मिल्स प्रोडयूसर्स की झाप० यूनियन लि०, बाडलामुडी, तेनाली तालुक, जिला गन्ट्रर (झा०प्र०)	दुग्ध कूर्ण IS: 1165-1975
	पिम/एल-7566 । 979-02-28	79-03-01	8 0- 0 2-2 9	हिमाचल स्टील्स, ग्राम व डा० मझोली मलगढ (हि०प्र०)	संरचना इस्पात (मानक किस्म)— IS: 226-1975
)एम/ एल- 7567 979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	भ्रपार प्रा० लि०, पश्चिमी रेशवे के सामने ''क्कीं' केखिन छानी रोड,बड़ौदा-390002	मेटल आर्फ वेल्डिंग के लिये क्के इलेक्ट्रोड सामान्य प्रवेश टाइए—- IS: 814 (भाग 1)—1974
	एम/एल-7568 979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	एंडवाम स्टील टयूम्स लि०, 45/3 इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, साइट 4. साहिबाबाद (उ०प्र०)	संरचना कार्यों के लिये इस्पात की नलियां, हल्की भौर भध्यम, काली, ग्रेड: चाई एस टी 22 ई मार डब्यू, साइज:केबल- 80 मिमी तक IS: 1161-1968
	एम/ एल-75 69 979-02-28	7 9- 0 3- 0 1	80-02-29	द्रियो द्रंजीनियरिंग कं०, 1/1की मुबलचन्द्र लेन, ह कलकत्ता-700009 (पं० बंगाल)	इस्तवालित दवाव वाला नौतैफ स्प्रे मर-— IS: 1970 (भाग 1)—1974
	र्म/एस-1570) 79-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	जिन्दल (इंडिया) प्रा० लि०, धर्मतला रोह, व बा० बेलूरमठ, हावड़ा, (कार्यालय: 2/1, धहमद मामूजी स्ट्रीट, डा० लिलुझा, जि० हावड़ा)	संरचना कार्यों के लिये इस्पात की निर्मया, साइज: 13.5 मिमी एन बी तक एवं सहित श्रेणी/ "हल्की" ग्रौर "माध्य" ग्रेड: बाइ एस टी 22— IS: 1161-1968
	.म/एल-7571 79-02-28	79-03-01 8	30-02-29 t	रिप्रकल्बरल ईडस्ट्रीज (रिज॰) जी॰ टी॰ मल रोड, बटाला	जल के लिये बालू ढलें लोहें के पाइप साइज: 50, 75 भीर 100 मिमी केबल IS: 1729-1964

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) (6)
67. भीएम/एल-7572 1978-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	मिनर्वा इंडस्ट्रीज 6/5, गली बड़ा भाई, बेल- नगंज, भागरा-282004 (उ०प्र०)	खड़े, एक सिलंडर के चार स्ट्रोक वाले जलशीतित, डीजल इंजन, निम्नोक्ति, रेटिंग के— निगर्म गति प्रधिमियन्त्रण 4.77 किंवा 1500 श्रेणी 'बी'' (6.5 हापा) श्रारपीएम टाइप/माडल एस एक सी एम 1-2 269 जी/किंवा/प्र) [198 जी/बीएचपी/एक) [15: 1601-1960]
68. सीएम/एल-7573 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	मिनप्रो इंडस्ट्रीज, 50-51 भीर 60, इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, इन्दौर-452003	ना कार्यों के लिये ब्रल्युमिनियम पेंट, दूहरे डिब्बे में- IS: 2339~1963
69. सीएम/एल-7574 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	एग्रिकल्बरल डिस्क्स कारपोरेशन जी० टी० रोड, करनाल (हरियाणा)	खेत-जुताई के डिस्क, मतावेर टाइप-साइज: केवल 610 मिमी० IS: 4366 (भाग 1)-1972
70- सीएम/एल-7575 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	एम० पी० एग्रो पेस्टिसाइब्स लि०, इंबस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, बीना-470113 (मध्य रेलबे) जिला सागर (म०प्र०)	द्यी० डी० जलिंबसर्जन पाउडर सान्द्रण— IS: 5651975
71. सीएम/एल-7576 1979-02-28	7 9-0 3-0 1	80-02-29	रैलिस इंडिया लि०, (फॉटलाइजर्स एंड पेस्टि- साइड्स डिबीजन) 94, इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, प्रम्बतूर, मद्रास-600058 (त० ना०)	क्लोर डे न पायसनीय तेज द्वव IS: 26821966
72. मीएम/एस-7577 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	सवर्न इंमेक्टिसाइड्स एंड फर्टिलाइजर्स, 1-ए/2, इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट, श्रम्बतूर, मद्रास-600058 (त॰ ना॰)	भावसीडीसीटन मियाइल पायसनीय तेज इव IS: 8259-1976
73. मीएम/एल-7578 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	त्रेसेंट रवड़ इंडस्ट्रीज, 13/1, न्यू तांगड़ा रोड, कलकरता-700046 (प० झं०)	खान श्रमिकों के लिये सुरक्षा रवड़ केनवास बूट, टाइप 2 IS: 3976-1976
74. सीएम/एल-7579 1979-02-28	79- 03 - 01	80-02-29	स्वास्तिक केशिल कं०, 43, राजस्थानी उद्योग- नगर जी० टी० रोड जहांगीरपुरी के सामने विल्ली	रबड़ रोधित केबिल, नम्य डोरी सांबे के चालकों वाले केबल $250/440$ वोल्ट के $ ext{IS}: 434 (भाग 1)-1964$
75. सीएम/एल-7580 1979-0 <i>2</i> -28	79-03-01	80-02-29	इंडस्ट्रियल केविस्स (इंडिया) लि०, स्टील, वायर यूनिट, लालचन्द नगर किलाजम्फार गड़ जि० जीद (हरियाणा)	ठंडा खिचो प्रतिबलित ग्रनयुक्त तार— IS:1785 (भाग 1)—1966
76. सीएम/एल-7581 1979-02-28	7 9-0 3-0 1	80-02-29	त्रही	पूर्व प्रतिबलित कंकीट के लिये वांतेवार तार IS: 60031970
77. सीएम/एल-7582 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	मैन्स्फोल्ड केबिल्स भी/42.43 सैक्टर 6 नोइडा इंडन्ट्रियल कम्पर्लेक्स जि०गाजिया बाद (उ०प्र०)	9
78. सीएम/एल-7583 1979-0 <i>2</i> -28	79-03-01	80-02-29	स्ववेशी एन्टरप्राइज एड केमिकल इंडस्ट्रीज, 111/108, ए-60ए पोखर पुखा, कानपुर (उ॰ प्र॰) (कार्यालय: 26/50, बिर- हाना रोड, कानपुर-208001 (उ॰ प्र॰)	
79. सीएम/एस-7584 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	किशनचन्द्र एंड सन्स, डी- ७, क्रुप्णनगर, दिल्ली (कार्यालय : भागीरच पैलेस, सर्जिकल मार्केट, दिल्ली)	हथकरमा की सूती जाली, प्रवशोषक — IS : 758⊶1975
80. सीएम/एल-7585 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	पाया फुटकेयर इंडस्ट्रीज, 14/4 सिविल लाइन्स कानपुर 208001 (उ०प्र०)	चमड़े के दस्साने ग्रीर मोजे, टाइप 1, 2 ग्रीर 3 IS: 2573-1975

[सं॰ सी एम डी/13:11] ए॰ पी॰ बनजी, भ्रपर महानिदेशक S. O. 2310:—In pursuance of sub regulation (1) of Regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulation, 1955, as amended from time to time, the Indian Standards Institution, hereby notifies that eighty licences, particulars of which are given in the following Schedule, have been granted during the month of February, 1979 authorising the licensees to use the Standard Marks:

SCHEDULE

	Licence No. (CM/L-)	Period of Validity		Name and Address of the licensec	Article/Process covered by Licences and the Relevant IS: Designation	
_	. (C.1.1, 2)	From To				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
1.	CM/L-7506 1979-02-02	79-02-16	80-02-15	Hind Metal & Allied Industries, 25 BF, Industrial Estate, Batala (Punjab)	Ferrules for water services, Size : 15 mm only— IS : 2692—1964	
2.	CM/L-7507 1979- 0 2 -02	79-02-16	80-02-15	Chemicals & Insecticides, Village Ramnagar, Karanjaha P. O. Bhainsah (Via Sardar Nagar) Distt. Gorakhpur (U.P.) (Office: Saraf Chamber Hindi Bazar, Gorakhpur) (U.P.)	Aldrin EC— IS: 1307—1973	
3.	CM/L-7508 1979-02-02	79-02-16	80-02-15	Indian Dairy Entrepreneurs Agricultural Co. Ltd., Industrial Area, Raniwara- 343040 Distt. Jalora (Rajasthan) (Off: 1, Bhiwani Singh Road, Jaipur-302001)	Infant milk foods IS: 15471968	
4.	CM/L-7509 1979-02-02	79-02-16	80-02-15	Devidayal (Sales) Pvt. Ltd., 50/A D.I.G.C. Estate Kalol, Distt. Panchmahals, Gujarat	Malathion DP→ IS: 25681973	
	CM/L-7510 1979-02-02	79-02-16	80-02-15	Okey Textiles, 94(B)1, Laxminagar Main Road, Tirupur-638602 Tamilnadu	Interlock knitted cotton vests. Types: RN and RNS Sizes: 75 to 100 cm Gauges: 24 and 20— IS: 4965 (Part II)—1975	
	CM/L-7511 1979-02-02	79-02-16	80-12-15	Manotex 2H (1), Bridgeway Colony Extension Tirupui-638602 Tamilnadu	Interlock knitted cotton vests: Types: RN and RNS Sizes: 75 to 100 cm Gauge: 24— IS: 4965 (Part II)—1975	
	CM/L-7512 1979-02-02 CM/L-7513 1979-02-02	79-02-16 79-01-16		Kisan Insecticides Co. C-14 Industrial Estate, Vijaywada-520007 (A.P.). Tata Iron & Steel Co. Ltd., Vidya Vihar Railway Station (West) Central Railway (Off: New India Assurance Bldg. 87,	1S: 633—1975 Cold twisted deformed steel bars for concrete reinforcement —	
				M. G. Road, Fort, Bombay-400023).		
	CM/L7514 1979-02-05	79-02-16		Universal Cylinder Ltd. 197, Mataya Industrial Area, Alwar (Rajasthan)	IS: 3196—1974	
10.	CM/L-7515 1979-02 -0 5	79-02-16	80-02-15	Plantcure Concentrates, Himmat Nagar, (Behind Rana Rubber Factory) Saha- ranpur (U.P.)	DDT EC IS: 6331975	
11.	CM/L-7516 1979-02-05	79-02-16	80-02-15	Rathi Ispat Ltd. Ispat Nagar Ghaziabad	Carbon steel cast billet ingots '(standard quality)— IS: 6914—1973	
	CM/L-7517 1979-02-05	79-02- 16	80-02-15	-do-	Carbon steel cast billet ingots (ordinary quality)— IS: 6915—1973	
13.	CM/L-7518 1979-02-05	79-02-16	80-02-15	Hanut Sales Corpn., 140 Industrial Area, Jhotwara, Jalpur		
	CM/L-7519 1979-02-05	79-02-16	80-02-15	United Pulverisers, Bodla Agra-282007(U.P.)		
	CM/L-7520 1979-02-05	79-02- 16	80-02-15	Crown Knitting Mills, 88F, (15) B (19), Kamraj Road, Tirupur-638604 (Tamil Nadu)	Plain knitted cotton vests Type: RN & RNS Size: 40 to 100 cm Gauge 24 IS: 4964 (Part II)—1975	

(1) (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
16.	CM/L-7521 1979-02-05	79-02-16	80-02-15	Pipefix Industries, Sector XVII E-28-29 Behind New Kavinagar Industrial Area, Ghaziabad-(U.P.)	Malleable cast iron pipe fittings of the type: Size Designation		
					Equal Sockets M2 1/2 to 2 Equal Elbows A1 1/2 to 2 Equal Tecs B1 1/2 to 2 IS: 1879 (Part II, III & IV)—1975		
17	. CM/L-7522 1979-0205	79-02-16	80-02-15	Weldcraft Pvt. Ltd., Block 1 Sham Industrial Complex, 60, Industrial Suburb, II Stage, Behind MEI, Bangalore-560022	Covered electrodes for motal are welding of structural steel Brand Coding for Coding for 1S:814 (Pt I) (Pt II)		
					Maximum 60131 E-313-412 E-313 Maximum 6013 E-317-412 E-317 Maximum Aluminium E-313-412 E-313 IS: 814 (Part I & II)—1974		
18.	CM/L-7523 1979-02-06	79-02-16	80-02-15	Crop Health Products Pvt. Ltd., D-31/1, Industrial Area, Meerut Road, Ghazia- bad (U.P.)	Heptachlor EC— IS: 6439—1972		
19.	CM/L-7524 1979-02 -06	79-02-16	80-02-15	Rana Rubber Industries, Stars Paper Mill Road, Saharanpur-247001	Rubber conveyor and elevator belting—Grade M-24 general purpose IS: 1891 (Part I)—1968		
20.	CM/L~7525 1979-02-07	79-02-16	80-02-15	Redihot Electricals, 17, Deputy Ganj, Sadar Bazar, Delhi-110006	,		
21.	CM/L~7526 1979-02-07	79-01-16	80-02-15	Interbrush, Daulatabad Road, Gurgaon (Haryana) (Office: 4/24-A Asaf Ali Road New Delhi-110001).	Brushes paints and varnishes flat; Size: 100 mm only— IS: 384—1971		
22.	CM/L~7527 19 7 9-02-07	79-02-16	80-02-15	Multiplex Agro Industries Pvt. Ltd., C Plot No. 184/11 and 12 G.I.D.C. Estate Naroda Ahmedabad-382330 (Gujarat)	Carbaryl DP— IS: 7122—1973		
23.	CM/L-7528 1979-02-08	79-02-16	80-02-15	J. K. Cables Industries, 42, Industrial Estate, Jammu (J&K).	Aluminium stranded conductors and aluminium conductors galvanized steel reinforced— IS: 398 (Pt I & II)—1976		
24.	CM/L~7529 1979-02-08	79-02-16	80-02-15	Ditz Electricals (India) Ltd., 29, Malkaganj Road, Delhi-110007.	Electric irons, Non-automatic type, voltage not exceeding 250 volts— IS: 366—1965		
25.	CM/L~7530 1979-02-08	79-02-16	80-02-15	-do-	Electric kettles—230 V, 1.5 KW & 1.5 litre capacity— IS: 367—1977		
26.	CM/L~7531 1979-02-08	7 9-0 2 -16	80-02-15	Anil Rubber Mills, Plot No. 30, Sector 6, Faridabad	Rubber conveyor and elevator belting general purposes, Grade M 24 only IS: 1891(Pt I)—1968		
27.	CM/L~7532 1979-02-09	79-02-16	80-02-15	Chhotabhai Jethabhai Patel & Co. Tobacco Bidi Leaves Merchants and Bidi Manu- factures, Gondia Distt. Bhandara Maha- rashtra.	IS: 1925—1974		
28.	CM/L~7533 1979-02-09	79-02-16	80-02-15	Southern Insecticides & Fertilizers 1-A/2 Industrial Estate, Ambattur, Mad- ras-600058 Tamil Nadu	DDT water dispersible powder concentrates— IS: 565—1975		
29.	CM/L~7534 1979-02-15	79-03-01	80-02-29	Mayura Knitting Mills, 33 Devji Colony, Tirupur-638601.	Plain knitted cotton vests: Types: RN and RNS Sizes: 75 to 100 cm Gauge: 26— IS: 4964 (Part II)—1975		
30.	CM/L-7535 1979-02-15	79-03-01	80-02-29	Petroleum Products Mfg. Society, Vithal- wadi Industrial Estate, Bhavnagar-364001 Gujarat.	Paraffin wax, type 3— IS: 4654—1974		

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
31.	. CM/L-7536 1979-02-16	79-03-01	80-02-29	Ramkrishna Bandhu, 1509 Khadda Jin, Malegaon-423203 Distt. Nasik Maha- rashtra.	Kattha Grade 2— IS: 4359—1967
32.	CM/L-7537 1979-02-16	79-03-01	80-02-29	Precious Industries, M.I.D.C. Industrial Area, Cross Road 'B', Andheri (East)—Bombay-400093 (Maharashtra).	Ceiling roses— 1S: 371—1966
33.	CM/L-7538 1979-02-16	79-03 -0 1	80-02-29	Universal Steel Industries, 197 Kolshet Road Thane (Maharashtra). (Office: Third Lane, Darukhana, Bombay- 400010).	Structural steel (standard quality)— IS: 226—1975
34.	CM/L-7539 1979-02-16	7 9-0 3-01	80-02-29	Firth (India) Steel Co. Ltd., Plot No. F/3 Rd. No. 22, Wegle Estate Thana-400604.	High speed tool steels round upto 32.0 mm for all conditions— 1S: 7291—1974
3 5.	CM/L-7540 1979-02-16	79-03-01	80-02-29	Nandu Chemical Industries (Food Products Division) N-12 Industrial Estate, Gokul Rd. Hubli-580021 (Karnataka). Office: MHB-40 Vishweshwar Nagar Hubli-580022 (Karnataka).	Free flowing table salt- IS: 2531970
36.	CM/L-7541 1979-02-16	79-03-01	80-02-29	Gillooram Gaurishankar Jhousagarhi, Baldyanath-Deoghar, Distt Santhal Pargana (Bihar).	Aluminium stranded conductors and aluminium conductors galvanized steel reinforced— IS: 398 (Part 1 & II)—1976
37.	CM/L-7542 1979-02-16	79-03-01	80-02-29	Quality Tea Chest Mfg. Works, 11/1 Tapasia Road, Calcutta-700046.	Tea-chest metal fittings— IS: 10 (Part IV)—1979
38.	CM/L-7543 1979-02-16	79 - 03-01	80-02-29	Industrial Cables (India) Ltd. Industrial Area, Rajpura-140401	Trolley and contact wire for electric traction— IS: 3476—1967
39.	CM/L-7544 1979-02-20	79-03-01	80-02-29	Praveen Trading Corporation, C-24 Industrial Area, Patna-800013. (Office: Fraser Road, Patna) (Bihar).	Aluminium stranded conductors and aluminium conductors galvanized steel reinforced—. IS: 398 (Part L&II)—1976
	CM/L-7545 1979-02-21	79 -03-01	80-02-29	Siee Manjunatha Pulverisers Pvt. Ltd., 62, Industrial Subrub, Yeshwanthpur, Bangalore-560022 (Karnataka). (Office: Sabuvani Building, N.R. Road, Bangalore-560002) (Karnataka).	
41.	CM/L-7546 1979-02-21	79 - 03-01	80-02-29	Maswell Wire Industries Rajapur, Patna-800001.	Aluminium stranded conductors and aluminium conductors galvanized steel reinforced— IS: 398 (Pt I&II)—1976
	CM/L-7547 1979-02-21	79-03-01	80-02-29	Radiant Cables Pvt. Ltd., B-2, Industrial Estate. Sanatnagar, Hyderabad-500018 (A.P.)	PVC insulted (Heavy duty) electric cables with copper conductors— IS: 1554 (Part I)—1976
	CM/L7548 1979-02-21	79-03-01	80-02-29	Mukherjee Road, Calcutta-700056 (West Bengal).	Horizontal Centrifugal pumps for clear, cold fresh water for agricultural purpose of the following sizes: Size Speed 80 × 65 mm 1450 RPM Duty Point
					At 11M Head 1000 LPM Discharge 70% efficiency and 3.7 K W pump input Type/Model E-50 IS: 6595—1972
	CM/L-7549 1979-02-21	79-03-01	80-02-29	Handy Products, Jawabar Co-operative I Industrial Estate, Plot No. 58/74, Kamothe Panyel, New Bombay (Maha- rashtra). Office: 10 Chhapra Building R.K. Vaidya Road Dabar, Bombay-400028.	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
45.	CM/L-7550 1979-02-21	79-03-01	80-02-29	Romer & Co. (India) Industrial Estate, Talkatora, Lucknow-226011 (U.P.)	Sealing wax—Grade 1, 2 and 3— IS: 868—1956
46.	CM/L~7551 1979-02-21	79-03-01	80-02-29	New Kashmir Steel Rolling Mills, Ne- Industrial Estate Gangyal, Jammu Tawi.	w Structural steel (standard quality)— IS: 226—1975
47.	CM/L-7552 1979-02-21	79-03-01	80-02-29	-do-	Structural steel (ordinary quality)— IS: 1977—1975
48.	CM/L-7553 1979-02-21	79-03-01	80-02-29	Metalman Pipe Mfg. Co. Pvt. Ltd., 17—19 Suklia, Industrial Area, Sanwer Road, Indore.	Steel tubes for mechanical and general engineering purposes, ERW-21 and CEW-38 grades-IS: 3601-1968
49.	CM/L-7554 1979-02-24	79-03-01	80-02-29	Palson Industries, 165, Okhla Industrial Complex, Phase II, New Delhi-110020.	Typewriter ribbons, Type I & II, medium inking for black and black and red IS: 4174—1977
50.	CM/L-7555 1979-02-24	79-03-01	80-02-29	Inter Pharmaceuticals (India) Pvt. Ltd., Ashok Cinema Building, Budh Marg, Patna-800001 (Bihar).	Disinfectant fluid, black Class-A Grade-3 Type-Normal IS: 1061—1975
51.	CM/L-7556 1979-02-24	79-03-01	80-02-29	K.C.A. Ltd., Chandralaya, Chandi Bazar, Bedeshwar, Jamnagar-361002 (Gujarat). (Office: Hemant Kunj, Hospital Road, Jamnagar-360001) (Gujarat).	Fast Red E, Food grade IS: 2924-1974
52.	CM/L-7557 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	SMP (P) Ltd. 22/1 MIDC Industrial Estate, Roha Taluka, Kolaba Distt. Maha- rashtra.	Repacking of Gamma—BHC EC IS: 632—1978
53.	CM/L-7558 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	SMP (P) Ltd. 22/1 M.J.D.C. Industrial Estate, Roha Taluka, Kolaba District (Maharashtra).	Repacking of D.D.T. EC— IS: 633—1975
54.	CM/L-7559 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	SMP (P) Ltd., 22/1 M.I.D.C. Industrial Estate, Roha Taluka, Kolaba District (Maharashtra).	Repacking of fenitrothion EC— IS: 5281—1969
55.	CM/L~7560 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	SMP (P) Ltd., 22/1 M.I.D.C. Industrial Estate, Roha Taluka, Kolaba District (Maharashtra).	Repacking of Malathion EC- IS: 2567-1978
56.	CM/L~7561 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	-do-	Repacking of Dichlorvos EC— IS: 5277—1978
57.	CM/L~7562 1979-02-28	79-02-01	80-02-29	-do-	Repacking of DDT water dispersible powder concentrates— 1S: 565—1975
58.	CM/L-7563 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	-do-	Repacking of BHC water dispersible powder concentrates— 1S: 562—1972
59.	CM/L-7564 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Yamuna Packing Industries (P) Ltd., Saharanpur Road, Yamunagar-135001	Tea chest battens— IS: 10 (Part III)—1974
60.	CM/L-7565 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Feeder Balancing Dairy, The Guntur Distt. Milk Producers' Co-op. Union Ltd., Vadlamudi Tenali Taluk, Guntur District (A.P.)	Milk powder— IS: 1165—1975
61,	CM/L-7566 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29		Structural steel (standard quality)— IS: 226—1975
62.	CM/L-7567 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Apar Pvt. Ltd., Opp. Western Rly., 'D' Cabin, Chhani Road, Baroda-390002.	Covered electrodes for metal arc welding of structural steel normal penetration type—IS: 814 (Part I)1974
63.	CM/L-7568 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Advance Steel Tubes Ltd., 45/3, Industrial Area, Site 4, Sahibabad (U.P.)	Steel tubes for structural purposes, light and medium black, grade; Yst 22 ERW. Size up to 80 mm only—IS: 1161—1968
64.	CM/L-7569 1979-02-28	79-03 - 01	80-02-29	Trio Engineering Co., 1/1B, Subal Chandra Lane, Calcutta-700009 (West Bengal).	Hand operated compression knapsack sprayer— IS: 1970 (Pt I)—1974

(1)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
65.	CM/L-7570 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Jindal (India) Pvt. Ltd., Dharamtala Rd., P.O. Belurmath, Howrah. (Office: 2/1 Ahmed Mamuji Street, P.O. Liluah, Distt. Howrah).	Size: upto and including 135 mm NB	
66.	CM/L-7571 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Agricultural Industries (Regd.), G.T. Road, Batala.	Sand cast iron soil pipes— Size: 50,75 & 100 mm only— IS: 1729—1964	
67.	CM/L-7572 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Minerva Industries, 6/5 Gali Bara Bhai Belanganj, Agra-282004 (U.P.)	Vertical single cylinder four-stroke, wate cooled diesel engine of the following rating: Output Speed Governing	
					4.77 kw 1500 RPM Class B. (6.5 HP) Type/Model MI-2 SFC 269 g/KW/h (1982g/bhp/h)— IS: 1601~~1960	
68 .	CM/L-7573 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Synpro Industries, 50-51 & 60 Industrial Estate, Indore-452003.	Aluminium paint for general purposes in dual container— IS: 2339-1963	
69.	CM/L-7574 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Agricultural Discs Corporation, G.T. Road, Karnal (Hary, na),	Agricultural tillage Discs; concave type Size-610 rmm only— 1S: 4366 (Pt I)—1972	
70.	CM/L-7575 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	M.P. Agro Pesticides Ltd., Industrial Estate Bina-470113 (C. Rly.) Distt. Sagar (M.P.)	DDT water dispersible powder concentrates - IS: 565-1975	
71.	CM/L-7576 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Rallis India Ltd. (Fertilizers and Posticides Division), 94 Industrial Estate, Ambattur, Madras-600058 (Tamil Nadu).	Chlordane EC— IS: 2682—1966	
72.	CM/L7577 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Southern Insecticides & Fertilizers 1-A/2, Industrial Estate, Ambattur, Madras-600058 (Tamil Nadu).		
73.	CM/L-7578 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Crescent Rubber Industries, 13/1, New Tangra Road, Calcutta-700026 (West Bengal).	Safety rubber canvas boots for miners Type 2— IS: 3976—1976	
74.	CM/L-7579 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80 - 02-29	Swastik Cable Co., 43, Rajasthani Udyog- nagar, G.T. Road, Opp. Jhangirpuri, Delhi.	Rubber insulated cables, flexible cords copper conductors 250/440 votls only—IS: 434 (Part I)—1964	
75.	CM/L-7580 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Industrial Cables (India) Ltd., Steel Wire Unit, Lalchand Nagar, Kilazaffargarh Distt. Jind (Haryana)		
	CM/L-7581 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	-do-	Indented wire for prestressed concrete— IS: 6003—1970	
77.	CM/L-7582 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Mansfield Cables, B/42-43, Sector VI, NOIDA Industrial Complex, Distt. Ghaziabad (U.P.)		
78.	CM/L-7583 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Swadeshi Enterprise and Chemicals Industries, 111/108 A, 60 P.O. Kharpurwa, Kanpur (U.P.) [Office: 26/50, Birhana Road, Kanpur-208001 (U.P.)]	BHC DP— IS: 561—1978	
	CM/L-7584 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Kishan Chand and Sons, D-9, Krishan Nagar, Delhi. [Office: Bhagirath Palace (Surgical Market (Delhi)].	Handloom cotton gauze absorbent— IS: 758—1975	
	CM/L-7585 1979-02-28	79-03-01	80-02-29	Pama Footcare Industries, 14/4, Civil Lines, Kanpur-208001 (U.P.)	Leather gauntlets and mittens, Types I, II & III— IS: 2573—1975	

उद्योग संजालय

(मारी उद्योग विकाम)

नई दिल्ली, ३० जुलाई, 1981

का० 2311 — पृष्ठ 314 पर छपी इस विभाग की प्रिष्ठिस्थना संबंधा 349, दिनांक 31 जनवरी, 1981 में प्रांशिक करते हुये और सार्वजनिक परिसर (प्रनाधिकत दबलदारों की बेदखनी) प्रिष्ठित्यम, 1971 (1971 का 40) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदक्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतव्द्रारा श्री याई० पाठक, प्रबन्धक (WEX), भारत हैवी इने-क्ट्रिकलम निमिटेड, क्रांमी को उक्त प्रधिनियम के प्रयोजन के लिए सम्पदा प्रधिकारी नियुक्त करती है। वह उक्त प्रधिनियम के द्वारा या के अधीन सम्पदा प्रधिकारी को प्रदस्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग और मीपे गए कर्तव्यों का प्रालन स्थानीय सीमाओं के प्रन्यर करेगा जैमा 9 श्रक्त्यूवर, 1976 की प्रधिसूचना का॰पा॰सं॰ 3544 की सारणी के भाग II में परिभावित किया गया है

[फा०सं० 14(3)/74-एस०ई० एम०] एन० सुकाह्यण्यम, अवर सचिव

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY

(Department of Heavy Industry)

New Delhi, the 30th July, 1981

5.0. 2311.—In partial modification of this Deptt.'s Notification No. 349, dated the 31st Ianuary, 1981 on page 344 and in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Y. Pathak, Manager (WEX), Bharat Heavy Electricals

Ltd., Jhansi to be the Estate Officer for the purpose of the said Act. He shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on the Estate Officer, by and under the said Act, within the Local limits as defined in Part II of the table of the Notification S.O. No. 3544 dated the 9th October, 1976.

[F. No. 14-3/74-HEM] N. SUBRAHMANYAM, Under Secy.

अर्जा मंत्रालय

(कोवला विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 22 ग्रंगस्न, 1981

का॰ आ॰ 2312 —— केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि इससे उपाबक्क अनुसूची में उल्लिखित भूमि में कोयला श्रभिप्राप्त किये जाने की संभावना है;

अतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार, कोयला धारक कोल (धर्जन और विकास) प्रधिनियम, 1957(1957 की 20) को धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रवक्त शांक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, कोयले का पूर्वेकण करने के अपने आश्रय की सूचना देती है;

इस प्रधिसूचना के प्रधीन धाने वाले क्षेत्र के रेखांक निरीक्षन सेन्द्रल कोलफील्डम लिमिटेंड कार्यालय, (राजस्व ध्रमुभाग), दरधंगा हाउस रांची में या उपायुक्त के कार्यालय हजारीबाग (बिहार) में प्रथमा कोमला नियंक्षक के कार्यालय, 1 काउनन्सेल हाउस स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता में किया जा सकता है ।

इस प्रशिक्ष्यकाः के श्रधीन प्राने वाली भूमि में हितवद्य सभी व्यक्ति उपन प्रधिनियम की धारा 13 की उपधारा (2) में निर्विष्ट सभी नक्शों भाटों और प्रत्य वस्तावेजों को, इस प्रधिस्चना के राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख से नन्ने दिन के भीतर राजस्व श्रधिकारी, सेंट्रेल कोलफील्डस लिमिटेड, दरभंगा हाउस, रांची (निहार) को भेजेगा।

अनुलुकी

सिरका कोसपरी शिस्तार ब्लाक—IV (विकाणी करनपुरा कोपला क्षेत्र)

रेबांकन सं० राजस्य /32/81

तारीज 12-3-81

(पूर्वेक्षण करने के लिए प्रक्षिमूचित भूमि विशित करते हुए)

ऋम सं०	ग्राम	थाना	षाना सं०	जिला	भेन	टिप्पणिया	
1.	टोंगी	, मांडू	135	हजारीकाग	111.50	भाग	•
	कुल क्षेत्र : 1	11.50 एक इं (लगम	T) या 45.12 हे र	टर (लगभग)			

सीमा वर्णन:

क-का रेका ग्राम, टोंगी में से होकर जाती है (ओ सिरका कोलयरी विस्तार क्लाक-III की भागत : साझी सीमा है)।

ब~ग रेखा सिरका भीर टोंगी ग्रामों की भागतः सीमा के साथ-साथ जाती है (जो सिरका कोलियरी बिस्तार ब्लाक-Ш1 की भागतः साझी सीमा है)।

ग-व रेखा सिरका और टोंनी प्रामों की भागतः साझी सीमा के साथ-माथ जाती है।

भ-क रेखा टोंगी ग्राम में से होकर जाती है।

ड०-चरेखा नालाकी पश्चिमी सीमा के साथ-साथ जाती है।

च-क रेखा ग्राम टोंगी में से होकर जाती है।

MINISTRY OF ENERGY

(Department of Coal)

New Delhi, the 22nd August, 1981

S.O. 2312.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that coal is likely to be obtained from the land mentioned in the Schedule annexed hereto;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Coal Bearing Areas (Acquisition and Development) Act, 1957 (20 of 1957), the Central Government hereby gives notice of its intention to prospect for coal therein;

The plan of the area covered by this notification can be inspected in the Office of the Central Coalfields Limited (Revenue Section), Darbhanga House, Ranchi, or in the Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Hazaribagh (Bihar), or in the Office of the Coal Controller, 1, Council House Street, Calcutta.

All persons interested in the land covered by this notification shall deliver all maps, charts and other documents referred to in subsection (7) of section 13 of the said Act to the Revenue Officer, Central Coalfields Limited, Darbhanga House, Ranchi, Bihar within ninety days from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

Sirka Colly. Extn. Block-IV (South Karanpura Coalfield)

Drg. No. Rev/32/81 dated 12-3-81

(showing lands notified for prospecting)

Serial Number	Village	- Thana	Thana Numb e r	District	Area	Remarks		
1.	Tongi	Mandu	135	Hazaribagh	111.50	part		
			Total area :-	Total area:—111,50 acres (approximately) or 45.12 hec. (approximately).				
Boundar	y Description	1;						
A-B		Line passes through village Tongi	(which forms part	t common boundar	y of Sirka Collie	ery Extn. Block-III).		
B-C		Line passes along the part common boundary of village Sirka & Tongi (which forms part common boundary of Sirka Colliery Extn. Block-III).						
C-D		Line passes along the part commo	n boundary of villa	ages Sirka and Ton	gi.			
D-E		Line passes through village Tong	gi.					

Line passes along the western boundary of the Nalla.

Line passes through village Tongi.

[No. 19(11)/81-CL (Pt. I)]

SWARAN SINGH, Under Secy.

स्बास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण संत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 20 भगस्त, 1981

का॰ आ॰ 2313.— मारतीय चिकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिषद् प्रधिनियम, 1970 (1970 का 48) के खण्ड 14 के उपखण्ड (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का उपयोग करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारतीय चिकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिषद् के परामशं करने के पण्चात्, एतद्द्वारा उक्त प्रधिनियम की दूसरी अनस्ची में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, सर्थात् :—

उक्त अनुसूची के भाग-[में कम संख्या 9 और उनने संबंधित प्रविष्टियों के बाद निम्नलिखन प्रविष्टि की जाये:---

" 9क. बिहार विश्वविद्यालय, मृजंपफर- श्रायुर्वेदिक चिकित्सा जी० 1973 पुर ग्रीर गत्थ श्विकरमा ए० से में स्नातक एस० 1981 एस० नक" सिंख्या-बी० 26011/10/79-ए०ई०]

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

New Dolhi, the 20th August, 1981

S.O. 2313.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (2) of section 14 of the Indian Medicine Central Council Act, 1970 (48 of 1970), the Central Government, after consulting the Central Council of Indian Medicine, hereby makes the following further amendments in the Second Schedule to the said Act, namely:—

In Part I of the said Schedule after serial number 9 and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be inserted, namely:—

"9A. University of Bihar, Muzaffarpur Ayurvedic 1973 to Medicine 1981."

[No. V. 26011/10/79-AE]

E-U

नई हिल्ली, 22 ग्रम्पन, 1981

का • का • 2 3 14 --- भारतीय विकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिवद् अधिनियम, 1970 (1970 का 48) की धारा 14 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त गरिनयों का प्रयोग करतें हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय चिकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिषद से परामर्श लेने के पश्चान , एतद्वारा उपत अधिनिधम की पूसरी धनसची में निम्तलिखित धीर संगोधन करती है, प्रयति :---

उक्त प्रन्स्की के भाग 2 में

- (1) कम संख्या 1 भीर उससे संबंधित प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रतिस्यापित शिया जासे, धर्यात् :---
- ''श्री वेंकटेश्वर विश्व नाबिव-ए-कामिल 1957 मे विश्वालय। 1982 नक"
- (2) कम संख्या 9 भीर उससे मंबधिय प्रविष्टियों के बाद निम्न-लिखित कम संख्या भीर प्रविष्टियां रखी जायें, प्रथति :---

"११क. सागर विश्व-यशानी भागविज्ञान तथा बी०य० 1973 से विद्यालय, सागर। णस्य विज्ञान का स्नातक एम०एस० श्रागे।

अब. महाकौशल श्रायवें- यनानी आयिकान तथा भी०य० 1966刊 विक एवं यनानी 'शस्य विज्ञान का एम० एम, ० 1970 শক चिकित्सा पद्मति स्तालक बोर्ड अबलपुर।

9ग. मध्य प्रवेश मायुर्वे- युनानी श्रायुविशान तथा बीज्यु० 1971 से विक एवं युनानी माल्य विज्ञान का एम वण्य व 1974 円形 चिकित्सा पढति स्तानक तथा प्राकृतिक चिकित्सा बोर्छ. भोपाल

(3) मुस्लिम विश्वविद्यालय, जलीगढ़ से संबंधित कम संख्या 21 के सामने "यूनानी तिब तथा शन्य चिकित्सा स्नातक--बी०य०टी०एग० 1947 से 1952 तक" प्रविष्टियों के बाद कालम 2, 3 भीर 4 के सामन कमगः निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियां रखी जार्ये, प्रयति :---

"डाक्टर भाफ युनानी डी ० य ० एम ० एम ० छी ० 1974 से 1977 नक में डिसिन। 1978 में आगे (यभामी) भाधनिक सायुविज्ञान बी व्यु व्यू म व्यू स्व १९७० १९७० से १९८३ तक।" तया शस्य विज्ञान के साथ यनानी प्राय विज्ञान का स्नातक

(4) कम संख्या 23 ग्रीर उससे संबंधित प्रविष्टियों के पश्चान निम्नलिखित कम सख्या ग्रीर प्रविष्टिया रखी जायें, श्रर्थात :---

"23. भारती भौर फार्सी फाजिल-ए-तिब्ब 1936 से परीक्षाकों का बोर्ब, 1982 লক उसर प्रदेश, इलाहाबाद।

24. वाकल-उल्म, फाजिल-उत-तिब्बः डी व्युक्तम्ब 1964 में वेवबन्द, उत्तर प्रवेश 1984 青年

> [संख्या वी०-26015/1/78-गु०ई०] हिम्मत सिंह धका लिया, भ्रवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 22nd August, 1981

S.O. 2314.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (2) of section 14 of the Indian Medicine Central Council Act, 1976 (48 of 1970), the Central Government, after consulting the Central Council of Indian Medicine, hereby makes the following further amendments in the Second Schedule to the said Act, namely :-

In Part II of the said Schedule

- (i) for serial number 1 and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be substituted, namely :--
- "Sri Venkateswara Tabib-e-Kamil From 1957 to 1982" University.
- (ii) after serial number 9 and the entries relating thereto, the following serial numbers and the entries shall be inserted, namely :--

"9A. University of Sagar, Sagar.

B.U.M.S. from 1973 Bachelor of Unani Medicine onwards.

& Surgery

9B. Mahakoshal Board of Avurvedic & Unani Systems

Jabalpur.

B.U.M.S. from 1966 Bachelor of Unani Medicine to 1970.

& Surgery.

9C. Madhya Pradesh Board of Avurvedic &

of Medicine,

Bachelor of B.U.M.S. from 1971 Unani Medicine to 1974.

& Surgery.

Unani Systems of Medicine and Naturopathy, Bhopal.

(iii) against serial number 21, relating to Muslim University, Aligarh, after the entries "Bachelor of Unani Tib and Surgery B.U.T.S. From 1947 to 1952", the following entries shall be inserted against columns 2, 3 and 4 respectively, namely : --

D.U.M. From 1974 to 1977. "Doctor of Unani Medicine. M.D. (Unani) From 1978 onwards.

B.U.M.M.S. Bachelor of Unani Medicine with Modern Medicine & 1983". & Surgery.

- (iv) after serial number 22 and the entries relating thereto, the following serial numbers and entries shall be inserted namely:---
- "23. Board of Arabic Fazil-e-Tibb From 1936 to 1982 and Persian Examinations. U.P., Allahabad.
- 24. Darul-Uloom, Fazil-ut-Tibb D.U.M. From 1964 to Deoband, U.P. 1984"

[No. V. 26015/1/78-AE] H. S. DHAKAALIA, Under Secy.

From 1972 to

1983"

कथि मंत्रालय (बाद्य विद्याग) भावेश

नई दिल्ली, 31 जुलाई, 1981

का०मा० 2315--- मतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने खाद्य विभाग, क्षेत्रीय खाद्य निदेशालयों, उपाप्ति निदेशालयों ग्रीर खाद्य विभाग के बेतन तथा लेखा कार्यालयों द्वारा किये जाने वाले खाद्याओं के कय, भण्डारकरण, संचलन, परिवहन, वितरण सद्या विकय के कृत्यों का पालन करना बन्द कर दिया है जोकि खाद्य निगम अधिनियम, 1964(1964 का 37) की घार। 13 के श्रघीन भारतीय खाध निगम के कृष हैं।

धीर यतः खाद्य विभाग, क्षेत्रीय खाद्य निदेशालयों, उपाप्ति निदेशालयों ग्रीर खाद्य विभाग के वेसन तथा लेखा कार्यालयों में उहे धौर उपरीवर्णित कृत्यों के पालन में लगे निम्नलिखित प्रधिकारियों ग्रीर कर्मचारियों ने केन्द्रीय सरकार के तारीख 16 ग्रंप्रैल, 1971 के परिपत्न के प्रत्युशर में उसमे निर्मिषिष्ट तारीख के श्रन्दर भारतीय प्राच निगम के कर्मचारी न बनने के प्रपने श्राशय को उकर श्रिधनियम की बारा 12ए की उपधारा (1) के परन्तुक क्षारा यथा श्रिपेक्षत सचना नहीं दी है ।

भतः श्रव खाद्य निगम श्रिधिनियम, 1964 (1964 का 37) यथा श्रव्यसन संशोधित की धारा 12ए द्वारा प्रदत्त गाक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एनद्द्वारा निम्नलिखित कर्मचारियों को प्रत्येक के सामने दी गई नारीख से भारतीय खाद्य निगम स्थानान्तरित करती है:---

कम मधिकारी/कर्मघारियों संबदा का नाम	केन्द्रीय सरकार के स्रधीन स्थायी पद	स्थानान्तरण के समय केन्द्रीय सर- कार के अधीन पद	खार्थ निगम में स्थानान्त-
1 2	3	4	5
ा श्री जे०ए स० स म्मै ना	कार्यालय ग्रधीक्षक		27-3-81 (पूर्वाहन)
्रः श्री ग्रां०पा० वर्मा सुपूत श्री जीवा राम		गोदाम लिपिन	1-3-69
 श्री राम लाल सुपुन श्री रूप बहादुर 	سنب	बाज मैन	1-3-69
 श्री राम फल सिंह सुपुत्र श्री हरि स्थान सिंह 	- Land	व≀चमैन	1-3-69
 श्री पश्रालाल सुपुत्र श्रीसीताराम 		गोदाम लिपिस	î 1 ~3 -69
ն. श्री सास बहादुर सुपुत्रश्री धर्मसिंह	~ ₩	व⊺चमैन	1-3-69
7 श्री एन०के० कौशिक सुपुत्रश्री किशन प्रसाद कौशिक	,	गोद।म लि पिक	1-3-69
в श्री मिस्त्री महता	<u></u>	स्टीचर	1-3-69
 श्री रामानुज सिंह 	वाचमैन	वाचमैन	1-3-69

[संख्या 52/1/79-एफ०सी०-III (वाल्यम-7)]

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (Department of Food) ORDER

New Delhi, the 31st July, 1981

S. O. 2315.—Whereas the Central Government has ceased to perform the functions of purchase, storage, movement, transport, distribution and sale of foodgrams done by the Department of Food, the Regional Directorates of Food, the Procurement Directors and the Pay & Accounts Offices of the Department of Food which under Section 13 of Food Corporations Act, 1964 (37 of 1964) are the functions of the Food Corporation of India.

And whereas the following officers and employees serving in the Department of Food, the Regional Directorate of Food, the Procurement Directorates and the Pay & Accounts Offices of the Department of Food and engaged in the performance of the functions mentioned above have not, in response to the circular of the Central Govt, dated the 16th April, 1971 intimated, within the different effect therein, their maintion of not becoming employees of the Food Corporation of India, as required by the proviso to sub-Section 12A of the said Act; Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 12A of the Food Corporations Act, 1964 (37 of 1964) as amended upto date the Central Government hereby transfer the following officers & employees to the Corporation of India with effect from the date mentioned against each of them.

SI. Name of the officer/ No, employees	Permanent post held under the Central Govt.	Post held under the Central Govt at the time of transfer	Date of transfer to F C.I.
1 2	3	4	5
1. Shri J.S. Saxona	Office Supdt.	Asstt) Director	27/3/81 (F/N.)
2. Shri O.P. Vorma S/O Shri Jiwa Ram		Godown Clerk	1/3/69
3. Shri Ram Lal S/O Shri Rup Bahadur		Watchman	1/3/69
4. Shri Ram Phal Singh S/o Shri Hati Ghyan	— Singh	Watchman	1/3/69
5. Shri Panna Lal S/o Shri Sita Ram	_	Golown Clerk	1/3/69
6. Shri Lal Bahadur S/o Shri Dharam Singh	· -	Watchman	1/3/69
7. Shri N.K. Kaushik S/o Shri Kishan Prasad Kaushik		Godown Clark	1/3/69
8. Shri Mishri Mahato	_	Stitcher	1/3/69
9. Shri Ramanuj Singh	Wiltehman	Watchman	1/3/69

[No. 52/1/79-FCIII (VcI. VII)].

শু ব্রি-দর

का० आ० . 2316—भारत के राजपन्न के भाग H खण्ड 3, विनाक 30-12-72 में एम० ग्रो० संख्या 5369 के ग्रधीन प्रकाशित इस विभाग के प्रादेश संख्या 52/21/68- आर० ई० 1, दिनांक 11-10-72 में निम्नलिखन गुद्धियां की जाएं.--

स्थानान्तरण आदे कम संख्या	श भें की जाने त्राली शुद्धिया
1	2
75	कालम 4 में "वही" के स्थान पर "सहायक अधीक्षक" पहें।
76	कालम 4 में "बही" के स्थान पर "कार्यालय भवीक्षक" पर्दे।
142	कालम 4 में ''वहीं'' के स्थान पर ''कार्यालय भ्राधीक्षक'' पर्छे।
143	कालम 4 में ''श्रष्टी'' के स्थान पर ''सहायक श्रक्षोक्षक'' पढ़ें।
198	कालम 4 में 'बिही'' के स्थान पर 'बरिष्ठ गीवाम रक्षक' पढ़ें।
149	कालम 4 में "वही" के स्थान पर 'गोबाम अधीक्षक'' पढ़ें।
201	कालम 4 में "धही" के स्थान पर "वरिष्ठ गोवाम २५क" पढ़ें।

1	2	1	2
202	कालम 4 में "वहीं" के स्थान पर ''गोदाम धन्नीक्षक'' पढे।	1609	
248	कालम 4 में ''बद्वी'' के स्थान पर ''गोकी निरीक्षक'' पर्के ।		(2) कालम 3 में "बही" के स्थान पर "गोवी निरी- क्षक" पहें।
249	कालम 4 में " वही " के स्थान पर "गोबास श्रधीक्षक" पढें।		(3) कालम 4 में ''यही'' के स्थान पर ''गोदी निरी- क्षक'' पढ़े ।
1384	कालम 2 मे "श्री जे० पी० कालरा" के म्थान पर "श्री जे० पी० कालसा" पर्दे।	1610	(1) कालम 3 में ''वहीं'' के स्थान पर ''गोदाम लिपिक'' पढे।
1396	कालम 2 में "श्री टी० एस० श्रृंगे" के स्थान पर "श्री टी० ए० श्रृंगी" पर्दे।		(2) कालम ४ में ''बही'' के स्थान पर ''कनिष्ठ गोदाम रक्षक'' पढ़े।
1415	कालम 4 में "वहीं" के स्थान पर "गोदी अधीक्षक" पक्षें।	1724	कालम 2 में एस० एन० गोपलानी" के स्थान घर "श्री सी० एन० गोकलानी" पढ़ें।
1 (1 6	क्षालम 4 में "बही" के स्थान पर "बरिष्ठ गोदाम रक्षक" पर्दे।	1824	कालम 2 में ''श्री पी० एस० पाटिल'' के स्थान पर ''श्री बी० एस० पाटिल'' पढ़ें।
8 :76	कालम 4 में "शिपट सुपरवाइक्षर" के स्थान पर ''फोरमैन' पर्हें।	1940	कालम 2 में ''श्री बी० ए० पवार'' के स्थान पर ''श्री भ्रार० ए० पवार'' पढ़ें।
3177	कालम 4 में 'बही'' के स्थान पर 'शिषट मुपरबाडआर'' पर्ढे ।	1960	(1) क्वलम 3 में "डेंग" के स्थान पर "अपरासी" पहें।
4846	भाजम ४ में "बही" के स्थान पर "कनिष्ठ लिपिक" पढ़े।		(2) कालम 1 में "डेण" के स्थान पर "चथरासी" पहें।
4847	''' कालम 4 में ''वही" के स्थान पर ''चपरासी'' पढ़ें।	1961	का लम 4 में ''व ही'' के स्थान पर ''गोदास लिपिक'' पढ़े।
312	(1) फालम 2 में "श्री एस० एम० बंके" के स्थान पर "श्री एस० एम० बचे" पढ़ें।	2219	ा" बालम 2 में "श्री एस० के० मिलकि" के स्थान पर "श्री एस० के० मिलम" पढ़ें।
	(2) कालम 4 में ''बही'' के स्थान पर ''कनिष्ठ लिपिक" पहें।	2256	कालम 2 में "श्री डी० बी० चौधरी" के स्थान पर "श्री डीं० जी० चौ धरी" पर्वे।
313	कालम 4 में ''बग्नी'' के स्थान पर ''वरिष्ठ लिपिक'' प हें ।	2872	कालम ४ में 'श्री श्रार० बी० वाध' के स्थान पर 'श्री श्रार० बी० धाग' पढ़ें।
942	कालम 2 में 'श्री टी० भ्रभेसुर' के स्थान पर 'श्री सी० टी० ध्रमेसुर ''पढ़ें।	2938	कालम 2 मे "श्री रसा नामडू" के रूथान पर "श्री रमन नानी" पढ़ें।
1046	(1) कालम 2 में "श्री द्यार० बी० नमावरे" के स्थान पर "श्रीमती द्रार० नी० ननावरे" पढ़े।	3105	कालम 2 में "श्री ए० एम० मेंखा" के स्थान पर 'श्री ए० के० ग्रेखा" पर्दे।
	(2) कालम 5 में "नहीं" के स्थान पर "21-11-70 (भगराह्न)" पढ़ें।	4294	(1) कालम 3 में "बही" के स्थान पर "बायरमैन" पके।
1047	कालम 5 में "बही" के स्थान पर "1-3-69" पक्षे।		(2) कालभ 4 में 'वहीं 'के स्थान पर ''वायरमैन''
1236	कालाम 2 में "श्री एस० के० मन्नी" के स्थान प7 "श्री एस० जे० मन्नी" पर्वे।	4295	पद्ये। (1) कालम 3 में "बही" के स्थान पर "वाव्यमैन"
1271	कालम 2 में "श्री एस० डो० बोहरा" के स्थान पर "श्री डीडी बोहरा के० श्रार० पढ़े।		पढ़ें। (2) कालम ⊥ में ''वही'' के स्थान पर ''वाचमैन''
1328	कालम 2 में 'श्री एन० जी० जोगों' के स्थान पर ''श्रो एन० जे० जोगी'' पर्वे।	678	पक्षे । कालम ४ में ''वहीं'' के स्थान पर ''प्रश्नूमन सहायक'' पक्षे ।
1421	कासम 2 में ''श्री श्रार० एन० गुप्ना'' के स्थान पर ''श्री श्रार० एम० गुप्ते'' पढ़े।	679	पर्कः कालमः ± में ''बही" के स्थान पर ''तकनीकी स हायक'' पद्धे ।
1500	कालम 2 में "श्री सी० जे० खाडे" के स्थान पर "श्री सी० जी० खाडे" पड़े।	680	कालम 4 में 'बही' के स्थान पर ''प्रधूमन सहायक'' पत्रें।
1507	कालम ~ में ''श्री टीं० भाषमर'' के स्थान पर ''श्री के० टीं० भाषमर'' पढ़ें।	៤ន 1	कालम उ.मे. विहा के स्थान पर "तकनीको सहायक" पहुँ।

 भारत के राजपत्न के भाग 2, खण्ड 3, दिलांक 22-2-75 में 	1	2
एस० भ्रो० संख्या 559 के अधीन प्रकाणित इस विभाग के अदिण संख्या 52/22/74-एफ० सी० 3 (वाल्य्म-1) में निस्तनिखित गृद्धिया	143	For the words "Do" in col. 4, rea o "Assistant Supdt.".
की अपनें	198	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Sr. Godown Keeper."
स्थानास्तरण प्रादेश की जाने वाली मृद्धिया में क्रम संख्या 	199	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Godown Supdt.".
22 कालम 4 में ''वरिष्ठ गोदाम रक्षक'' के स्थान पर ''गोदास श्रधीक्षक'' पढ़ें।	201	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Sr. Godown Keeper".
23 कालम ४ में "वही" के स्थान पर "वरिष्ठ गोदाम रक्षक" पढ़े।	202	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Godown Supdt.".
27 कालम 2 में ''श्री एन० रमाराव'' के स्थान पर ''श्री के० रमाराव'' पढें।	248	For the words "Do" in col. 4, rea o "Dock Inspector".
्रता कुण रमाराज पढा 	249	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Godown Supdt."
8-1-79 में निम्नलिखित शुद्धियां की जायें :	1384	For the words "Shri J.P. Kalra" in col.2, read "Shri J.P. Khalsa"
स्थानान्तरण भ्रादेश की जाने वासी मृद्धिया में ऋप संख्या	1396	For the words "Shri T.S. Shringe" in col. 2, read "Shri T.A. Shringee"
26 कालम 4 में 'गुण निरीक्षक' के स्थान पर ''क्वालिटी। सुपरवाडजर' पहें।	1415	For the words "Do" in Col. 4, read "Dock Supdt.".
4 भारत के राजपन्न के भाग 2, खण्ड 3, दिनाक 26-7-75 में	1416	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Sr. Godown Keeper".
एस० घो० संख्या 2378 के घधीन प्रकाशित इस विभाग के घादेश संख्या $52/22/74$ -एफ० सी० 3, (वाल्यूम 2), दिनांक 4-7-75 में निम्नलिखिल	3176	For the words "Shift Supervisor" in Col. 4, read "Foreman".
णुद्धियों की जायें :	3177	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Shift Supervisor".
में कम संख्या	4846	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Junior Clerk".
81 कालम 2 में "श्री जोघा सिंह एस०" के स्थान पर "श्री जोत सिंह एस०" पढ़े।	4847	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Peon".
5. भारत के राजपन के भाग 2, विनाक 29-7-78 में एस० श्ली० संख्या 2190 के अधीन प्रकाशित इस विभाग के ग्रादेश संख्या 52/4/71- एक० सी 3 (वाल्यूम-9), विनांक 7-7-78में निम्नलिखित शुद्धियों की जाएं:	312	(i) For the words "Shri S.M. Backe" in col. 2, read "Shri S.M. Bache".(ii) For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Junior Clerk".
स्थानान्तरण श्रावेश की जाने वाली णृदि यां में क्रम सक्या	313	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Senior Clerk".
3 (1) कालम 3 में "उच्च श्रेणी लिपिक" के स्थान पर "वरिष्ट गोदाम रक्षक" पढ़ें।	942	For the words "Shri T. Amesur" in col. 2, read "Shri B.T. Amesur".
(2) कालम 4 में ''उच्च श्रेणी लिपिक'' के स्थान पर ''वरिस्ट गोदाम रक्षक'' पढ़ि।	1046	(i) For the words "Shri R.V. Namavere" in col. 2, read "Smt. R.V. Nanaware".
[सं० <u>52/1/79—गफ</u> सी० 3 (बाल्यम—7)]		(ii) For the words "Do" in col. 5, read "21-11-70" (A.N.)".
कुल बिहारी, भ्रवर सचित्र CORRIGENDUM	1047	For the words "Do" in col. 5, read "1-3-69".
New Delhi, 31st July, 1981 S.O. 2316.—In this Department's Order No.	1236	For the words "Shri S.K. Mantri" in col. 2, read "Shri S.J. Mantri".
52/21/68-REI, dated 11-10-72 published in Part II, Section 3 of the Gazette of India dated 30-12-72 under S.O. No. 5369, the	1271	For the words "Shri S.D. Vohra" in col. 2, read "Shri Daudi Vohra K.R.".
following corrections shall be carried out: Sl. No. in the Correction to be carried out Transfer Order	1328	For the words "Shri N.G. Joshi" in col. 2, read "Shri N.J. Joshi".
Transfer Order 1 2	1421	For the words "Shri R.N. Gupta" in col. 2, read "Shri R.M. Gupte".
75 For the words "Do" in col. 4, read	1500	For the words "Shri C.J. Khade" in col. 2, read "Shri C.G. Khadye".
"Assistant Supdt.". 76 For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Office Supdt."	1507	For the words "Shri T. Bhavsar" in Col. 2, read "Shri K.T. Bhavsar".
For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Office Supdt."	1609	(i) For the words "Shri S.V. Pawle" in Col. 2, "Shri S.P. Powale".

. 	
1	2
	(ii) For the words "Do" in col. 3, read "Dock Inspector".
	(iii) For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Dock Inspector".
1610	(i) For the words "Do" in col. 3, read "Godown Clerk".
	(ii) For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Jr. Godown Keeper".
1724	For the words "Shri S.N. Gopalani" in col. 2, read "Shri C.N. Goklani".
1824	For the words "Shri P.M. Patil" in col. 2, read "Shri V.M. Patil".
1940	For the words "Shri B.A. Pawar" in col. 2, read "Shri R.A. Pawar".
1960	(i) For the dash in col.3, read "Peon".(ii) For the dash in col. 4, read "Peon".
1961	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Godown Clerk".
2219	For the words "Shri S.K. Malik" in col. 2, read "Shri S.K. Malim".
2256	For the words "Shri D.B. Chodhary" in col. 2, read "Shri D.G. Choudhary".
2872	For the words "Shri R.B. Wagh" in col. 2, read "Shri R.B. Ghag".
2938	For the words "Shri Rama Naidu" in col. 2, read "Shri Raman Nani".
3105	For the words "Shri A.M. Sheikh" in col. 2, read "Shri A.K. Shaikh".
4294	(i) For the words "Do" in col. 3, read "Wireman".
	(ii) For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Wireman".
4295	(i) For the words "Do" in col. 3, read "Watchman".
	(ii) For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Watchman".
678	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Fumigation Assistant."
679	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Technical Assistant".
680	For the words "Do" in col. 4 read "Fumigation Assistant".
681	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Technical Assistant".

II. In this Department's Order No: 52/22/74-FC. III (Vol.1) dated 3-2-75 published in Part II, Section 3 of the Gazette of India dated 22-2-75 under S.O. No. 559, the following corrections shall be carried out.

Sl. No. in the Transfer Order	Correction to be carried out
22	For the words "Senior Godown Keeper" in col. 4, read "Godown Supdt".
23	For the words "Do" in col. 4, read "Sr. Godown Keeper".
27	For the words "Shri N. Ramarao" in Col. 2, read "Shri K. Ramarao".

Sl. No. in the Transfer Order	Correction to be carried out
26	For the words "Quality Inspector" in col. 4, read "Quality Supervisor".

IV. In this Department's Order No. 52/22/74-F.C. III (Vol. II) dated 4-7-75 published in Part II, Section 3 of the Gazette of India dated 26-7-75 under S.O. No: 2378, the following correction shall be carried out:—

Sl. No. in the	Correction to be carried out
Transfer Order	
81	For the words "Shri Jodha Singh S" in col. 2, read "Shri Jot Singh S,"

V. In this Department's Order No.52/4/71-F.C.II (Vol. IX) dated 7-7-78 published in Part II, section of the Gazette of India dated 29-7-78 under S.O. No. 2190, the:—following corrections shall be carried out:

Sl. No. in the Transfer Order	Correction to be carried out.
3	(i) For the words "U.D.C." in col. 3, read "Sr. Godown Keeper".
	(ii) For the words "U.D.C." in col. 4, read

"Sr. Godown Keeper".

[No. 52/1/79-FC.III (Vol. VII)]

KUNJ BEHARI, Under Secy.

निर्माण और आवास मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 17 श्रगस्त, 1981

का० आ१० 2317.—दिल्ली नगर कला आयोग, अधिनियम, 1973 (1974 का 1) की बारा 5 तथा 3 के साथ पठित घारा 4 के द्वारा प्रवक्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और भारत सरकार, निर्माण और आवास मन्त्रालय की विनांक 20 मई, 1979 श्रविसूचना संख्या कार्यालय श्रावेश 321 (ई०) का श्राणिक रूप से संणोधन करते दुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, एत्ववारा बढ़ीदा स्कूल श्राफ भार्ट के भूतपूर्व प्रोफेनर सेखो कौधुरी को कार्यभार सम्भालने की निधि से दो वर्ष नक के लिए विल्ली नगर कला आयोग, नई विल्ली का पूर्णाकालक सदस्य नियुक्त करती है और भारत सरकार, निर्माण और आवास मन्त्रालय के दिनांक 27 प्रशैन, 1974 की धांश्रसूचना संख्या सा० का० नि० 190 (ई०) में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, नामत :—-

कथित प्रधिसूचना में ''एक ग्रंशकालिक अध्यक्ष तथा तीन ग्रंशकालिक सदस्य होंगे'' शब्दों के स्थान पर तथा उसके श्रन्तगेन की गई प्रविष्टियों के लिए निम्नलिश्वित को रखा जायेगा नामत.

"निम्न प्रकार से एक ग्रंशकालिक धध्यक्ष, एक पूर्णाकालिक सवस्य श्रीर तीन ग्रंशकालिक सवस्य होंगे:---

(1) श्री एस० सी० बीस मिनक	श्रंशकालिक श्रध्यक्ष
(2) प्रोफेसर सैखो चौ धुरी	पूर्णाकालिक सदस्य
(3) श्री राजेन्द्र कुमार	श्रंशकालिक सदस्य
(4) श्री वी० एस० कटारा	मंशकालिक सदस्य
(5) श्री एम ः एमः राणा	प्रं शकालिक सदस्य''

[संख्या ए० :1013/4/81-डी० री० -5-बं०] जे० ए० समद, उप सश्चि THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRE

MINISTRY OF WORKS AND HOUSING

New Delhi, the 17th August, 1981

S.O. 2317.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4, read with section 5 and 3 of the Delhi Urban Art Commission Act, 1973 (1 of 1974) and in partial modification of the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Works and Housing No. S.O. 321(E) dated the 29th May, 1979, the Central Government hereby appoints Professor Sankho Chaudhuri, formerly of the Baroda School of Arts, as a whole-time member of the Delhi Urban Art Commission, New Delhi, from the date he takes over for a period of two years and makes the following amendment in the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Works and Housing No. G.S.R. 190(E) dated the 27th April, 1974, namely

In the said notification for the words "shall consist of a part-time Chairman and three other part-time members" and the entries thereunder, the following shall be substituted namely:—

"shall consist of a part-time Chairman, a whole-time member and three part-time members as follows:--

- (1) Shri S.G. Bose Mullick-Part-time Chairman.
- (2) Professor Sankho Chaudhuri-Whole-time member
- (3) Shri Gajendra Kumar-Part-time member
- (4) Shri V.S. Katara—Part-time Member.
- (5) Shri M.M. Rana Part-time member".

[No. A.11013/4/81-DDVB.] J. A. SAMAD, Dy. Secy.

सचना और प्रसारण मंत्रालय

आवेश

नई विल्ली, 18 भगस्त, 1981

का० आ० 2318—फिल्म सलाहकार बोर्ड के कार्यकरण से सम्बन्धित विनियमों के नियम 14 (ख) के उपबंधों के यन्तर्गत प्रदस्त प्रधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एमदद्वारा इसके साथ लगी अनुसूची के कालम 2 में दी गई फिल्मों को उनके सभी भारतीय भाषाधों के रूपांसरों सहिन, जिनका विवरण प्रत्येक के सासने उकत अनुसूची के कालम 6 में दिया हुआ है, स्वीक्षत करती है ---

		-,1,7,4	•	
तम फिल्मकानाम संक्था	फिल्म की सम्बार्ड (मीटरों में)	धावेदक का न(म	निर्माता का नाम	क्या वैज्ञानिक फिल्म है या शिक्षा, संबंधी फिल्म है या समाज भीर सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म है या डाकुमेंट्री फिल्म है
1 2	3	4	5	6
ा भारतीय समाचार ममीक्षा संख्या 1711 श्रौर भारतीय समाचार समीक्षा संख्या 1711 (क्षेत्रीय दक्षिण)		फिल्म प्रभाग, 24, डा० गोपालराव देशमृख मार्ग, बस्बई-26		समाचार और सामयिक घटनाओं की फिल्म । कमणः सामान्य और क्षेत्रीय प्रदर्शन के लिये ।
 भारतीय समाचार समीक्षा संबंधा 1712 श्रीर भारतीय समाचार समीक्षा संबंधा 1712 क्षेत्रीय पश्चिम 	269.00	वर्हा		ষষ্ঠী
				फाइल संख्या ३३३/३/०१-एक की

[फाइल मेक्या 315/5/81-एफ पी] केसमीरी लाल, डेस्क ब्राधिकारी

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING ORDER

New Delhi, the 18th August, 1981

S.O. 2318.—In exercise of the powers—vested under the provisions of Rule 14(b) of the Regulations relating to the Working of the Film Advisory Board, the Central Government hereby approves films specified in column 2 of the Schedule annexed hereto in all its/their languages versions to be of the description specified against it/each in column 6 of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

SI. Title of the film	Length of the film in metres	Name of the applicant	Name of the Producer	Brief synopsis whether a scientific film or for educational purposes of a film dealing with news current documentary film
1 2		4		
1. Indian News Review No. 1711 and Indian News Review No. 1711 (Regional South).	303 mtrs.	The Films Div 24-Dr. Gopalrao I Marg, Bombay-26	Deshmukh	News and Current events General & Regional release respectively.
 Indian News Review No. 1712 and Indian News Review No. 1712 (Regional West). 	269 mtrs.		-do-	-do-
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

पृक्ति और पुनर्जास संज्ञालय पनर्वास विभाग

नई दिल्ली, ६ भ्रगस्त, 1981

कार आर 2319:——निष्कांत सम्पत्ति प्रशासन अधिनियम, 1950 (1950 का 31) की धारा 6 (1) ढारा प्रदत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार इसके द्वारा, मध्य प्रदेश राज्य के विभिन्न जिलों के कलक्टरों को, कलक्टरों के अपने कार्यों के अतिरिक्त , सब्य प्रदेश में स्थित निष्कांत्त सम्पत्तियों के संबंध में, उक्त प्रधिनियम द्वारा अथवा उसके अधीन प्रश्नीरक्षक के कार्यों का निष्पादन करने के लिए, उनके संबंधिक जिलों के लिए अपर अभिरक्षक के रूप में निष्का करने के लिए, उनके संबंधिक जिलों के लिए अपर अभिरक्षक के रूप में निष्का करनी है।

[स॰ 1 (13) /वि॰ मैल/81-एस॰ एस॰ -[L(क)]

MINISTRY OF SUPPLY AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Rehabilitation)

New Delhi, the 6th August, 1981

S.O. 2319.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6(1) of the Administration of Evacuee Property Act, 1950 (31 of 1950), the Central Government hereby appoints Collectors of the various districts in the State of Madhya Pradesh as Additional Custodians for their respective districts for the purpose of discharging, in addition to their own duties as Collectors, all duties imposed on the Custodian by or under the said Act in respect of evacuee properties in the State of Madhya Pradesh.

[No. 1(13)|Spl. Cell[81-SS,IL(A)]

का॰ आ॰ 2320.—निष्काल सम्पत्ति प्रशासन प्रिष्ठितियम, 1950 (1950 का 31) की घारा 6 (1) द्वारा प्रवत्त शिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार इसके द्वारा मध्य प्रदेश राज्य के विभिन्न जिलों के उप-मंडल श्रीधकारियों को, उप-मंडल श्रीधकारी के रूप में उनके घपने कार्यों के श्रांतिक्वत , मध्य प्रदेश राज्य में स्थित निष्कारत सम्पत्तियों के सबंध में उक्त श्रीधित्यम द्वारा प्रथवा उसके श्रीधित श्रीभरक्षक को मौपे गए सभी कार्यों का निष्पादन करने के लिए, उनके संबंधित जिलों के लिए उप श्रीभरक्षक के रूप में नियक्त करती है।

[सं॰ 1(13)/विशेष सेल/S1-एस०एस०-U(ख)]

S.O. 2320.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6(1) of the Administration of Evacuee Property Act, 1950 (31 of 1950), the Central Government hereby appoints Sub-Divisional Officers of various districts in Madhya Pradesh State, as Deputy Custodians for their respective districts, for the purpose of discharing, in addition to their own duties as Sub-Divisional Officers, all the duties imposed on the Custodian by or under the said Act in respect of evacuee properties in the State of Madhya Pradesh.

[No. 1(13)|Spl. Cell|81-SS.H.(B)]

का॰ आ॰ 2321:—निष्काल्य सम्पन्ति प्रणासन ग्रिधिनियम, 1950 (1950 का 31) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्सियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार इसके हारा, मध्य प्रवेश सरकार, पुनर्वास विभाग के उप सचिव को, मध्य प्रवेश सरकार के उप-सचिव के रूप में उनके ग्रपने कार्यों के भितिरक्त, उक्त ग्रिधिनियम द्वारा भयवा उसके भ्रधीन सहायक सहाभिरक्षक को सौपे गए कार्यों का निष्पादन करने के लिए मध्य प्रवेण में स्थित निष्काल्य सम्पन्तियों के संबंध में, सहायक महाभिरक्षक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं॰ 1 (13)/विणेषसैस/81-एस००स०-[[(ग)]

S.O. 2321.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of the Administration of Evacuee Property Act, 1950 (31 of 1950), the Central Government hereby appoints the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Madhya Pradesh, Rehabilitation Department as Assistant Custodian General of Evacuee

Property for the State of Madhya Pradesh for the purpose of discharging, in addition to his own duties as Deputy Secretary to the Government of Madhya Pradesh, the duties imposed on such Assistant Custodian General by or under the said Act in respect of evacuee properties in the State of Madhya Pradesh.

[No. 1(13)|Spl. Cell|81-SS.H(C)]

का० आ० 2322.—-विस्थापित उपित्त (प्रतिकर तथा पुनर्वास) प्रिवित्यम, 1954 (1954 का 44) की धारा 34 द्वारा प्रयत्त गक्तियों का प्रयोग करने हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार इसके द्वारा निर्देश देती है कि. मध्य प्रदेश राज्य में स्थित मुद्रावजा पूल की भूमि भीर सस्पत्तियों के संबंध में, उक्त अधिनियम की भ्रारा 24 की उपभारा (4) और धारा 33 के अभीन उसके द्वारा प्रयोग की जाने वाली किसी भी शक्ति का प्रयोग सन्वित्र, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, पुनर्वाम विभाग, भोपाल द्वारा भी किया जाएगा।

[#0 | (13)/विशेष सैल/81-एम०एम०-II(इ०)]

S.O. 2322.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 34 of the Displaced persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby directs that any powers exercisable by it under Sub-Section (4) of Section 24 and Section 33 of the said Act, shall be exercisable also by the Secretary to the Government of Madhya Pradesh, Rehabilitation Department, Bhopal, in addition to his own duties, in respect of the land and properties forming part of the Compensation Pool within the State of Madhya Pradesh.

[No. 1(13)|Spl. Cell|81-SS.II.(F)]

का० आ० 2323:—विस्थापित व्यक्ति (प्रतिकर तथा पुनर्वास) अधिनियम, 1954 (1954 का 44) की धारा 3 हारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार इसके द्वारा उप सचिव, मध्य प्रदेण सरकार, पुनर्वास विभाग, भोषाल को उपसचिव के रूप में उनके प्रपंकारों के भतिरिक्त उक्त अधिनियम के प्रधीन बंदोबस्त भायुक्त को सौंपे गए कार्यों का निष्पादन करने के लिए, मध्य प्रदेण राज्य में स्थित मुआवजा पूल के भाग की भूमि भौर सम्पिनयों के संबंध में, बंदोबस्त आयुक्त के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं०1(13)/विषोष सैन/-एम०एस०-II(ष)] एम. एन. वाधवानी, अघर सचित्र

S.O. 2323.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Madhya Pradesh, Rehabilitation Department, Bhopal, as Settlement Commissioner for the purpose of performing, in addition to his own duties as Deputy Secretary, the functions assigned to a Settlement Commissioner by or under the said Act, in respect of the land and properties forming part of the Compensation Pool within the State of Madhya Pladesh.

[No. 1(13)|Spl. Cell|81-SS. H(F)] N. M. WADHWANI, Under Secy.

नई विल्ली, ६ ग्रगस्त, 1981

का० आ० 2324:—निष्कान्त सम्पत्ति प्रधासन प्रधिनियम, 1950 (1950 का 31) की धारा 5 की उपधारा (3) द्वारा महाप्रभिष्ठकक के रूप में भुक्ते प्रवत्त पाक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए मैं, इसके द्वारा, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, धूनवास विभाग के उपसनिव और इस विभाग की दिनोक 6 मगस्त, 1981 की अधिमूबना सं० 1 (13) विशेष सैल /81-एस० एस० -II द्वारा मध्य प्रदेश राज्य के लिए नियुक्त किए गए सहायक महा-प्रिमरक्षक की अपनी निम्नलिखित समित्यों सीयता हैं:---

- (i) प्रधिनियम की धारा 24 भीर 27 के भधीन शक्तिया।
- (ii) प्रधिनियम की धारा 10(2)(0) के प्रधीन किसी भी निष्कान्त सम्परित के हस्तांतरण के प्रतुमोदन की शक्तियां।
- (iii) निष्कान्त सम्पत्ति प्रशासन (केन्द्रीय) नियमावली, 1950 के नियम 30-क के प्रधीन मामलों के हस्तांतरण की शक्तियां।

[सं॰ 1(13)/विशेष सैल/81-एस॰ एस॰ II (च)]

New Delhi, the 6th August, 1981

S.O. 2324.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me as Custodian General by sub-section (3) of Section 55 of the Administration of Evacuee Property Act, 1950 (31 of 1950), the Custodian General hereby delegates to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Madhya Pradesh, Rehabilitation Department and Assistant Custodian General for the State of Madhya Pradesh appointed vide this Department's Notification No. 1(13)|Spl. Cell|81-SS.II.(C), date 1 the 6th August, 1981, his following powers:—

- (i) Powers under Sections 24 and 27 of the Act.
- (ii) Powers of approval of transfer of any of evacuee property under section 10(2)(O) of the Act.
- (iii) Powers of transfer of cases under Rule 30-A of the Administration of Evacuee Property (Central) Rules, 1950.

[No. 1(13)|Sbl. Cell|81-SS.H.(D)]

का० वा० 2325—विस्यापित ध्यक्ति (प्रतिकर तथा पुनर्वास) प्रधिनियम, 1954 (1954 का 44) की धारा 34 की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रवस्त काक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, मुख्य बंदोबस्त प्रायुक्त इसके बारा इस विभाग की प्रधिसूजना सं० 1(13)/विशेष सैल/81-एस० एस०- $H(\pi)$, विनांक 6 प्रगस्त, 1981 द्वारा बंदोबस्त प्रायुक्त के रूप में नियुक्त उपसचिव, मध्य प्रदेश सरकार, पुनर्वास विभाग को प्रयनी निम्न-लिखित क्रक्तियों सौंपते हैं :---

- (1) भिधिनियम की घारा 23 के भिधीन भिपील सुनने की मिक्तिया।
- (2) अधिनियम की धारा 24 के ग्रधीन पुनरीक्षण अपील सुनने की शक्तियां।
- (3) मधिनियम की धारा 28 के मधीन मामलों के हस्तांतरण की णक्तिया।

[सं० 1(13)/विशेष सैन/81-एस० एस०-II (छ)]

गोविन्द जी मिश्र

मुख्य बन्दोबस्त आयुक्त

- 5.0. 2325.—In exercise of th. powers conferred by Sub-Section (2) of Section 34 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (Act, No. 44 of 1954), the Chief Settlement Commissioner hereby delegates to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Madhya Pradesh, Rehabilitation Department, appointed as Settlement Commissioner, vide this Department's Notification No. 1(13) [Sel Cell 81-SS. II(F) dated the 6th August, 1981, his following powers:—
 - Powers to hear appeals under Section 23 of the said Act.
- (ii) Powers to hear revisions under Section 24 of the said Act. 616 GI|81-6.

(iii) Powers to transfer cases under Section 28 of the said Act.

[No. 1(13)/Spl. Cell/81-SS-II(G)]
G. J. MISRA, Chief Settlement Commissioner.

संचार मंत्रालय

अनुश्रयण संघठन (मुख्यालय) नई दिल्ली, 13 जुलाई, 1981

कार बार 2326.— केन्द्रीय सरकार, राजभाषा (संघ के भास-कीय प्रयोजनों के लिए प्रयोग) नियम, 1976 के नियम 10 के उपनियम 4 के प्रनुसरण में, अनुअवण क्षेत्रटन, संबार मंत्रानय के निम्नलिखित प्रनुश्रवण केन्द्रों को , जिनके कर्मबारी वृन्द ने हिन्दी का कार्यसाधक ज्ञान प्राप्त कर लिया है, को प्रशिद्भवित करती है:—-

- 1. धनुश्रवण केन्द्र, जालंधर
- 2. अनुश्रवण केन्द्र, श्रीनगर

[संख्या 9-नौन (24)/78]

पवन कुमार गर्ग, सहायक बेतार सलाहकार

MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS

(Monitoring Organisation)

New Delhi, the 13th July, 1981

- 6.0. 2326.—In pursuance of sub-rule 4 of rule 10 of the Official Languages (use for official purpose of the union) Rule, 1976, the Central Government hereby notify following stations of Monitoring Organisation of the Ministry of Communication, the staff whereof, have acquired by working knowledge of Hindi.
 - 1. Monitoring station, Jullundur.
 - 2. Monitoring station, Srinagar.

[No. 9-MON(24)/78]

P. K. GARG, Asstt. Wireless Advisor

धम मंत्रालय

आवेश

मई दिल्ली, 10 जुलाई 1981

कार शर 2327.— केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद अनसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषय के बारे में परिचमी रेलवे प्रशासन, शहमदाबाद के प्रबन्ध मंडल से सम्बद्ध एक श्रीबोगिक विवाद नियोजकों भीर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच विद्यमान है;

ग्रीर केल्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्दे-शित करना वांछनीय समझती है;

मतः , केन्द्रीय सरकार, श्रीद्योगिक विवाद श्रिधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की घारा 7-क और घारा 10 की उपधारा 1(1) के खंड (श) द्वारा प्रवत्त शिवतयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एक भौद्योगिक श्रीद्यकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन श्रीद्यकारी श्री जी॰ एस॰ बरोत होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय ग्रहमदाबाद में होगा और उक्त विवाद को उक्त स्थिकरण को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

अनुसूची

क्या पश्चिम रेल कर्मजारी परिषद् , साकरमती महमदाबाद की यह मांग न्यायोचित है कि उन झाकस्मिक श्रमिकों को, जिनके बारे में उन्होंने विवाद उठाया है, इंजीनियरिंग कर्मशाला साबर-मती के झाकस्मिक श्रमिकों को उपलब्ध परिविध्यां/सुख सुविधाएं झौर कायदे दिए जाने चाहिए ? यदि हां, तो ये किस झनुतोष के हकदार हैं ?

सं॰ एल-41025/1/80-की II (की)

साबर मती इंजीनियरिंग कर्मशाला, पश्चिमी रेलके की स्मिथी धुकान में काम करने वाले कर्मनारयों की मुखी।

- 1. रामनिकास मुपुत्र गणपत
- गणपतसाय सुपुत्र जत्थाभाई
- 3. सोमभाई सुपुत्र वंजभाई
- 4. गौविन्द भूलजी
- जगदीश सिंह हरीसरण
- घेस्भाई विसा भाई
- 7 रघुजी सोमाजी
- 8. गंगाराम भीमराव
- 9. किशोर सिंह बेगसिंह
- 10 शशिकांत सांताराम
- मोहम्मद ग्रजैन छःगा
- 12 अशोककुमार ज्वाला प्रसाद
- 13 गिरीजार्शकर हरीनारायण
- 14. सनुभाई जसवंत भाई
- 15. संदर्शिवम ग्रस्थलम
- 16 गणेशभाई कंजी माई
- 17. खोदाजी गंगाजी
- 18 जिलेम्द्र सिंह राम सिंह
- 19. भरेश सदाशिव
- 20. सुरेश चन्द प्रेमजी
- 21. भेशवदेव रोहतासदेव
- 22. रसि लाल मनिलाल
- 23 रामीसह रामसरण सिंह
- 24 भोराक काशीराम
- 25. सुनील सदाशिव
- 26 कालाजी मानाजी
- 27. वरूवजन श्री रंगम
- 28 लक्ष्मणजी भीकाजी
- 29. रमेशचन्द्र सुरेशपाल
- 30 राजनारायण जलेख्वर
- 31. राधेश्याम मंशीसिह
- 32. गौरीशंकर कर्णिङ
- 33. दहिया भाई मुलजी भाई
- 34. सुभाष भन्द रामभन्द्र
- 35 रणछोड़ कर्णसिंह
- 36. नीरित कुमार ललितकुमार
- 37. मनी माई रणछोड़ माई
- 38. सतीम कुमार मनीलाज
- 39. गुलाभाई मोहनलाल
- 40. हरीशंकर क्याली राम
- 41. अयंती भाई मोती राम
- 42. राजकुमार रामसुख
- 43. दमरी प्रसाद हरखाः है 44. यहदश भीखम्बर
- . 6------
- 45. शिवबहादुर रघुसाथ 46. रमणाजी मालाजी
- 47. दिनेश रिसीपाल
- 48 हरी सह रामगोपाल
- 49. दहिया भाई बहरभाई
- 50. चन्द्रकांत रूसोराम
- 51. देवदत्त ग्रनन्ता
- 52. हरीसिंह तिलक सिंह
- 53. कालिया परमुमल भोपाल
- 54. कुंजबिहारी रामस्मेही

- **55. कालीवास शकरभाई**
- 56. घणोक किसरी लाल
- 57. रामचरण रामजीवन
- 58. कलजी भाई गोविन्द भाई
- 59. गंगाजी साला जी
- राजार्गम रंगास्वामी
- 61. राधेण्याम पूरणभाई
- 62 प्रताप सिंह नंजीमाई
- 63. महमूद अन्द्रल जाधुर
- 64. सत्येन्द्र कुमार रामस्वरूप
- 65. मोहन भाई मोती भाई
- 66. तंगवेल चिमन
- 67. राधेण्याम अगन्नाच
- 68. रतनसिंह भारत सिंह
- 69 कमलेश वसन्तराव
- 70 प्रहलाद विशराम
- 71. गुल्ला साना मोहम्मद ईस्राईल
- 72. मो० यासनी मो० नासिर
- 73. दीपक सेम्यल
- 74. दीपक मोती
- 75. बायुलाल सोवा भाई
- 76. रामसेवक मोले सिंह
- 77. रमेश कुमार बण्जी भाई
- 78. संस्थनारायण गणधर
- 79. चन्द्र लाल शिवभाई
- 80. जय कूमार परमानन्द
- 81. सत्य प्रकाश बुर्गामल
- 82. बाबू लाल कचराभाई
- 83. नोधरी राम राम मलत राम
- 84. रचुबीर सिंह सुखसिंह
- 85. दिनकर सुपड्ड
- 86 मोह. हाफिज मो॰ हाजिम
- 87. मलसूव हसन मो० हसन
- 88. अनंतराव दिगम्बर
- 89. हरीका कुमार जस्थालाल
- 90. मनोरदास नरायन दास
- 91. वयाचरद हुंकमचन्द
- 92. मोरार भाई बहाली वास
- 93. मनुभाई विकमभाई
- 94. सिक्रनाच सिंह चतुरधम सिह
- 95. मिथलपाल रामप्रताप पाल
- 96. बायु माई शंकर जी
- 97. विकमावित्य जोसीराम

[सं॰ एल-41025 (1)/ 80%नं॰ II (बी)]

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 10th July, 1981

S.O. 2327.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Western Railway Administration, Ahmedabad and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central

Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri G. S. Barot shall be the Presiding Officer with headquarters at Ahmedabad and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the demand of the Paschim Railway Karamihari Parishad, Sabarmati, Ahmedabad that casual labour in respect of whom they have raised the dispute should get the pre-requisites/amenities and benefits available to the casual labour of the Engineering Workshop. Sabarmati, justified? If so, to what relief they are entitled?

List of employees working in Smithy shop of Sabarmati Engineering Workshop, W. Rlv.

- 1. Ramniwas Slo Ganpat
- 2. Ganpatshai So Jothabhai
- 3. Somabhai So Punjabhai
- 4. Govind Bhulji
- 5. Jagdishsingh Harisaran
- 6. Dherubhai Vishabhai
- 7. Raghuji Somaji
- 8. Gangaram Bhimrao
- 9. Kishorsingh Begsingh
- 10. Sashikant Shantaram
- 11. Mohmed Ajain Chhaga
- 12. Ashok Kumar Jwalaprasad
- 13. Girjashankar Harinarain
- 14. Manubhai Jashwantbhai
- 15. Sadashivam Arunachalam
- 16. Ganeshbhai Kanjibhai
- 17. Khodaji Mangaji
- 18. Zilendrasingh Ramsingh
- 19. Naresh Sadashiv
- 20. Sureshchandra Premaji
- 21. Keshavdev Rohitasavdev
- 22. Ratilal Manilal
- 23. Ramsingh Ramsaransingh
- 24. Bhaurao Kashiram.
- 25. Sunil Sadashiv
- 26. Kalaji Manaji
- 27. Varudrajan Shreerangam
- 28. Laxmanji Bhikhaji
- 29. Ramashchandra Sureshpal
- 30. Raj Narain Jaleshwar
- 31. Radheshyam Munshisingh
- 32. Gaurishankar Karansingh
- 33. Dahyabhai Muljibhal
- 34. Subhashchandra Ramchandra
- 35. Ranchhod Karansingh
- 36. Kiritkumar Lalitkumar
- 37. Manibhai Ranchhodbhai
- 38. Satish Kumar Manilal
- 39. Gulabhai Mohanlal
- 40. Harishankar Khyaliram
- 41. Jaintibhai Motiram
- 42. Rajkumar Ramsukh
- 43. Damriprasad Harkhu44. Yagyadatt Bhikhambhar
- 45. Shivbahadur Raghunath
- 46. Ramanji Galaji
- 47. Dinesh Rishipal
- 48. Harisingh Ramgopal
- 49. Dahyabhai Baharbhai
- 50. Chandrakant Rushiram
- 51. Devdatt Amanta
- 52. Hariani Singh Tilaksingh
- 53. Kalia Parsumal Bhopal
- 54. Kunjbihari Ramenchi

- 55. Kalidas Sarkrabhai
- 56. Ashok Kisrilal
- 57. Ramcharan Ramjiyavan
- 58. Valjibhai Govindbhai
- 59. Gagaji Talaji
- 60. Rajangam Rangaswami
- 61. Radheshyam Puranbhai
- 62. Pratapsingh Namjibhai
- 63. Mehboob Abdul Jahur
- 64. Satyandrakumar Ramswroop
- 65. Mohanbhai Motibhai
- 66. Tangvelu Chiman
- 67. Radheyasham Jagannath
- 68. Ratansingh Bharatsingh
- 69. Kamlesh Vasantrao
- 70. Prahalad Vishram
- 71. Gulla Sana Mohmed Israil
- 72. Mohmed Yasin Moh. Nasir
- 73. Deepak Samual
- 74. Deepak Moti
- 75. Babulal Sadabhai
- 76. Ramsevak Bholesingh
- 77. Rameshkumar Nanjibhai
- 78. Satvanarain Galadhar
- 79. Chandulal Shivabhai
- 80. Jaikumar Parmanand
- 81. Satyaprakash Durgmal
- 82. Babulal Kacharabhai
- 83. Naudhariram Rampalatram
- 84. Raghubir Singh Sukhansingh
- 85. Dinkar Supdu
- 86. Moh. Hapiz Moh. Hazira
- 87. Malesud Hasan Moh. Hasan.
- 88. Anantrao Digambar
- 89. Harishkumar Jethalal
- 90. Manordas Naraindas
- 91. Dahayachand Hakumchand
- 92. Morarbhai Bahaldas
- 93. Manubhai Trikambhai
- 94. Sidhnathsingh Chaturghamsingh
- 95. Rampratap Pal Mitaipal.
- 96. Babubhai Shankarji.
- 97. Vikramaditya Jokhairam.

[No. L-41025(1)/80-D.II(B)]

मई विल्ली, 18 जुलाई, 1981

का० आ० 2328 .-- केन्द्रीय मश्कार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद धनुसूची में निर्निष्ट विषय के बारे में हैयी नाटर प्रोजेक्ट, अणुगनिस के प्रबंधतन्त्र से सम्बद्ध एक भीद्योगिक निर्वाद निर्वातकों भीर कर्मकारों के शीच विद्यासन है;

भीर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद की स्थायनिर्णात के लिए निर्देन शित करमा बाछनीय समझती है;

मतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, मौद्योगिक विवाद मिश्विनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क की भीर खारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (म) द्वारा प्रवर्ष, शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए एक भौद्योगिक मिश्करण गिरुत्त करती है। जिसके पीरुत्तिन मिश्वकारी श्री भगवान वास जौहरी होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय जयपुर में होगा भीर उक्त विशाद को उक्त मिश्करण को व्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निवेशित करती है।

अन्यूषो

क्या हैवी वाटर प्रोजेक्ट, (भामा परनाणु) स्राप्तधान केन्द्र) श्राक्त कर अपूर्णावत कीटा, राजस्थान के प्रवस्थतंत्र की श्री सामरास्त राजोटी मददगार को 2-8-79 से सेवा से हटाने की कार्यवाही वैद्या सौर न्यासंवित है ? यदि नहीं, तो कर्मकार किस सनुतोष का हकवार है ?

सिं० एल-42012/61/80-की॰ii(बी] एस० एस० भस्ला, बेस्क अधिकारो

New Delhi, the 18th July, 1981

S.O. 2328.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Heavy Water Project, Anushakti and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri Bhagwan Dass Johri shall be the Presiding Officer with headquarters at Jaipur and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of Heavy Water Project (Bhabha Atomic Research Centre) P.O. Anushakti Kota, Rajasthan in removing Shri Khem Raj Raloti, Helper 'B', from service with effect from 2-8-1979 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

[No. L-42012(61)|80-D.II(B)] S. S. BHALLA, Desk Officer

ं नई विल्ली, 17 जुलाई, 1981

हाः राः २३२९ → नेग्दीय परहार को राय है कि इससे उपा-बद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिश्ट विषय के बारे में स्टेट बैंक आफ बीकानेर और जयपुर के प्रबन्धतस्त्र से सम्बद्ध एक भीषोगिक विवाद नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच विद्यमान है;

भीर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करना वाछनीय समझती है ;

भतः, केन्द्राय सरकार, भौद्योगिक विवाद मिश्वनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) को धारा 7-क भौर धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (घ) द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एक प्रौद्योगिक प्रश्चिकरण गिक करकी है जिसके पीठासीन भ्रश्चिकारी श्री भगवान दास जौहरी होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय जयपुर में होगा धौर उक्त विवाद को उक्त भ्रधिकरण को न्यायनिर्णयन के क्षिए मिर्वेशित करती है।

अनुसूची

भया स्टेट बेंक प्राफ बीकानेर और जयपुर के प्रबन्धतंत्र की श्री हनुमान सहाय गर्मा, प्रधीनस्य सेवा कर्मचारिवृत्व की सेवाघों को 26 धनस्त, 1976 से समाप्त करने की कार्यवाही न्यायोजित है? यवि नहीं, तो कर्मकार किस श्रनुशेष का हकदार है?

[सं॰ एल 12012/255/80-को॰ii(ए)]

ORDER

New Delhi, the 17th July, 1981

S.O. 2329.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of Bikaner &

Jaipur and their workmen in respect of the matters specitied in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribu-

nal of which Shri Bhagwan Dass Johri shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Jaipur and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of State Bank of Bikaner & Jaipur in terminating the services of Shri Hanuman Sahai Sharma, Subordinate Service Staff with effect from 26-8-76 is justified? If not, to what relief is the worker entitled?

[No. L-12012|255|80-D.H(A)]

मई दिल्ली, 30 जुलाई, 1981

कार जार 2330 . — केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाशक धनुभूची में विनिविष्ट धिषयों के बारे में न्यू बैंक आफ इंडिया से सम्बद्ध एक भीकोंगिक विवाद नियोजकों भीर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच विद्यामा है;

भौर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को स्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करमा बांछनीय समझती है ;

घतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार घौद्योगिक विवाद घितियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क घौर घारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (घ) द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एम् घौद्योगिक धिष्करण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन घिषकारी श्री भगवान दास जोहरी होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय जयपुर में होगा घौर उक्त विवाद को उक्त घौद्योगिक घिषकरण को व्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्वेशित करती है।

अनुसूची

- 1. क्या न्यू बैंक ग्राफ इंडिया, जयपुर शाखा की श्री एम० कें ० पपरीक्षाल, मुख्य कलके को 11-7-1979 से इ्रोरपुर शाखा में स्थानानित करने की कार्रवाई न्यायोजित हैं। यदि नहीं, तो संबंधित कर्मकार किस शनुतीय का हक्ष्वार है।
- 2. क्या न्यू बैंक झाफ इंडिया, जयपुर शाखा की श्री बीठ केठ कपूर, कलैक-एवं-टंककश को 20-6-1978 से कोटा शाखा में स्थानांतरित करने की कार्रवाई न्यायोजित है। यदि नहीं, तो संबंधित कमंकार किस सन्-तीच का हकदार है।
- 3. क्या न्यू वैंक झाफ इंडिया, जयपुर शाक्षा की भी एल एत एत राही, क्ल कैको 23-7-1979 से नौका शाक्षा (भी गंगा नगर) में स्थानांतरित करने की कार्यवाही न्यायोचित है। यदि महीं, तो संबंधित कर्यकार किस समुक्षीय का हकदार है।

[संक्या एल • 12011/10/80-की-II(ए)]

New Delhi, the 30th July, 1981

S.O. 2330.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the New Bank of India and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed:

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the

Central Goovernment hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri Bhagwan Das Jhari shall be the siding Officer, with headquarters at Jalpur and reetrs the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

- 1. Whether the action of the New Bank of India, Jaipur Branch in transferring Shri M. K. Papriwal, Chief Clerk of Dungarpur Branch with effect from 11-7-79 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?
- 2. Whether the action of the New Bank of India, Jaipur Branch in transferring Shri B. K. Barock, Clerk-cum-typist to Kota Branch with effect from 20-6-79 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?
- 3. Whether the action of the New Bank of India, Jalpur Branch in transferring Shri L. N. Rathi, Clerk to Nauka Branch (Shri Ganga Nagar) with effect from 23-7-79 is justified ? If not to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?

[No. L-12011]10[80-D.II(A)]

का० आ० .—केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपावक अनुसूची में विनिद्धित्व विषय के बार में भारतीय स्टेट बैंक से सम्बद्ध एक भौधोषिक विवाद नियोजकों भौर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच विद्यमान है;

भीर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विकाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करना बांछनीय समझती है

भतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भौद्योगिक विवाद भिधितयम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क भौर घारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (भ) द्वारा प्रवस्त शक्तियों का भ्रयोग करते हुए, एक भौद्योगिक भ्रधिकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन भ्रधिकारी श्री बी० प्रसाद राव होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय हैदराबाद में होगा भौर उक्त विवाद का उक्त श्रधिकरण को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्वेशित करती है।

अनुसूची 📑

क्या भारतीय स्टेट बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र की उनके हैदराबाद सकिल के संबंध में श्री एम० एस० रामराव भीर श्री के० सत्यनारायण को 1-9-78 से पूर्ण कालिक कर्मचारियों के रूप में नियुक्त करते समय इन्हें कमशः सफाई-कर्मचारी भीर सफाई-कर्मचारी-एवं-वाहक के रूप में पदाभिहत करने की कार्रवाई न्यायोचित है ? यदि नहीं, तो संबंधित कर्मकार किस धनु-तोच के हक्यार हैं ;

[संख्या एल-12011/41/80-की-IIए)]

5.0. 2331.—Whereas he Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the State Bank of India and their workmen to respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it destrable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri B. Prasada Rao shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Hyderabad and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of State Bank of India in relation to its Hyderabad Circle in designating Shri M. S. Rama Rao and Shri K. Satyanarayana as Sweeper and Sweeper-cum-Messenger respectively while appointing them as full time

employees with effect from 1-9-78 is justified ? If not, to what relief are the workmen concerned entitled ?

[No. L-12011|41|80-D.II(A)]

का० का० 2332.—केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपायद्व प्रमुख्धी में विनिद्दिष्ट विषय के बारे में पंजाब नेशनल बैक से सम्बद्ध एक भौधो-गिक विवाद नियोजकों भीर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच विद्यमान है

भीर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्वेषित करना वांछनीय समझती है ;

मत:, केन्द्रीय सरकार, मौद्योगिक विवाद मधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क मौर धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त मित्रयों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एक मौद्योगिक मधिकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन मधिकारी श्री भगवान दास जोहरी होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय जयपुर में होगा मौर उक्त विवाद को उक्त मौद्योगिक मधिकरण को स्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्वेशित करती है।

अनुसूची

क्या पंजाब नेमानल बैंक के प्रबंधतंत्र की उनकी एक धाई० रोड माखा, जयपुर के संबंध में श्री के० सी० मार्मा, लिपिक एवं टंकक को 14-9-78 से स्टेना-टाईपिस्ट के रूप में काम करने से रोकने की कारंबाई भ्यायोक्ति है। यदि नहीं, तो संबंधित कर्मकार किस धनुतोय का हकदार है।

[संख्या एल०-12012/144/79-की-11 (ए)]

S.O. 2332.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Punjab National Bank and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri Bhagwan Das Johri shall be the Presiding Officer with headquarters at Jaipur and refers the said disput for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of Punjab National Bank in relation to its M.I. Road Branch, Jahpur in stopping Shri K. C. Sharma, Clerk-cum-Typist from working as Steno-Typist with effect from 14-9-78, is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?

[No. L-12012|144|79-D.H(A)]

का॰ आ॰ 2333.—केन्द्रीय सरकार की राग्य है कि इससे उपायक अमुसुची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषय के बारे में भारतीय स्टेट ग्रेंक के प्रबंधतंत्र से सम्बद्ध एक भौधोगिक विवाद नियोजकों भौर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच किंद्यमान है;

भीर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करना बांछनीय समझती है ;

भतः केन्द्रीय सरकार, भौद्योगिक विवाद मधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क भीर धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंख (व) द्वारा प्रदत्त मिक्तयों का प्रयोग करने हुए, एक भौद्योगिक मधिकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन भधिकारी श्री टी० सुन्दरसनम बेनियल होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय मदास में होगा भौर उक्त विवाद को उक्त भौद्योगिक भधिकरण को न्यायोनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

अनुसूची

क्या भारतीय स्टेट बैंक, मद्रास के प्रबन्धतंत्र की भूतपूर्व चौकीदार, श्री ए०पी० पैरुमल की सेवा एं 21-5-79 से समाप्त करने की कार्रवाई स्यायोचित है। यदि नहीं, तो संबंधित कर्मकार किस श्रनुसोध का हकदार है?

[संख्या एल-1201/2/209/80-डीं $\Pi(
abla$]

S.O. 2333.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of India and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri T. Sundarsanam Daniel shall be the Presiding Officer, with headqurters at Madras & refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of State Bank of India, Madras in terminating the services of Shri A. Perumal, Ex-Watchman with effect from 21-5-79 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?

[No. L-12012]209[80-D.II(A)]

का० आ० 2334.— केन्द्रीय मरकार की राय है कि इससे उपावद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषय के बारे में स्टेट बैंक श्राफ बीकानेर श्रीर जयपुर से सम्बद्ध एक भौद्यांगिक विवाद नियांजकों श्रीर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच विद्यमान है ,

भौर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद की न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्वेशित करना वाछनीय समझती है :

भतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भौधांगिक विवाद श्रीधितयम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क श्रीर धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त मिक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एक श्रीधोंगिक श्रिधिकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासील श्रिधकारी श्री श्रायान दास जोहरी होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय जयपुर में होगा श्रीर उक्त विवाद को उक्त श्रीकरण को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्वेणित करती है।

धनुसूची

क्या स्टेट बैंक माफ बीकानेर और जपपुर की उनकी संरक्षपुर शाखा के संबंध में श्री भार० पी० मग्रवाल, मस्यायी खजार्जा की सेशाएं 23-6-74 से समान्त करनें की कार्यशर्ष म्यायोजित हैं ? यदि नहीं तो संयंश्रित कर्मकार किस मनुताय का हकदार हैं।

]सं० एल०-12012/273/80-जा.०I[-(ए)]

S.O. 2334.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that $a_{\rm B}$ industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the State Bank of Bikaner & Jaipur and their workman in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri Bhagwan Das Johri shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Jaipur and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the State Bank of Bikaner & Jaipur in relation to their Bharatpur Branch, in terminating the services of Shri R. P. Agarwal, Temporary Cashier with effect from 23-6-74 to justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?

[No. L-12012]273[80-D.II(A)]

मई दिस्ली, 1 ग्रगस्त, 1981

का० आ० 2335.--केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध प्रमुख्नी में विनिधिष्ट विषय के बारे में भारतीय स्टेट बैंक से सम्बद्ध एक भाषांगिक विषाव नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच विषयान है;

भीर केन्द्रीय संस्कार उक्त विधाद को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्वेशित करना बांछनीय समझती है ;

श्रतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार, श्रोद्योगिक विवाद श्रिवित्यम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क श्रीर धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (घ) द्वारा प्रदस्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एक श्रीद्योगिक श्रिधकरण गठित करती है जिसके पीठासीन श्रिधकारी श्री बी० प्रसाद राष्ट्र होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय हैदराबाद में होगा श्रीर उक्त विवाद की उक्त श्रिकरण को न्यायनिर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

अमुसूची

क्या क्षेत्रीय प्रबंधक, क्षेत्र-5, भारतीय स्टेट बैंक, हैदराबाद की काकी-नाडा शाखा के श्री पी० रामाकृष्णा, लिपिक के नियोजन पत्न तारीख 11-1-1979 द्वारा समाप्त करने की कार्रवार्ड न्यायीचित है ? यदि नहीं, तो संबंधित कर्मकार किस अनुनोष का हकदार है ?

[सं॰ एल-12012/253/80-डी॰-2(ए)]

New Delhi, the 1st August, 1981

S.O. 2335.—Whereas the Contral Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the State Bank of India and their workman in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri B. Prasada Rao shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Hyderabad and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the Regional Manager, Region V, State Bank of India, Hyderabad in terminating the employment of Shri P. Ramakrishna, Clerk, Kakinada Branch vide letter dated 11-1-79 is justified? If not to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?

[No. L-12012/253/80-D.II(A)]

का॰ आ॰ 2336.—केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि इससे उपाबद्ध धनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट विषय के बारे में देना यैक से सम्बद्ध धौद्योगिक विवाद नियोजकों धौर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच विद्यमान है;

भीर केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त विवाद को न्यायनिर्णयण के लिए निर्देशित करना बांछनीय समझती है ;

अस:, केन्द्रीय सरकार, श्रीधोगिक विश्वाद ग्रिधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 7-क भीर धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (घ) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, एक श्रीधोरिक ग्रिकिकरण गठित करनी है जिसके पंत्रासीन अधिकारी श्री एष० मनसुखप्पा होंगे, जिनका मुख्यालय बंगलीर में होगा श्रीर उक्त विवाद को उक्त प्रधिकरण को न्याय-निर्णयन के लिए निर्देशित करती है।

अनुम्**ची**

क्या देना बैक, बंगलीर क्षेत्र के प्रबंधतंत्र की बंगलीर क्षेत्र में स्थित ध्रपनी सराजपुरा णाखा के सबंध में श्री एम० पपन्ना, लिपिक, की 27-12-1976 से सेत्राएं समाप्त थरने की कार्रबाई न्यायीचित हैं ? यदि नहीं, तो संबंधित क्षमंकार किस प्रमुतीष का हकवार है ?

(सं॰ एल-12012/264/80-डो॰-II(ए)]

S.O. 2336.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Dena Bank and their workman in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto amnexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said disput for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 7A and clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby constitutes an Industrial Tribunal of which Shri H. Shanmukhappa shall be the Presiding Officer, with headquarters at Bangalore, and refers the said dispute for adjudication to the said Tribunal.

SCHEDULE

Whether the action of the management of the Dena Bank, Bangalore Region in relation to their Sarajpura Branch in Bangalore region in terminating the services of Shri M. P.apanna, Clerk with effect from 27-12-76 is justified? If not, to what relief is the worker concerned entitled?

[No. L-12012[264[80-D.II(A)]

New Delhi, the 18th August, 1981

S.O. 2337.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award under section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act. of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of City Bank, N. A. New Delhi and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 12-8-81.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI.

I.D. No. 28 of 1991

In re:

General Secretary, First National City Bank Staff Association,

Versus

3. Sansad Marg, New Delhi.

. Petitioner

The Management, Citibank N.A. 3, Sansad Marg, New Delhi.

.......... Respondent

AWARD

This petition us 33A of the I.D. Act has been filed by the General Secretary, First National City Bank Staff Association against the Management of Citi Bank. The application is opposed on behalf of the respondent interalia on the ground that it is not maintainable. Arguments were heard on the question of maintainability of this petition and I have come to the following findings upon this preliminary objection of the respondent.

- 2. The contention of the workman in their application is that the petitioner—Association is a registered association and the General Secretary thereof is duly authorised to file this petition; that there was a mutually established promotion policy which was being followed by the respondent; that this policy was declared vide letter dated 15-12-70 and was confirmed vide letter dated 16-2-71 and 1-6-71; that accordingly the promotions were to be made on seniority-cum-merit and not merit-cum-seniority; that later on certain promotions were made which were challenged by the workman side and are pending adjudication before this Tribunal as IDs No. 3/79, 55/80 and 104/80; that during the pendency of these IDs the Management has again violated the promotion policy and as such committed a default within the meaning of Section 33 I.D. Act and hence this petition.
- 3. The Management has contended inter-alia that this petition is not maintainable as none of the workmen who are aggrieved in the above mentioned references have filed this petition and this petition has not even been authorised to be filed by any of those workmen. It may be mentioned here that parties counsel have come forward with the following statement on 1-6-81;

Statement of counsel for parties on SA.

'Parties do not propose to lead any oral evidence.

Documents on record may be read as evidence if any. Arguments may be heard,'

4. I have heard arguments at length and have come to the conclusion that certainly this petition is not maintanable as framed. From the perusal of the title of the petition I find that it reads as follows:

'General Secretary, First National City Bank Staff Association, 3, Sansad Marg, New Delbt.

۷s.

The Management, Citibank NA 3, Sansad Marg, New Delhi.

From para no. 1 of the petition also it appears that the petition has been filed by the General Secretary of the First National Citi Bank Staff Association. There is nothing in the body of the said petition which goes to suggest ing in the body of the said petition which goes to suggest that this has been filed on an authorisation by either the workmen in ID No. 3/79, or the workman in ID No. 55/80 or No. 104/80. In view thereof it cannot be said that this petition as framed is maintainable. From the perusal of Power of attorney filed by Shri S. K. Maini 1 find that Shri S. K. Maini has been authorised by Shri K. L. Malhotra, President, First National Citibank Staff Association. This also does not show that the petition has Association. This also does not show that the petition has been filed on the authorisation of any of the workmen in IDs No. 3|79 and 55|80 and 104|80. In view thereof also this petition cannot be said to be maintainable. From the perusal of Section 33A of ID Act it is abundently clear that the petition can be moved by the agrieved workman for workmen but such is not the case in the instant matter. This also is not evident from the record produced that the matter has been taken up by the Association on the representation of those workmen who were adversly affected in those IDs. From whichever angle I may consider the matter before me I do not find any support for the proposition that the petition as framed is maintainable. If at all the principle of law as enunciated in 1963 (2) ILL-10 goes to show that petition by the union is not maintainable in these circumstances and therefore I come to the conclusion that this retition is not maintainable. That being the position I do not think it would be proper for me to go into the merits of the petition. For my discussions above, the petition is dismissed and it is held that the workmen are not entitled to any relief in the petition and it is accordingly awarded that the petition is not maintainable. Parties would bear their own costs.

Further ordered:

That requisite number of conies of this award may be sent to the appropriate Govt, for necessary action at their end.

Dated: the 23rd July, 1981.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer

[No. L-12025|16|81-D.II.(A)]

New Delhi, the 19th August, 1981

8.0. 2338.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the maragement of Bank of Baroda and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 12-8-81.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBU-NAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI

I.D. No. 203 of 1977

In re:

The General Secretary,
Bank of Baroda Employees Union,
710, Balli Maran, Chandni Chowk, DelhiPetitioner

Versus

The Regional Manager,

Bank of Baroda, Parliament Street,

New Delhi.

....Respondent

AWARD

The Central Govt. as appropriate Govt. vide its order No. L-12012[14]77-D.H.A dated the 5th December, 1977 referred an Industrial Dispute v.s 10 of the I.D. Act to this Tribunal in the following terms:

- 'Whether the action of Regional Office of the Bank of Baroda, New Deihi in permanently depriving Shri Vishwanath Singh, Cyclostyling machine operator from the posts attracting a special allowance higher than the special allowance of cyclostyling machine operator, justified? If not, to what relief Shri Vishwanath Singh is entitled?
- 2. On receipt of the reference usual notices were sent to the parties. In pursuance of these notices a statement of claim was filed and then a written statement was filed and finally a replication was filed. Upon the pleadings of the parties following issues were framed:
 - 1. Whether the reference is bad as alleged?
 - 2. As in order of reference ?
 - 3. Relief.
- 3. The case was then adjourned for evidence of the parties. After the evidence was recorded the workman side came forward with a statement on 10-7-81 which reads as under:

Statement of Shri R. L. Virmani on SA and the work-man on SA.

- "The workman was promoted clerk on 26th May 1978 and in view thereof no relief can be available in the reference as it stands and therefore the workman does not press for any relief in this reference in view of the limited nature of order of reference. The court may return a no dispute award in this reference. The workman reserves his right to have recourse to other mode of redress if otherwise available."
- 4. Thereafter Shri S. S. Sethi made the following statement:

Statement of Shri S. S. Sethi on SA.

'The reference may be decided in term of order of reference. He cannot reserve any right.'

5. I have heard parties representatives. In view of the categorical statement of Shri R. L. Virmani and the workman, a no dispute award is hereby made in this reference leaving the parties to bear their own costs.

Further ordered:

That requisite number of copies of this award may be sent to the appropriate Govt. for necessary action at their end.

Dated: the 23rd July, 1981.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer [No. L-12012|14|77-D.II(A)]

New Delhi, the 20th August, 1981

S.O. 2339.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Madras, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of India and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 14-8-81.

BEFORE THIRU T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, B.A., B.L., PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, MADRAS.

(Constituted by the Government of India)

Wednesday, the 5th day of August, 1981

Industrial Disputes No. 91 of 1980

(In the matter of the dispute for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 between the workmen and the Management of State Bank of India, Madras-1.)

BETWEEN

The workmen represented by The General Secretary, State Bank Employees Union, No. 36/37, Angappa Naicken Street. Madras-600001.

AND

The Chief General Manager.
State Bank of India,
Madras Local Head Office, No. 21, Madras-1.

REFERENCE:

Order No. L-12011 [79] 79-D.H.A, dated 5-12-1980 of the Ministry of Labour, Government of India.

This dispute coming on for final hearing on Monday, the 8th day of June, 1981 upon perusing the reference, claim and counter statements and all other material papers on record and upon hearing the argument of Thiru K. Chandru for Thiruvalargal Row and Reddy and K. Chandru, Advocates for the workmen and of Thiruvalargal T. S. Gopalan, P. Ibrahim Kalifulla and P. Raghunathan, Advocates for the Management and this dispute having stood over till this day for consideration, this Tribural made the following.

AWARD

This is an Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the Management of State Bank of India, Madras-1 referred to this Tribunal for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 by the Government of India in Order No. L-12011/79/79-D.H.A. dated 5-12-1980 of the Ministry of Labour, in respect of the following issue:

Whether the action of the State Bank of India, Local Head Office, Madras in increasing the total number of working hours per month from 176 to 208 hours and in reducing the weekly offs from 7-1/2 days to 4 days per month with effect from 26-1-79 in respect of control room operators is justified? If not, to what relief are the workmen concerned entitled?

(2) Facts leading upto this dispute are as follows: The Management is State Bank of India, Madras Local Head Office functioning at No. 43, Moore Street, Madras-1. In keeping with the expansion of its activities the Bank constructed a multi-storeyed building at No. 21, Rajaji Salai, Madras-1 and which is known as "Circle Top House". The Bank moved into the new premises sometime in November, 1977. The new premises is centrally air conditioned and hence entries to the offices were only through specified entrances. In view of the nature of the building the Management has to maintain sophisticated fire extinguishing equipment to meet any possible fire hazard. In order to ensure that the fire detection fire prevention systems are attended to round the clock, a control room was set up. It is un-controverted that control room came into being from the beginning of 1978. The staff employed in the control room are required to keep a constant vigil or watch on the equipment and they have to act as per the indications in the equipment. The Management of the Bank sanctioned a staff strength of 4 Control Room Officers/Assistant Security Officers in the Junior Management cadre. 4 control room operators and 4 fire-men who come under the award staff category. Initially when the control room was started 3 operators and 2 firemen were appointed. The present reference made by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour relates to 4 operators employed by the Management as control room operators. These control room operators are incharge of keeping control over sophisticated electronic instruments, fittings, etc. and the object of the control room is to take note of any untoward happenings as reflected in the equipment and to take steps to avert, control and put down the untoward emergencies. Thus operators will have down the untoward emergencies. Thus operators will have to send periodical reports about the electrical gadgets Wet riser system, Electronic Fire Alarm System etc. and will have to maintain the details about the power shut downs and other things. These 4 operators were serving in the Indian Army/Air Force as Junior Commissioned Officers and they were recruited through the Special Employment Exchange. Exs. W-5, W-6 and W-7 were the appointment orders given by the Measurement to the Appointment orders given by the Management to three of the four operators, one of whom has also been examined as W.W.I. He was appointed in July, 1978 whereas the other three were appointed in January, 1978 as evident from Exs. W-5 and W-6. The services of these operators were also duly confirmed as seen from Exs. W-8, W-9 and W-10, W.W.1 was confirmed in February, 1979 whereas the other two were confirmed on 22-6-1978 as can be gathered from Exs. W-8 and W-9. As mentioned earlier, in January, 1978, there were only three regular operators and these three regular operators were duly apprised of their duties listed under Ex. M3. The three operators have received copy of Ex. M-3 on 11-1-1978 and 12-1-1978 and they appeared to have been apprised of their duties even in the first week of January, 1978. W.W.1 has admitted in cross-examination that the three other control room operators have received list of duties of control room operators and had received in January, 1978. W.W.1 who has been appointed as Operator only in July, 1978 would say that he does not remember to receive also the copy of duties of control room operators at the time of his appointment similar to Ex. M-3. He would say that as a control room operator he is not required to perform duty No. 9 and 12(d) as mentioned in Ex. M-3. But he admits that the other duties found in Ex. M-3 were performed by him as an operator. Moreover, when W.W.1 is appointed as an operator six months later it is quite probable that he would have been furnished with the list of duties mentioned in Ex. M-3. In the circumstances, by and large the duties of control room operators can be spelt out from Ex. M-3.

(3) From clause (2) of Fx. M-3 it can be noted that the control room operators were working in three shifts. The 1st shift from 06.00 hours to 14.00 hours, 2nd shift from 14.00 hours to 22.00 hours and the 3rd shift from 22.00 hours to 06.00 hours on the following day, Although there were four control room operators, the daily requirements were only three operators while the 4th operator was staving away from duty. Similarly they were changing the shifts once in two days and the shift was changed from 1st shift to 2nd shift and 2nd shift to 3rd shift. In the nature of the system that was in vogue the operator was workking in the 3rd shift for two days could not change to the 1st shift without a break for one day and therefore after working for a 3rd shift for two days the operator used to avail a day off. Thus the control room was operated with the aid of three 16 GI/81--7

operators for three shifts a day and the 4th man was to operate as a reliever and as a leave. As I had mentioned earlier this was the scheme of working of the control room during the first year, namely 1978. During the 2nd year of service contingencies for leave reserve for these operators did arise. According to the Management, during the 2nd year of service of these operators, the roster for shift system was relaid in such a way that after providing for three operators for three shifts the 4th operator would act as a reliever during weekly holiday of the other control room operators and as a leave reserve. The Security Officer of the Management-Bank has been examined as M.W.1. As a Security Officer, his duly is to prepare the duty register of the control room. W.W.1 has also stated even in chief examination that Security Officer alone prepares the duty roster. He has stated that Ex. W-11 is a statement prepared by him indicating the duty allotted to the control room operators as extracted from duty roster register maintained by the Management. This statement Ex. W-11 comprises of two portions. The first part indicating the duty roster pattern of control room operators obtained in the Management prior to 26th January, 1979 while the 2nd portion mentioned the duty roster pattern of control room operators after 26th January, 1979. W.W.1 has admitted even in chief examination that the data mentioned in Ex. W-11 related to the duties of the control room operators prior to 26-1-1979 is correct and he has stated that it is in accordance with the duty roster register. But according to him the basis on which the duty roster register prior to 26-1-1979 in Ex. W-11 was based on the guidelines prepared by him in Ex. M-4 which is an 'Aide Memoire on Shift system'. In cross-examination, it has been clarifled from him that the details furnished in Ex. M-4 are correct, Moreover, in his cross-examination, it was elicited that the actual working of the four operators during the first year upto 26-1-1979 is indicated at the lower part of Ex. M.4. The case of the operators is that after 26-1-1979 the working hours of the operators had been increased from 176 hours per month with been increased from 1/6 hours per month with 8 days off to 208 hours and only 4 days off and that the Bank has not given any notice under Section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and therefore the change effected by the Management from 26-1-1979 is wholly illegal. But according to M.W.1 no such notice under Section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 was required and therefore the action of the Management is perfectly investigated. the Management is perfectly justified. On this controversy between the workmen and the Management the present reference has been made by the Government of India, Ministry of Labour to this Tribunal for adjudication.

(4) As seen from the appointment orders Exs. W-5, W-6 and W-7 issued to the operators, it can be noted that they will be governed by Desai Award and they are placed in the cierical cadre. It is not gain-said that paragraph 10.46 of the Desai Award has fixed actual hours of work for workmen other than sub-staff as 6-1/2 hours a day and 4 hours for Saturday and it has also provided for a lunch recess of half an hour to one hour. The same working hours for these operators had also been fixed in the bi-partite settlement dated 31-3-1967, printed copy of which is marked as Ex. M-5. Accordingly, these operators were made to work 176 hours per month with 2 weekly offs ever since their entry into service upto 26-1-1979. The case of the Management is that the bi-partite settlement reached under Section 18(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act—vide Ex. M-5 was made long before the control room operator ioined the services and therefore they cannot claim the benefits of the working hours as laid down in Fx. M-5. But it must be noted that even the appointment orders Exs. W-5, W-6 and W-7 disclose that their service conditions will be governed by Desai Award. Therefore there is prima facie justification for the submission of the workmen that in accordance with paragraph 10.46 of the Desai Award the actual working hours for workmen other than sub-staff would only be 6-1/2 hours a day and 4 hours for Saturday and therefore any change in that condition which was obtained unto 26-1-1979 would be in direct violation of the terms of the Desai Award and as such since the Bank has not given even any notice Section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the change effected by them must be held to be illegal. Learned counsel for the Management Thiru Gopalan points out that although the Union may seek to rely on paragraph 10.46 of the Desai Award in support of their position they cannot ignore paragraph 10.46 (5) which runs as follows:

"Part time employees as well as members of the watch and ward staff, bank employees engaged in domestic service, gardeners, sweepers, godown-keepers, engaged solely for the work other than those required to remain in attendance at the bank during office hours, are excluded from the scope of the aforesaid directions. The provisions contained in clause 9 below equally not to apply to them."

Thus it can be seen that the category of employees sought to be covered under clause (5) are those employees engaged solely for work other than those required to remain in attendance at the bank during office hours. Though the category of control room operators may not find a place in paragraph (5), yet by virtue of their being required not to remain in attendance of the Bank during office hours, it can be said that they must be deemed to excluded from the scope of paragraph (1 to 4) of the Award. Although in the appointment orders Exs. W-5. W-6 and W-7, it is stated that conditions of service will be governed by Desai Award, vet there is no stipulated working hours per week or per month for control operators. Therefore when there is no stipulation about monthly working hours or weekly working hours there cannot be any question of increasing of working hours with effect from 26-1-1979. A point was also sought to be made by the Union, in that the duty roster pattern in vogue prior to 26-1-1979 should also be upheld on the ground of customary privilege or usuage. But out of the four control room opera-tors, three were appointed in January, 1978 and 4th one W.W.1 joined in July, 1978. The duty roster pattern was readjusted with effect from 26-1-1979. Therefore when the control room itself was founded less than a year ago and three of the operators were appointed in January, 1978 and the 4th operator W.W.1 joined the Management only in July, 1978 and while the operation of the control room was in its maiden or formative or nascent stage, by no stretch of imagination can it be said that by about January, 1979 the operators can advance a right based on customary privilege or usuage with regard to their working hours or weekly offs.

(5) W.W.1 has admitted in cross-examination that both before and after 26-1-1979, his shift hours duty is only 8 hours. He has also added he had been availing off strictly in accordance with Duty Roster Register. He also says that duty roster register is prepared once a month. M.W.1 is the Officer who prepares the duty roster register. From Ex. M-3, it can be further noted they the preparation of the duty roster was left to the discretion of the Security Officer, and the control room operators were expected to work strictly in accordance with duty roster. Thus it is apparent that prior to 26-1-1979, the Security Officer M.W.1 prepared the duty roster in such a manner and each control room operators could avail of one weekly off and another off after night shift. But from 26-1-1979, the work was assigned by the Security Officer equilably among all the 4 control room operators and so since 26-1-1979 not only was there equilable distribution of available work among all the four control room operators but all the four control room operators became eligible for liberal leave benefits. In the circumstances, the control room operators are bound to work in accordance with the duty roster prepared by the Security Officer M.W.1. But it cannot be held that while preparing the duty roster register the Security Officer changes the service conditions as such of the control room operators.

(6) At this juncture, I may also examine if as a result of the duty roster prepared by the Security Officer M.W.1 from 26-1-1979 any hardship had been caused to any of these control room operators. As seen from the working of the shifts in Ex. M-4 the available shifts in a month would be 90 shifts. The number of control room operators were four. If each control room operator was given one week off then three control room operators will operate 78 shifts in a month and the 4th operator will have only 12 shifts in a month. For the remaining days in a month the 4th operator may not have full employment. To obviate this contingency the Security Officer has in an equitable manner distributed the workload among the four control room operators equally by dividing the 90 available shifts by four and the net result would be that each control room operator will require to work 22½ shifts. Instead of that, two control room operators were required to work for 23 shifts in a month and the other two operators were required to work 22 shifts in a month. This is also explained by the admission of W.W.1 that prior to 26-1-1979 the working hours and working days used to very from operator to operator. It will be perfainent to set out some more details with regard to these conrol room operators. Control Room is a place where master panel for various squipment is kept. The control room operator has to keep watch over this and if anything of abnormal is noticed he should take further steps. The control room operator did not

and was not required to loom after the maintenance or repairs of the equipments of the control room. Control Room, Watch and Ward and the Fire Services come under the control of Security Department under the Management. Control Room Operator is an award staff of the Bank. Some of the award staff also work in shifts. All the award staff members of the Bank are given only one weekly holiday. No doubt, as far as emoluments are concerned, control room operators are placed on clerical cadre. But W.W.1 has admitted that so far no control room operator has been transferred out as a clerk. Conversely never has there been any instance where a clerk has been posted as a control room operator. The control room operator has to make entries in Log Book, Handing over and Taking over Register, Key Deposit Register, Attendance Register and Despatch Register daily. Control Room is as unique feature found only in the Circle Top House and there is no other parallel in any other Bank in this area or region. Therefore regard being had to the peculiar nature of work in the control room and in the absence of indication of specific hours of work in the order of appointment and the admitted facts that the control room work has to be carried on in three shifts with four operators and the undisputed fact that the control room operators have to faithfully carry out the duty roster as prepared by the Security Officer the aforesaid officer was perfectly justified in distributing the work among the four operators, so that each operator would get the weekly off and each shift does not exceed 8 hours of duty, it cannot be concluded that such duty roster prepared by Security Officer as from 26-1-1979 is either improper, illegal or unjust or unfair or inequitable.

(7) The Management relies on the decision of the Supreme Court reported in 1973—I—L.L.J. Page 18 (The Oil and Natural Gas Commission vs. their workmen). Briefly, the facts are as follows:

"When the workshop of the Oil and Natural Gas Commission (ONGC) at Baroda was under construction the office administrative staff used to work in a different place and at that time their working hours were 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. with an interval of 1|2 hour. That is the total working hours were six and half hour a day. These working hours lasted from December, 1964 to June, 1965. When administrative staff was shifted to the factory, the working hours of the administrative staff was fixed from 8 a.m. to 5.00 p.m. with an interval of one hour. The workmen claimed that the working hours have been increased without 9(a) Notice."

Eventually the Supreme Court rejected the contention that notice under Section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 was essential and pointed out that the Management must have full power and discretion in fixing the working hours of the administrative staff within the limit prescribed by the statute. On the facts of the present case, I am inclined to hold that the dictum of the Supreme Court mutatis mutandis would apply and the Management has every right to fix the working hours within the limits prescribed by the statute.

(8) In as much as I am not inclined to accept the case of the Union that there is change in service conditions of these operators it is unnecessary for me to refer to the several citations placed before me for the position that in the absence of any notice under Section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the action of the Management wholly illegal. Finally, the action of the Management can also be sustaired because it seeks to distribute the work equally among the four operators in charge of the control room of the Management. If as the operators would have it to restore the status quo as indicated in paragraph (10) of the claim statement it would amount to this, namely, perpetration of the inequalities between these four operators. Suffice for me to refer to only one factor. If each control room operator is given only weekly off than the three control room operators will operate 78 shifts in a month and the 4th operator will have only 12 shifts in a month and for the remaining days in the month the 4th operator may not have full employment. It is in this context the Security Officer M.W.1 has stored that the 4th operator had been working as a relief for the other three operators and so his services are not utilised in full. He has further stated that after 26-1-1979 all the four creatures are not utilised in full. tors are given equal shifts thus rationalising the entire work. Even after 26-1-1979, each operator gets a weekly off on any one of the 7 days. If the working arrangement in vogue prior to 26-1-1979 were to be guidelined for ever and ever as Union would have it, it would tantamount to giving a real

of authority for the unequal distribution of work among the four control room operators employed by the Management. Certainly, it would be most undesirable nay even un-business like on the part of any Management to succum to such a course. Therefore, looked at from any angle, the action of the Management cannot be assailed.

(9) At the time of arguments, learned counsel for the operators Thiru K. Chandru also raised the question as to how far the action of the Management can be justified calling upon the employee to work for 8 hours without a break. It must be pointed out that in the claim statement no such specific plea has been raised to give the Management an opportunity to explain their stand. Support for the submission made by the learned counsel was by a reference to the provisions of Tamil Nadu Shops and Establishments Act, wherein it is provided that no person employed shall be required to work continuously for more than four hours without a break of one hour. Learned counsel for the Management Thiru Gopalan points out that State Bank is exempted from certain provisions of Tamil Nadu Shops and Establishments Act including Section 14(2) subject to certain conditions. Therefore, there is no question of voilation of provisions of Tamil Nadu Shops and Establishments Act, Moreover this plea has not been taken up earlier or even before the Conciliation Officer. Further more, it is not as though these operators from 1978 onwards had never taken any lunch or meals during a shift period of 8 hours. Finally at page (4) paragraph 8(d) in the claim statement it is averred that the action of the Management is dis-criminatory and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution. Although the control room operato's are placed on par with clerical staff for emoluments it cannot be said that with regard to their working hours there is a discrimination between the control room operators as a group and the other class of clerical staff. On the other hand, I had elsewhere pointed out how in the context of the operation of the control room which is unique the work of operators had to be trol room which is unique the work of operators had to be regulated in such a way as to achieve the most efficient working of the control room operators. Therefore the action of the Management can neither be termed discriminatory or violative of any of the articles enshrined in the constitution. In the circumstances, 1 must hold that the action of the Management in regulating the work of control room operators with effect from 26-1-1979 is perfectly justified and consequently does not warrant the grant of any relief to the control room operators. trol room operators.

(10) In the result, an Award is passed holding that the action of the Management in regulating the work of Control Room Operators with effect from 26-1-1979 is perfectly justified and consequently no relief can be granted to the Control Room Operators. In the peculiar circumstances, I direct the parties to bear their respective costs.

WITNESSES EXAMINED

For workmen

M.W.1-Thiru N. Mahalanga Rao,

For Management.

M.W.1-Thiru D. S. Grawal, Security Officer.

DOCUMENT MARKED

For workmen

- Ex. W-1/9-4-79—Letter from the Union to the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Madras for intervention.
- Ex. W-2|10-9-79—Letter from the Bank to the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central) Madras, in reply to Ex. W-1. (copy).
- Ex. W-3/30-11-79—Letter from the Union to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), Madras for reduction of working hours.
- Ex. W-4/7-12-79—Cociliation failure report.
- Ex. W-5/5-1-78—Appointment order issued to Thiru K.G. Gopalakrishnan Nair.
- Ex. W-6|3-1-78—Appointment order issued to Thiru S. Ramadoss.

- Ex. W-7/26-7-78— —do— to W.W.1.
- Ex. W-8/22-6-78—Confirmation order issued to Thiru K. Gopalakrishnan Nair.
- Ex. W-9|22-6-78—Confirmation order issued to Thiru S. Ramadoss.
- Ex. W-10/1-2-79--- to W.W.1.
- Ex. W-11—Duty roster pattern of the control room operators before and after 26-1-1979.
- Ex. W-12—Proforma forms of the daily report to be filled by the control room operator.

For Management

- Ex. M-1—Representation of the control room operators of the Bank to the Chief General Manager of the Bank for inclusion of their trade in the codified circular and for special allowances.
- Ex. M-2—Attendance Register for control room operators, Firemen and life care from 11-12-78 to 15-6-80.
- Ex. M-3-Statement of duties of control room operators.
- Ex. M-4—Statement showing the Aide Memoire on shift system, normal pattern of work and rationalised pattern adopted for the first year.
- Ex. M-5-Agreement entered into between the Bank and All India State Bank of India Staff Federation.

Dated, this 5th day of August, 1981.

T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, Presiding Officer.

1No. L-12011/79/79-D.II(A)]

New Delhi, the 27th August, 1981

S.O. 2340.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Governmen hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, New Delhi, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of India, and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on the 12-8-81.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI.

I.D. No. 47 of 1981

In re:

The General Secretary, UP. Bank Workers' Organisation, Navin Market, Kanpur.

Petitioner.

Versus

The Regional Manager, State Bank of India, Region-II, Varanasi-221001

AWARD

The Central Govt. as appropriate Government vide its order No. L-12012|30|80-D.H(A) dated the 2nd April, 1981 referred an Industrial Dispute to this Tribunal in the following terms:

- "Whether the action of the management of State Bank of India. Varanasi (Region-II) in terminating the services of Shri Bhoop Narain Dixit, Driver Sardar Narain Branch (Gorakhpur) with effect from 7-4-76 is justifified? If not, to what relief is the workers concerned entitled?"
- 2. On receipt of the reference usual notices were issued to the parties. In furtherance of the said notices a statement of claim was filed by the workman side. Thereafter case was adjourned for filing of written statement of the Management but before any writtens statement could be filed a settlement has been arrived at between the parties which has been recorded vide my order dated 29-7-81. The settlement is incorporated in the statements of parties representatives which reads as under:

"Statement of Shri S. N. Shukla for workman on S.A. and Shri K. M. Tripathi for bank on S.A," An award reappointing this workman on regular permanent basis subject to usual 6 month's probation on completion may be passed in favour of workman and against bank. The appointment would be treated as fresh appointment w.e.f. 1-9-81, Workman forgoes all other claims arising from previous services."

3. In view of the statements recorded, above, it is awarded that the workman is appointed on regular permanent basis subject to usual six month's probation completion w.e.f. 1st September, 1981 in his earlier capacity in the usual grade. The workman would not be entitled to any other reliet on the basis of previous service. Parties would bear their own costs.

Further ordered:

That requisite number of copies of this award may be sent to the appropriate Government for necessary action at their end.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer

Dated: the 29th July, 1981

No. L-12012/30/80-D.II(A)

N. K. VERMA, Desk Officer

मई दिल्ली, 10 धगस्त, 1981

भा० भा० 2341.--केन्द्रीय सरकार ने यह समाधाम हो जाने पर भि लोकहिस में ऐसा भरना घपेक्षित था, मौद्योगिक विवाद प्रक्षितियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की घारा 2 के खण्ड (द) के उपखंड (6) के उपबंधों के प्रमुनरण में भारत सरकार के अम मंत्रालय की प्रधिनृष्यता संख्या का० भा० 552 तारीख 31 जनवरी, 1981 द्वारा पाइराइटस खनन उद्योग को उक्त प्रधिनियम के प्रयोजन के लिए 27 जनवरी, 1981 से छ: माह की कालावधि के लिए लोफ उपयोगी सेवा घोषित किया था;

भौर केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि भोकहित में उक्त कालावधिको छ: माह की भौर कालावधि के लिए बढ़ाया जाना अधिक्षत है ;

श्रतः, ग्रसं, भौद्योगिक विवाद प्रधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की श्रारा 2 के खंड (ढ) के उपखंड (६) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग का उक्त ग्रधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए 27 जुलाई, 1981 से छः माह् की भीर कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा घोषित करती है।

[संख्या एस०-11017/2/80-की० 1(ए)]

New Delhi, the 10th August 1981

s.O. 2341.—Whereas the Central Government having been satisfied that the public interest so required had, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), declared by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. SO 552 dated the 31st January 1981, the pyrites mining industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months from the 27th January, 1981.

And whereas the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a further period of six months from the 27th July, 1981.

[No. S-11017|2|80-D.I(A)]

नई दिल्ली, 19 घगस्त, 1981

का॰ आ॰ 2342.—केन्द्रीय सरकार ने यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि लोकहित में ऐसा करना अपेक्षित था, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 2 के खंड (ढ) के उपखंड (६) के उपबंडों के अनुसरण में भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय की श्रिधमूकना संख्या का॰ आ॰ 553 तारीख 31 जनवरी, 1981 द्वारा फासफोराइट खनन उद्योग को उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजनों के लिए 27 जनवरी, 1981 से छः मास की कालावधि के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेना घोषिन किया था, और केन्द्रीय सरकार की राय है कि लोकहित में उक्त कालावधि को छः मास की और कालावधि के लिए, बढाया जाना धपेक्षित है;

भतः भव, भौद्योगिक भिवित्यम, 1947 (1947 का 14) हूँ की धारा 2 के खंड (ढ) के उपखंड (६) के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रवस मिक्तयों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त उद्योग को उक्त प्रधितियम के प्रयोजनों को 27 जुलाई, 1981 से छः मास की भौर कालाविध के लिए लोक उपयोगी सेवा बोधित करती है।

[सं॰ एस-11017/2/80-बी॰ 1(ए)] संजीत दला, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 19th August, 1981

S.O. 2342.—Whereas the Central Government having been satisfied that the public interest so required had, in pursuance of the provisions of sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), declared by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.O. 553 dated the 31st January 1981, the phosphorite mining industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a period of six months, from the 27th Jan., 1981;

And whereas, the Central Government is of opinion that public interest requires the extension of the said period by a further period of six months;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clauses (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby declares the said industry to be a public utility service for the purposes of the said Act, for a further period of six months, from the 27th July, 1981.

[No. S-11017/2/80-D. I (A)] SANJIV DATTA, Under Secy.

शुद्धि-पल

नई दिल्ली, 14 ग्रगस्त, 1981

का० आ० 2343.—इस मंत्रालय के प्रादेश संख्या एल-17011/181-की० 4(ए) तारीख 16 मई, 1981 की धनुसूची में "श्री एम० एस० पानीकर" नाम के स्थान पर "श्री पी० एस० पानीकर" रखा आए।

नन्द लाल, डेस्क मधिकारी

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 14th August, 1981

S.O. 2343.—In the Schedule to this Ministry's Order No. L-17011|1|81-D.IV(A) dated the 16th May 1981, the name "Shri M. S. Panicker" may be substituted by Shri P. S. Panicker."

NAND LAL, Desk Officer

New Delhi, the 18th August, 1981

S.O. 2344.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) the Central Government hereby publishes the following arbitration award of Shrl V. Joshph, Asst. Labour Commissioner (C), Ernakulam in the industrial dispute between the employer in relation to

Shri Jacob Rajan, St. Joseph Villa Colachel Contractor under M.s. Indian Rare Earths Limited, Manavalakurichi and his workmen.

AWARD

Decision under Section 10(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 in an industrial dispute between Shri Jacob Rajan, Contractor and their workmen represented by Mineral Workers Union and Manavalakurichi Minerals Staff Association Manavalakurichi.

PRESENT

Shri V. Joseph

Assistant Labour Commissioner
(Central) Ernakulam and
Arbitrator.

Representing Employer:
Shri Jacob Rajan,
St. Joseph Villa, Colachel.
(Mount Carmel, Neyattinkara)
Contractor to M/s Indian Rare Earths Ltd..
Manayalakurichi.

Representing the Workmen:
The President
Mineral Workers Union,
Manavalakurichi.
The President,
Manavalakurichi Minerals Staff
Association.
Manavalakurichi

INDUSTRY: Non-Coal Mine STATE: Tamil Nadu. SHORT RECITAL

The Mineral Workers Union, Manavalakurichi and Shri Jacob Rajan, Shipping Contractor for transporting shipping and stevedoring under M|s Indian Rare Earths Ltd. Manavalakurichi referred an industrial dispute for arbitration to me vide their agreement dated 17-11-1978, under Section 10(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act. Since the arbitration agreement was not in the proper form, the Government returned the agreement which was revised by the parties and resubmitted vide their letter dated 7-2-1979. This was notified in the Official Gazette vide notification No. L-29013|3|79-D.III.B dated 12th October 1979. The specific matters in dispute were—

- "(a) What should be the rates of wages payable to the workers for:
- (i) Loading Minerals in lorries at Manavalakurichi.
- (ii) Unloading Minerals at Colachel godowns.
- (iii) Unloading minerals at Colachel beach.
- (b) What should be the time limit within which arrears of wages if any should be paid by the contractor."
- 1. The dispute had arisen since there was no proper agreement regarding the rates of wages payable to these workmen. When the ship for exporting the minerals reached Colachel port, the workmen struck work and the matter could not be resolved. However, an interim agreement was reached by which the contractor agreed to pay wages at the rate of Rs. 1.60 per Metric Ton for loading at Manavalakurichi and unloading at Colachel godown Beach all put together. The wages paid according to these rates were to be adjusted against the rates that would be decided by the arbitrator on completion of proceedings before him.
- 2. Hearings were conducted on several dates and a good deal of evidence brought in by the parties. The union produced a copy of the tender for shipping contract for 1978-79 issued by Mis Indian Rare Earths Ltd. (ext-P1) The schedule of rates submitted by Shri Jacob Rajan and accepted by Mis Indian Rare Earths Ltd. have also been produced (ext. O-11) by the contractor. It is seen from this that the contractor was for a rate of Rs. 29 60 per metric ton while the contractor had worked this out by splling it into different operations. The contractor had allotted Rs. 420 for transporting bagged carge from ware-

- houses in Manavalakurichi to Colachel by lorry and for stacking in the godowns provided by the Company. He had also allotted Rs. 2.16 for removal of cargo from godowns at Colachei port area by headlead workers to the Foreshore and stacking there, bagging the spillage from godowns etc. and transporting back to the plant including processing of shipping documents through port and customs.
- 3. It has been the contention of the unions that these two operations are not necessary in all cases and a good part of the cargo is lifted from Manavalakurichi and carried straight to the Beach (Colachel Port area) and hence the amount of Rs. 2.16 per metric ton shown by the contractor is a clear profit on such cargo. Against this, the contractor argued that he has to incur additional expenditure by laiving roads on the sandy beach and on other incidental expenditure and that the amount of Rs. 2.16 is spent on such work where consignments are taken directly to the beach from the godowns at Manavalakurichi. This will be examined at length later.
- 4. The unions have argued that the wages paid by the contractor are far below those paid by the principal employernamely M/s Indian Rare Earths Ltd. to their permanent workers. Ext. P-3 is a pay cover of one such worker for the month of January 1980. The total earnings according to this is Rs. 647.97 for a month, If the daily rate is calculated by dividing it by 26, the daily rate may amount to nearly Rs. 25. However this may have little relevance, since there is nothing in evidence to show that the contract labour and the workmen of company are employed on identical or similar jobs. The Unions representatives produced the Price Index Numbers for Nagercoil for the month of October 1979 along with those of Cuddalore and Tiruchitappally. The Index No. 415 for Nagercoil was 32 points above that of Tiruchirappally and 45 points above that of Cuddalore. According to them, this showed the cost of living at Nagercoil was higher than that in the other two towns and that Manavalakurichi which was near Nagercoil (18 KM from Nagercoil) also had a higher cost of living. This also may not be strictly relevant since Manavalakurichi is not Nagercoil though nearby.
- 5. The Unions representatives also produced a letter dated 5-7-1978 from the South India Corporation (P) Ltd. (Ext. P-6) where they had offered a rate of Rs. 2.50 per metric ton for loading and stacking of minerals for transportation by lorry at Manavalakurichi. According to the union the rate paid by the contractor namely Rs. 1.60 was far below the rates offered by competitors.
- 6. They also produced the Minimum Wages notification (Ext. P-5) of the Government of Tamil Nadu fixing the rate of loading and unloading charges in markets, shandies (fairs and market places) and other like places in the State of Tamil Nadu. As per this notification the rate in Zone 'C' was Re. 1 per metric ton either for loading or unloading operations. This notification is not legally applicable to the work done by the present contractor, as the minimum wages fixed are for public places like markets and Shandies. It is also admitted that no minimum wages have been fixed under the Minimum Wages Act for the work carried on through the contractor since it is not a scheduled employment. The union also produced a letter dated 9.4-1978 from Sri S. Sivathanu another transporting contractor (Ext. P-11) where he had also offered a rate of Rs. 2.50 per metric ton as loading and stacking charges for transporting Zircon, Rutile and Hemenate from Manavalakurichi. The rates shown in these exhibits are informative and may be useful for purposes of comparison.
- 7. The contractor Sri Jacob Rajan also produced elaborate evidences, apart from his own depositions. According to him the rate of Rs. 29.16 per Metric Ton accepted by him was the consolidated rate for all operations. For transporting loading and unloading, his rate was Rs. 4.20 ns. ner metric ton out of the above. The rate for lorry hire was Rs. 2.50 per metric ton. Apart from this, the rate for loading and unloading was Rs. 1.60 per Metric Ton which left a margin of 0.10 ps. only per metric ton for supervisory charges out of the amount of Rs. 4.20 per metric ton. Ext. P-1 was the lorry receipts from Velmurugan Lorry Service showing the rate of Rs. 2.50 per metric ton as lorry charges. He also produced an agreement between him and the union (Ext. O.2) according to which the loading and unloading charges were fixed as Rs. 1.45 per metric ton for the year 1977-78.
- 8. While the tender for 1978-79 was called for on 28-7 1978 he had expected an increase of 10 to 15 paise per M.T. over

the previous rate, as per his enquiry from the workers. According to him the union had not made any demand regarding the rate for 1978-79. The contractor received the work order on 7-11-1978 and the Steamer to carry the cargo arrived in Colachel port on 10-11-1978. At this time, the workmen demanded the rate of Rs. 4.50 per metric ton and refused to work unless this was conceded. Since the steamer was in port, they could bring considerable pressure on the contractor. It was in this context that the arbitration agreement was reached. According to this the contractor made an interim payment at the rate of Rs. 1.60 per Metric Ton to be adjusted against the rate that would be awarded by the arbitrator, He produced six receipts showing these payments (Ext. Q-3 to Q-8). He also produced Ext. Q-9 where the forty owners namely Velmurugan Lorry Service had offered to do the loading and unloading for 1978-79 at the rate of Rs. 1.50 per Metric Ton. According to him, he had actually paid Rs. 1.60 per Metric Ton. According to him, he had actually paid Rs. 1.60 per Metric Ton enabled the workmen to earn an average of Rs. 20 per day as wages. In Kanyakumari District where the minimum wages for an unskilled labourer were Rs. 8 per day per Metric Ton enabled the workmen had received wages at more than double this fale. He also produced a Bill of Lading (Ext. Q-10) which showed 47,550 M. Tons only was actually billed whereas the loading from the mines was 49,201 Metric Tons making a difference of 1651 Metric Tons for which the contractor did not receive any remuneration but had to pay wages to the labour.

- 9. Eventhough the parties had produced a good deal of evidence there were certain areas where they could not produce adequate and dependable information. One such was regarding the quantity of minerals transported, the rates tendered by different contractors, etc. Hence a few additional witnesses who were officers of the Principal Employers, namely, M/s. Indian Rare Earths Ltd. were examined together with records maintained by the Company.
- 10. It was found from the evidence of Sri S. V. Ramakrishnan, Personnel and Administrative Officer that the rates of wages per M.T. fixed by the company for contract loading and unloading workers were as follows:----

1973	1975	1976		1978 to	April 1980
& 1974				M rch 80.	onwards.
Rs. Ps. 1.25	Rs. Ps. 1.50	Rs. Ps. 1.60	Rs. Ps. 1.60	Rs. Ps 2.00	Rs. Ps. 4.00

It was also found from the evidence of Sri P. T. Kutty, Administrative Officer that substantial quantities of minerals were transported directly from the Plant at Manavalakurichi straight to the Beach at Colachel. The figures for the year 1977-78 and 1978-79 are reproduced below:

	1977-78	1978-79
Quantity transported to Beech	37,800 MT	35,488 MT
Quantity transported to Godown	24,676 MT	14,447 MT

This would tend to prove that there could be substantial marign of profit for the contractor in that the quantity transported first to the godowns and from there to the beach thereafter.

- 11. While the unions made elaborate arguments comparing the wages of direct workmen of the plant at Manavalakurichi with those of the contract labour, there is little force in demanding the same wages for those workmen since the work of the plant workers are not identical with those of the contract labour. However, the rate paid by the company for contract loading and unloading is certainly relevant. This rate had risen gradually from Rs. 1.25 per MT in 1973 to Rs. 2 per MT in 1978 during the course of time. This rate had been doubled to Rs. 4 per MT with effect from April 1980 as also pointed out by the union. The latter rate may cast its shadows on the rate the contractor may have to pay in the future but this has no relations to the rates for the period of the contract (1978-79) over which there has been a dispute and the reference made to the Arbitrator.
- 12. The unions had argued that the arbitrator should fix the rate on the basis of minimum wages and not on consideration of the capacity of the employer to pay. They also wanted the element of social security (allowance for sickness, educational needs, etc.) to be provided for. The Tender form issued by the Principal Employer provided for the

- contractor's taking those into consideration and also that wage rates should be negotiated with the union. This contractor had so negotiated and hence he alone was to be responsible if he had to undergo the risk, if higher wage rates were fixed with retrospective effect.
- 13. They also argued that in any case the contractor had a large margin of profit. This was proved by the fact that he had reduced the originally quoted rate after negotiations with the employers.
- 14. On the other hand the contractor argued that there was no mention in the tender form about the presence of the union or about the requirement for negotiating with them. According to him the rates he quoted were arrived at on the basis of expected escalation from the previous year's rate and also in comparison with the prevailing rates in the locality. He countered the argument of the unions that there were considerable savings when the minera's were transported directly to the Beach. According to him the amount of Rs. 2.16 per MT shown as charges (for unloading in Colachel godown and again loading to the foreshore) was not saved as there were additional nems of expenditure like laying roads on the beach, bagging the spilage, etc. in the case of the mineral transported from Manavalakurichi straight to the beach at Colachel, though he was not able to quantity the cost of such The rate quoted by him was also inclusive of other work. The rate quoted by him was also inclusive of other items like the cost of keeping watchmen, electrification of the stacking area for lighting purpose, restacking if the stacks got wet by waves, etc., though these were not mentioned in the tender form. The unions rejoined that there was no evidence to show that the contractor had taken the permission of the port authorities at Colachel for laying roads on the beach, as port regulations required. According to them this proved that he did not lay any roads nor did he incur any expenditure on this. They also argued that other items of expenditure mentioned by the contractor could not be set off against the wages of these workmen only as there were others engaged in bagging, transporting, headload work etc. involving in loading from the godown to foreshore and those employed on valloms, etc. These workmen should also share the element of these items of common expenditure.
- 15. Having heard the arguments of both sides I am persuaded to hold that there is some saving (though not the whole amount of Rs. 2.16 per MT) when the mineral is transported directly from Manavalakurichi to beach. It also appears that there is an element of risk and greater hazard when the unloading is done at the beach and it is comparatively easier to unload into the godowns. These facts have to be taken into consideration while fixing different rates for the work of loading minerals at Manavalakurichi, unloading minerals at Colochel godown and unloading minerals at Colechal beach as per the arbitration agreement. In consideration of the evidence and the arguments of either party I award the following rates for the shipping season 1978-79.
 - (i) Loading minerals at Lorries at Manavalakurichi,

90 paise MT

(ii) Unloading minerals at Colechal Godowns.

80 paise MT

(iii) Un'oading minerals at Colechal Beach.

Rs. 1.10 MT

16. Since the work is already over and the accounts are finalised there is no reason to give an extended time limit for payment of arrears. Hence I award that the arrears due on the above rates may be paid within one month from the date of publication of this award.

Dated this the 29th May, 1981.

V. JOSEPH, Asstt. Labour Commissioner (Central)

Ernakulam and Arbitrator.

[No. L-29013/3/79-D.II(B)(Pt)]

New Delhi, the 19th August, 1981

S.O. 2345.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, New Delhi, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the menagement of M/s. East Suket Sahakari Shramik Theka Pashan Udyog Samiti Ltd. and his workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12-8-1981.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFI-CER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBU-NAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI

I.D. No. 45 of 1980

In re:

The Office Secretary, Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh,
Ramganjmandi, Rajasthan, ...Petitioner.

Versus

AWARD

The Central Government as appropriate Government vide its order No. L-29011/19/80-fb.JH.B, dated the 31st May, 1981 referred an Industrial Dispute in the following terms u/s 10 of the I.D. Act to this Tribunal:

'Whether the following demands of the workers employed in the Lime Stone Mine of M/s. Suket Sahakari Shramik Theka Pashan Udyog Samiti Limited, P.O. Suket, District Kota are justified? If so to what relief the workmen are entitled?

- Upward revision of rate of daily wage of unskilled workers.
- 2. Enhancement of piece rate for Stone cutters.
- Rat onalisation and introduction of suitable pay scale for the clerical and other staff employed in the mine.
- 4. Provision of medical facilities.
- 5. Grant of two extra paid festiva! holidays.
- 6. Grant of 20 days casual leave in addition to leave admissible under the Mines Act.'
- 2. On receipt of the reference it was ordered to be registered. In pursuance of the notice workmen side had appeared but the Management side had absented. The notices were ordered to be issued to the Management for five times but none appeared on behalf of the Management and it was thereafter that I was constrained to order ex-parte against the Management and ex-parte evidence was ordered to be recorded on the pleadings of the petitioners/workmen.
- 3. I have gone through the evidence produced by the workmen side and have gone through the pleadings and after giving my considered thought to the matter before me I have come to the following findings upon this reference.
- 4. From the perusal of statement of claim filed by the workmen I find that it is contended therein that Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh, District Kota is a registered trade union of Lime Stone workers and the respondent was owner of a Lime Stone; that the respondent had not been paying proper wages as other Lime Stone owners are paying therefore the matter was taken up and the present reference has been made by the appropriate Government.
- 5. In support of its evidence the workmen side has produced only the affidavit of one Shri Ram Gonal Gupta slo Shri Jamna Lal who has described himself in para no. I of the affidavit to be the Office Secretary and elected Treasurer of Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh. The only other thing stated by this witness in his affidavit is that the respondent bave not raised wages since October, 1979 of the workers in their Lime Stone Mines while most of the other Lime Stone Mine owners have increased the wages weef, 1-10-79 and that rimitar work is carried out in the Lime Stone Mines of the respondent and hence it is prayed that the revised wages be poid weef, 1-10-79.
- 6. From the perusal of above statement of claim and the evidence it would be found that it does not even remotely touch the points of reference which have been referred as Industrial Disoute by the appropriate Government to this Tribunal and in view thereof it cannot be said that the workmen side have led approprite evidence to entitle it to any relief what-so-ever.
- 7. Now that the Management side had absented, the burden of proving the entire claim had fallen upon the workmen side. Even otherwise from the order of reference it would be found that the workmen had to establish the justification

of their demands incorporated in the said order of reference. The workmen side has led practically no evidence worth the name to discharge the said burden. They have not cared to examine even one such employer who was paying higher wages than this management/respondent, even though it is alleged that most of the other employers were paying higher wages. The workmen side has further failed to produce any evidence to suggest even remotely that the present wages were insufficient or required revision. Likewise they have not brought any evidence on record to make out a case for upward revision of rates of daily wages or enhancement of piece rates. Similarly in the absence of any evidence on the point it cannot be said that any rationalisation or introduction of any suitable pay scale for clerical or other staff is called for or can be done. Likewise there is absolutely no evidence on the question of provision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave in addition to the leave admissible to these workmen under the Mines Act. From whichever angle I may consider the matter before me I have come to the conclusion that the workman have miserably failed to establish their claim in this reference.

- 8. It may incidently be mentioned here that the appropriate Government had vide its order No. S-32019(VI) 79-WC(MW) dated 12th September, 1980 revised the minimum rates of wages in respect of categories of employees employed in Stone Mines which includes Lime Stone Mines. From the perusal of the said order it would be found that the approprinte Government has fixed minimum rates of wages for unskilled, semi-skilled and skilled and clerical staff working in these mines and explanation to this notification shows that this minimum rate of wages is also inclusive of basic rates, cost of leaving allowance, cash value of concessional supply and wages for the weekly rest and are also applicable to employees employed by contractors, which term would include the lease holder of the present type also. This order is effective from 1-10-1980 and therefore it is too premature thereafter to revise the rate of wages. It may also be men-tioned here that for the same reasons no rationalisation or introduction of suitable pay scale at this stage is called for. Similarly there are no grounds for further revision of medical Similarly there are no grounds for infinite revision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave in addition to leave admissible to these people under the Mines Act. The very fact that the appropriate Government has revised minimum rates of wages in respect of employees employed in Stone Mines which term would include hims stone mines would go to suggest that would include lime stone mines, would go to suggest that this industry is still in its very infancy and would not admit of any further revision or unward revision of wages or any rationalisation or introduction of regular pay scale or provision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave. For this reason also the workmen side is not entitled to any relief in this reference what-so-ever.
- 9. The matter may be considered from yet another angle. The workmen side have failed to produce any figures showing their output of production or to bring out the incidence of financial burden of expenditure which would be involved if any practice of further medical facility or grant of two additional festival holidays or additional 20 days casual leave is introduced in this industry. The time is still not rine for granting of any of these reliefs. Further more now that minimum wages have been revised by the appropriate Government in respect of workers working in Stone Mines Industries including the Lime Stone Mines it is natural that further revision would be made in accordance with the provisions of Minimum Wages Act as and when such a necessity would arise.
- 10. In view of my discussions above. I hold that none of the demands of the workmen in this reference is justified and it is awarded that the workmen are not entitled to any relief what-so-ever in this reference. However narries are left to hear their own costs.

 Futher Ordered:

That requisite number of conies of this award may be sent to the appropriate Government for necessary action at their end.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer.

Dated, the 20th July, 1981. [No. L-29011/19/80-D,HI(B)]

5.0. 2346.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government

hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Cour, New Delhi in the industrial dispute between the employer Shri Kajermal S/o Madholal, Purohit-ki-Tappari, Kota and his workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12-8-81.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFI-CER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DLI.HI

I. D. No. 91 of 1980

In re:

Shri Kajormal S/o Madholal, Mine Owner, Purohit-ki-Tappari, Kota.

.. Petitioner

Versus

The Office Secretary, Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh, Ramganjmande, Rajasthan.

.. Respondent.

AWARD

The Central Govt. as appropriate Govt, vide its order No. L-29011/20/80-D.III(B) dated that 29th August, 1980 referred an Industrial Dispute in the following terms $u|_S$ 10 of the I. D. Act to this Tribunal:

- 'Whether the following demands of the workers employed in the Lime Stone Mine of Shri Kujorman S/o Madholal, Mine Owner, Purohit-ki-Tappari, Kota are justified? If so, to what relief the workmen are entitled?
- Upward revision of rate of daily wage of unskilled workers:
- 2. Enhancement of piece rate for Stone Cutters;
- Rationalisation and introduction of suitable pay scales for the clerical and other staff employed in the mine;
- 4. Provision of medical facilities; and
- 5. Grant of two extra paid festival holidays;
- 6. Grant of 20 days casual leave in addition to leave admissible under the Mines Act.'
- 2. On receipt of the reference it was ordered to be registered. In pursuance of thet notice workmen side had appeared but the Management side had absented. The notices were ordered to be issued to the Management for five times but none appeared on behalf of the Management and it was thereafter that I was constrained to order ex-parte against the Management and ex-parte evidence was ordered to be recorded on the pleadings of the petitioners/workmen.
- 3. I have gone through the evidence produced by the workmen side and have gone through the pleadings and after giving my considered thought to the matter before me I have come to the following findings upon this reference.
- 4. From the perusal of statement of claim filed by the workmen I find that it is contended therein that Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh, District Kota is a registered trade union of Lime Stone workers and the respondent was owner of a Lime Stone; that the respondent had not been paying proper wages as other Lime Stone owners are paying therefore the matter was taken up and the present reference has been made by the appropriate Govt.
- 5. In support of its evidence the workmen side has produced only the affidavit of one Shri Ram Gopal Gupta S/o Shri Jamna Lal who has described himself in para no. 1 of the affidavit to be the Office Secretary and elected Treasurer of Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh. The only other thing stated by this witness in his affidavit is that the respondent have not raised wages since October, 1979 of the workers in their Lime Stone Mines while most of the other Lime Stone Mine owners have increased the wages w.e.f. 1-10-79 and that similar work is carried out in the Lime Stone Mines of the respondent and hence it is prayed that the revised wages be paid w.e.f. 1-10-79.
- 6. From the perusal of above statement of claim and the evidence it would be found that it does not even remotely touch the points of reference which have been referred 25 Industrial Dispute by the appropriate Govt, to this Tribunal and in view thereof it cannot be said that the workmen side have led appropriate evidence to entitle it to any relief whatso-ever.

- 7. Now that the Management side had absented, the burden of proving the entire claim had fallen upon the workmen side. Even otherwise from the order of reference it would be found that the workmen had to establish the justification of their demands incorporated in the said order of reference. The workmen side has led practically no evidence worth the name to discharge the said burden. They have not cared to examine even one such employer who was paying higher wages than this management/respondent, even hough it is alleged that most of the other employers were paying higher wages. The workmen side has further failed to produce any evidence to suggest even remotely that the present wages were insufficient or required ravision. Likewise they have not brought any evidence on record to make out a case for upward revision of rates of daily wages or enhancement of piece rates. Similarly in the absence of any evidence on the point it cannot be said that any rationalisation or introduction of any suitable pay scale for clerical or other staff is called for or can be done. Likewise there is absolutely no evidence on the question of provision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave in addition to the leave admissible to these workmen under the Mines Act. From whichever angle I may consider the matter before me I have come to the conclusion that the workmen have miserably failed to establish their claim in this reference.
- 8. It may incidently be mentioned here that the appropriate Govt. had vide its order No. S. 32019(VI)/79-WC(MW) dated 12th September, 1980 revised the minimum rates of wages in respect of categories of employees employed in Stone Mines which includes Lime Stone Mines. From the perusal of the said order it could be found that the appropriate Govt. has fixed minimum rates of wages for unskilled, semi-skilled and skilled and clerical staff working in these mines and explanation to this notification shows that this minimum rate of wages is also inclusive of basic rates, cost of leaving allor wages is also inclusive of basic rates, cost of leaving allowance, cash value of concessional supply and wages for the weekly rest and are also applicable to employees employed by contractor which term would include the lease holder of the present type also. This order is effective from 1-10-80 and therefore it is too premature thereafter to revise the rate of wages. It may also be mentioned here that for the same reasons no rationalisation or introduction of suitable pay scale at this stage is called for. Similarly there are no grounds for further revision of medical facilities or grant of two extra further revision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave in addition to leave admissible to these people under the Mines Act. The very fact that the appropriate Govt. has revised minimum rates of wages in respect of employees employed in Stone Mines which term would include lime stone mines, would go to suggest that this industry is still in its very infancy and would not admit of any further revision or upward revision of wages or any rationalisation or introduction of regular pay scale or provision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave. For this reason also the workmen side is not entitled to any relief in this reference what-so-ever.
- 9. The matter may be considered from yet another angle. The workmen side have failed to produce any figures showing their output of product on or to bring out the incidence of financial burden of expenditure which would be involved if any practice of further medical facility or grant of two additional festival holidays or additional 20 days casual leave is introduced in this industry. The time is still not rice for granting of any of these reliefs. Further more now that minimum wages have been revised by the appropriate Govt. In respect of workers working in Stone Mines Industry including the Lime Stone Mines it is natural that further revision would be made in accordance with the provisions of Minimum Wages Act as and when such a necessity would arise.
- 10. In view of my discussions above, I hold that none of the demands of the workmen in this reference is instified and it is awarded that the workmen are not entitled to any relief what-so-ever in this reference. However parties are left to bear their own costs.

Further Ordered:

That requisite number of copies of this award may be sent to the approprite Govt. for necessary action at their end.

Dated: the 20th July, 1981.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer. [No. L-29011/20/80-D.III(B)] S.O. 2347.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, New Delhi in the industrial dispute between Shri Rambhagat Ramjidas, Mine Owner, Morak and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12-8-81.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUMLABOUR COURΓ, NEW DELHI

I. D. No. 59 of 1980.

The Office Secretary, Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh, Ramganjmandi, Rajasthan.

Petitioner

Versus

Shri Rambhagat Ramjidas, Mine Owner,

Morak Station, Distt. Kota.

... Respondent.

AWARD

The Central Govt. as appropriate Govt. vide its order No.- L-29011/34/80-D.IILB dated the 30th June ,1980 referred an Industrial Dispute in the following terms u/s 10 of the I. D. Act to this Tribunal:

- 'Whether the following demands of the workers employed in the Lime Stone Mine of M s Rambhagat Ramjidas Morak Statlon, Distt, Kota are justified? If so, to what relief the workers are entitled?
- 1. Revision of daily wage of unskilled workers;
- 2. Enchancement of piece rate of stone cutters;
- Rationalisation and introduction of suitable pay scales for the clerical and other staff employed in the mine:
- Provision of medical facilities;
- 5. Grant of two extra paid festival holidays;
- 6. Payment of children education allowance; and
- Payment of Bonus @ 20 per cent for 1977-78 and 1979-80.
- 2. On receipt of the reference it was ordered to be registered. In pursuance of the notice workmen side had appeared but the Management side had absented. The notices were ordered to be issued to the Management for five times but none appeared on behalf of the Management and it was thereafter that I was constrained to order exparte against the Management and ex-parte evidence was ordered to be recorded on the pleadings of the petitioners/workmen.
- 3. I have gone through the evidence produced by the workmen side and have gone through the pleadings and after giving my considered thought to the matter before me I have come to the following findings upon this reference.
- 4. From the perusal of statement of claim filed by the workmen I find that it is contended therein that Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh. District Kota is a registered trade union of Lime Stone workers and the respondent was owner of a Lime Stone; that the respondent had not been paying proper wages as other Lime Stone owners are paying thereofere the matter was taken up and the present reference has been made by the appropriate Government.
- 5. In support of its evidence the workmen side has produced only the affidavit of one Shri Ram Gopal Guota \$5/0 Shri Janna Lal who has described himself in para No. 1 of the affiadivt to be the Office Secretary and elected Treasurer of Rashtriva Mazdoor Sangh. The only other thing stated by this witness in his affidavit is that the respondent have not raised wages since October. 1979 of the workers in their Lime Stone Mines while most of the other Lime Stone Mine owners have inceased the wages w.e.f. 1-10-79 and that similar work is carried out in the Lime Stone Mines of the respondent and hence it is prayed that the revised wages be paid w.e.f. 1-10-1979.

616 GI/81-8

- 6. From the perusal of above statement of claim and the evidence it would be found that it does not even remotely touch the points of reference which have been referred as Industrial Dispute by the appropriate Government to this Tribunal and in view thereof it cannot be said that the workmen side have led appropriate evidence to entitle it to any relief what-so-ever.
- 7. Now that the Management side had absented the burden of proving the entire claim had fallen upon the workmen side. Even otherwise from the order of reference it would be found that the workmen had to establish the justification of their demands incorporated in the sald order of reference. The workmen side has led practically no evidence worth the name to discharge the said burden. They have not cared to examine even one such employer who was paying higher wages than this management respondent even though it is alloged that most of the other employers were paying higher wages. The workmen side has further failed to produce any evidence to suggest even remotely that the present wages were insufficient or required revision. Likewise they have not brought any evidence on record to make out a case for upward revision of rates of daily wages or enhancement of piece rates. Similarly in the absence of any evidence on the point it cannot be said that any rationalisation or introduction of any suitable pay scale for clerical or other staff is called for or can be done. Likewise there is absolutely no evidence on the question of provision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave in addition to the leave admissible to these workmen under the Mines Act. From whichever angle I may consider the matter before me I have come to the conclusion that the workmen have miserably failed to establish their claim in this reference.
- 8. It may incidently be mentioned here that the appropriate Government had vide its order No. S. 32019(VI)79-WC(MW) dated the 12th September, 1980 revised the minimum 1ate of wages in respect of categories of employees employed in Stone Mines which includes Lime Stone Mines. From the perusal of the said order it would be found that the appropriate Government has fixed minimum rates of wages for unskilled, semlskilled and skilled and clerical staff working in these mines and explanation to this notification shows that this minimum rate of wages is also inclusive of basic rates, cost of living allowance, cash value of concessional supply and wages for the weekly rest and are also applicable to employees by contractors, which term would include the lease holder of the present type also. This order is effective from 1-10-1980 and therefore it is too premature thereafter to revise the rate of wages. It may also be mentioned here that for the same realsons no rationalisation or introduction of suitable pay scale at this stage is called for. Similarly there are no grounds for further revision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual in addition to leave admissible to these people under the Mines Act. The very fact that the appropriate Government has revised minimum rates of wages in respect of employees employed in Stone Mines which term would include lime stone mines, would go to suggest that this industry is still in its very infancy and would not admlt of any further revision or upward revision of wages or any ruttner revision of upward revision of wages or any rationalisation or introduction of regular pays scale or provision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave. For this reason also the workmen side is not entitled to any relief in this reference whatsoever.
- 9. The matter may be considered from yet another angle. The workmen side have failed to produce any figures showing their output of production or to bring out the incidence of financial burden of expenditure which would be involved if any practice of further medical facility or grant of two additional festival holidays or additional 20 days casual leave is introduced in this industry. The time is still not ripe for granting of any of these reliefs. Further more now that minimum wages have been revised by the appropriate Government in respect of workers working in Stone Mines Industry including the Lime Stone Mines i' is natural that further revision would be made in accordance with the provisions of Minimum Wages Act as and when such a necessity would arise.
- 10. In view of my discussions above. I hold that none of the demands of the workmen in this reference is justified and it is awarded that the workmen are not entitled to any relief what-so-ever in this reference. However parties are Jeff to bear their own costs.

Further Ordered:

That requisite number of copies of this award may be sent to the appropriate Government for necessary action at their end

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer

Dated: the 22nd July, 1981. [No. L-29011]34[80-D.III(B)]

S.O. 3019.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Madras, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Karona Mines, Salem and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12-8-1981.

BEFORE THIRU T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, B.A., B.L., PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL.

MADRAS

(Constituted by the Government of India) Saturday, the 1st day of August, 1981

Industrial Dispute No. 88 of 1980

(In the matter of the dispute for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 between the workmen and the Management of Karuna Mines, Salem.)

BFTWEEN

The workmen represented by
The General Secretary.
Mines Mineral and Allied Industries National
Wokrers Union, No. 30|D, Bhavani Main Road,
Sankari-637303.

AND

The Manager, Karuna Mines and Minerals, Mine Owners, No. 12, Bretts Road.

Salem-636001.

REFERENCE:

Order No. L-29011|47|80-D.III.B. dated 17th November, 1980 of the Ministry of Labour, Government of India New Delhi.

This dispute coming on for final hearing on Saturday, the 6th day of June, 1981 upon perusing the reference, claim and counter statements and all other material papers on record and upon hearing the arguments of Thiru K. Chandru for Thiruvalargal Row and Reddy and K. Chandru, Advocates for the workmen and of Thiru S. V. Ananthapadmanabhan, Advocate for the Management and this dispute having stood over till this day for consideration, this Tribunal made the following.

AWARD

This is an Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the Management of Karuna Mines, Salem referred to this Tribunal for adjudication under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 by the Government of India in Order No. 1-29011/47/80-D.Hil.B. dated 17th November, 1980 of the Ministry of Labour, in respect of the following issue.

Whether the action of the management of Karuna Mines. Salem in terminating the services of 31 workmen mentioned below employed in the Managrangampalayam Lime Stone Mine with effect from 16-5-1979 and their subsequent refusal to employ them in Palakhapalayam and Varudhampati Limestone Mines is justified? If not, to what relief the workmen concerned are entitled to?

Sarvashri

- 1. K. P. Kandaswami
- 2. P. Palaniswamy
- 3. C. Seerangam
- 4. S. Kuppudasan
- P. Kandaswami
 N. Karuppan

- 7. R. Doraiswami
 - 8, R. Thailan
 - 9., K. Sreerangan
 - 10. K. Subramani
 - N. Natesan
 - 12. E. Marii
 - 13. K. Arumugham
 - 14. C. Ruthinam
 - 15. A. Veydichi
 - 16. A. Chinnathambi
 - 17. C. Chinnapayyan
 - 18. I. Chinna Kannu
 - 19. P. Chinnaswami
 - 20. C. Kannupayyan
 - 21. M. Nallappan
 - 22. P. Seerangan
 - 23, K. Thangavelu
 - 24. S. Perumal
 - 25. C. Ammasi
 - 26. S. Sengodan
 - 27. V. Kandaswami
 - 28.. C. Ramaswami
 - 29. C. Kaveri
 - 30. V. Muthu
 - 31. P. Venkatachalam.

(2) Facts leading upto this dispute are as follows: The Management is Karuna Mines and Minerals, No. 12, Bretts Road, Salem-63001, Tamil Nadu. The reference made by the Government of India. Ministry of Labour relates to 31 works. men. The Management is a partnership concerned and had been licensed by the Government of Tamil Nadu to carry on mining operations in specified places. Ex. M-3 is the Government Order sanctioning the grant to Management of a ment Order sanctioning the grant to Management of a mining lease for lime stone, etc. for a period of 20 years—vide G.O. Ms. No. 1109, dated 6-8-1974, Industries Department, Ex. M-4 is the photostate copy of the Mining Lease Deed. Of course M.W. 3 (the Manager) had the original of Ex. M-4 also is a part of the mining lease deed. Before I proceed further, I may advert to the plea taken up by the Minagement that the issue referred to this Tribunal for adjudication is vague and misleading. This stand is based on two grounds. In the first place, the Management had no mining operation in Mangarangampalayam as stated in the reference made by the Government of India. Secondly, while the workmen mentioned in the reference had worked under the Management till 8-9-1979 the date of alleged termination as mentioned men mentioned in the reference had worked under the Management till 8-9-1979 the date of alleged termination as mentioned in the reference, namely 16-5-1979 is misleading. While so, the claim statement filed on behalf of these 31 workmen asserts the fact that they were working at Mangarang impalayam mines and their services were terminated on 16-5-1979. From the licence granted to the Management under Ex. M-3 and also the mining lease deed Ex. M-4 it can be easily held that the Management had no mining operation in Mangarang impalayam. The first person memioged in the Anexure to the palayam. The first person memioned in the Anexure to the issue has bee examined as W.W. 1. He would say that Mangarngampalayam is only a hamlet of Veerachinalayam. But in cross-examination he has admitted that Mangarangampalayam lies between Coimbatore and Salem on the National Highways and also says that a name board was there indicating the place and India Caments also have mining lease in Mangarangampalayam. He reiterates the claim that when his services were terminated he was working only at Mangaran-gampalayam. With regard to the date of termination it is mentioned as 16-5-1979. But the only witness W.W. 1 who was examined by the Union on 7-4-1981 has clearly stated even in chief examination that their services were terminated one year and eight months ago in the month of Avani. The specific case put up in the claim statement and also the reference is 16-5-1979 which would mean probably 1st of Vaikasi, 1979. But the present case of W.W. I is that it was the the probable of Avania thick the present case of w.W. I is that it was in the month of Avani which may corroborate the case of the Management. However, on his own admission and in the specific claim that the services of these workmen were terminated on 16-5-1979, there is no good deal of force in the submission of the Management that at any rate the first part of the reference made by the Government of India for adjudi-

cation to this Tribunal is vague and misleading. part of the reference made by the Government of India proceeds on the footing that the Management refused to employ these workmen at Palakhapalayam and Varudhampatti Lime stone Mines. Although the reference proceeds on the footing that the Management refused to employ these workmen in Palakhapalayam and Varudhampatti Limestone Mines yet signi-Palakhapalayam and Varudnampatti Limestone Mines yet significantly nowhere in the claim statement is there any whisper that these workmen were refused to be employed by the Management at Palakhapalayam and Varudhampatti Limestone Mines. It should also be noted that the responsible Union represented by no less than a leading member of the bar Thiru K. Chandru did not choose to file any reply or rejoinder statement to the stand of the Management that the reference statement to the stand of the Management that the reference is vague and misleading. W.W. 1, the only one of the 31 workmen who has been examined has admitted in cross-examination that he does not remember the date or the month in which their services were terminated by the Management, but would add that that complaint was in 1980. In 1962-II-L.I.J. Page 227 (Express Newspapers Ltd., vs. their workers and staff and others) the Supreme Court has pointed out that the jurisdiction of the Industrial Tribunal in dealing with industrial dispute referred to it under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is limited by Section 10(4) to the points specifically mentioned in the reference. It also points out that the Courts must attempt to construe the reference not too technically or in a pedantic manner, but fairly and reasonbly. Even by the standard set up by the Supreme Court, if the reference made by the Government is scanned it must be held that the present reference is vague and misleading. In 1963-I-L.I. Page 507 [Ramamoorthy (W.P.A.R.) and others vs. Tirunelveli District National Plantation Workers' Union and others). His Lordship Veeraswami as he then was has pointed out that under Section 10(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 when a reference is made for adjudication it shall confine its jurisdiction to the points of dispute referred to for adjudication. If the reference is made on an incorrect assumption, it is certainly not open to the Industrial Tribunal, while so holding to enlarge by its own choice to the scope of the reference and widen the issue for decision. In view of this decision also the stand of the Management has to be upheld. In the face of these materials as afforded in the cross-examination of W.W. 1 and in the light of the other clinching, circumstantial and documentary evidence, I am constrained to hold that the reference made by the Government of India is vague and misleading.

(3) Even granting that the reference made by the Government of India is not vague and misleading, I shall examine if on the merits the 31 workmen or any of them would be entitled to claim any relief as such. A perusal of the claim statement would leave one with the impression that because statement would leave one with the impression that because these 31 workmen joined the Petitioner-Union, namely Mines Mineral and Allied Industrics National Workers Union, No. 30/D. Bhavani Main Road, Sankari-637303, Tamil Nadu, the Management has terminated the services of these 31 workmen. The claim statement filed by the Union does not specifically indicate as to when exactly these 31 workmen joined the Petitioner-Union. But W.W. 1 has stated in cross-examination that these workmen joined the Petitioner-Union in 1979 although he does not remember the exact month in in 1979 although he does not remember the exact month in which they joined the Union. Incidentally, it may also be noted that although the Secretary of the Union has signed the claim statement, not one of the 31 workmen has subscribed his signature to the claim made therein. On a plain reading of the claim statement, the workmen were denied employment by the Management once in February, 1979 and again they were given employment from 1-4-1979 and subsequently they were denied employment from 16-5-1979. But from the documents placed, it can be held that these workmen had worked under the Management till 8-9-1979. Therefore, there is considerable doubt if the case but forward by the Union or the 31 workmen is true, if in fact, the action of the Management in terminating the services of the workmen was intended to strike terror in the minds of the workmen or the Union to which they have affiliated themselves and if in January, 1979 these workmen joined the Petitioner-Union and in February, 1979 their services were first terminated it is hard to believe the case of the Union that after such termination they were again offered employment from 1-4-1979 and only to be terminated by 16-5-1979. These factors would give lie circumstantial to the case put forward by the Union that because these 31 workmen joined the Petitioner-Union their services were terminated by the Management.

(4) At this Juncture, it will be pertinent for me to set out the case of the Management with regard to these 31 workmen. Their case is that these 31 workmen were never directly

employed by the Management, but these workmen were employed through a Contractor or Maistry as a leader on piecerate basis. It cannot be denied that the mines are situated in the interior villages of the Salem District. In paragraph (5) of the counter statement, the Management has also specifically stated that these workmen were engaged from 19-1-1979 by he Contractor Thiru R. Kumaravel and subsequently under Thiru K. P. Kandasamy who became the leader of that group.
Thiru R. Kumaravel has been examined as M.W. 1 while Thiru K. P. Kandasami has been examined by the Union as W.W. 1. Further case of the Management is that the services of these workmen were not terminated either from 22-2-1979 or from 16-5-1979 as the Union would have it. But there was some trouble in the first week of September, 1979 and therefore the workmen did not turn up for work from and therefore the workmen did not turn up for work from 8-9-1979. In the circumstances, it has to be seen whether by and large the case put up by the Management can be accepted. Ex. M-5 is the complaint sent by W.W. 1 and 34 others to the Management. In Ex. M-5 dated 17-7-1979 these 31 workmen have not whispered that the services were terminated by the Managemen either on 22-2-1979 or 16-5-1979. Ex. M-5 is dated 17-7-1979. Incidentally in Ex. M-5, all these workmen are mentioned as working at Managarangampalayam which is are mentioned as working at Mangarangampalayam which is not worked up by the Management. The Management has given a complaint against these workmen to the Police on 10-9-1979, copy of the complaint is Ex. M-6 Exs. M-16 and M-17 are the Annual Returns filed by the Management They relate to earlier period, but this has been marked by the Union during cross-examination of M.W. 3. The Union has also marked during cross-examination Ex. M-18 which is a register of leave account in Form 'G'. That would indicate the various dates the workmen had actually worked from January, 1979 till August, 1979. The data furnished under Ex. M-18 showing the attendance of the workers would probabilise more the case of the Management rather the one put forward by the Union. M.W. 3 has explained that due to the nature of work and allocation of the mines, the operations are continued now and then with some breaks when warranted. That, explains the statistics as afforded by Ex. M1-8. M.W. 1 has stated that W.W. 1 and 15 others had worked under him in Karuna Mines for some time at Veerachipalayam. Merely because M.W. 1 is not a registered contractor his evidence cannot be brushed aside altogether. He has stated that W.W. 1 and 15 others worked under him for some time in January, 1979. That supports the entries found in Ex. M-18. Regard being had to the nature of the work turned out by these workmen, it is more probable that that were appeared by the contractor rather than directly by they were engaged by the contractor rather than directly by the Management although the Management has maintained a record under Ex. M-18 to this effect. M.W. 2 also states that M.W. 1 had worked under the Management for two or three days in 'Thai' 1979. Learned counsel for the Union would point that even from Ev. M-18 it can be noted that these workmen did not turn up for work from 15-5-1979. M.W. 1 has already explained that the workmen would come as and when there is work and as and when the found it convenient. Therefore, merely because from Ex. M-18, it can be seen that from 15-5-1979 till the end of June, 1979 the workmen did not work, it cannot be taken as circumstance to support the case of the Union that the services of these workmen were terminated from 16-5-1979. On the contrary, it can be seen from Ex. M-18 that in July and August, 1979 also these workmen had worked under the Management. These workmen had also issued the receipt for the payment made by the Management and the same has been marked as Ex. M-1 series and the voucher passed by W.W. 1 is separately marked as Ex. M-2 and is dated 8th September, 1979. Therefore there is room to hold that till 7-9-1979 these workmen had worked under the Management. The only explanation of the Union is that these vouchers relate to the payment of arrears of wages for the work done prior to 16-5-1979. On the materials placed, it is hard for acceptance. If in fact the payment covered by Ex. M-1 series and Ex. M-2 relate only. payment covered by Ex. M-1 series and Ex. M-2 relate only to the arrears of wages as claimed by these 31 workmen for work done by them prior to 16-5-1979, certainly when the payment is received only in September. 1979 surely the workmen would have insisted that the receipt must specifically relate to arrears of wages. Normally by and large the arrears of wages are never withheld. The Management has given a serious complaint against the workmen—vide Ex. M.6. This fact is also supported by the complaint Ex. M-6. This fact is also supported by the complaint made by the Management to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central) Madras on 14-9-1979—vide Ex. M-8. It may be noted that in Ex. M-8 the Management that in Ex. M-8 stated has clearly that the workmen had worked

upto 7-9-1979 and have received the last wages upto 8-9-1979. From Ex. M-9 the Management had in fact issued notice to the temporary workers for continued absence from work. Ex. M-12 is also letter from the Management to the Director of Mines Safety, Oorgaum. In Ex. M-12 also the Management has pointed out to the fact that Thiru K. P. Kandasami W.W.1 and 30 others began to work in their mine from 19-1-1979 and they have also stated that the workmen had abandoned the work from 8-9-1979 even after due notice given to them. Exs. W-15, W-16 and W-17 are the letters sent by W.W.1 and other workmen and also the Union would go a long way to improbabilise the case of termination of these workmen with effect from 16-5-1979 as specifically put forward by the Union. On an anxious and careful consideration of the entire evidence oral and documentary and the broad probabilities, I am not inclined to accept the case of the Union that the services of the 31 workmen were terminated by the Management with effect from 16-5-1979. It is more probable that these temporary workmen have abandoned their work from 8-9-1979 under the Management due to impending or probable Police action. Hence I must answer the issue in the negative and the workmen are not entitled to any relief.

(5) In the result, an Award is passed holding that the workmen are not entitled to any relief. In the circumstances, I direct the parties to bear their respective costs.

Dated, this 1st day of August, 1981.

T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, Presiding Officer
[No. L-29011/47/80-D.HJ(B)]
K. K. HANDA, Under Secy.

WITNESSES EXAMINED

For Weskmen:

W.W. 1-Thiru K. P. Kandaswamy.

For Management:

M.W. 1-Thiru R. Kumaravel.

M.W.2- " Thambiannan allias Ramaswamy.

M.W.3- " K. Jayaraman.

DOCUMENTS MARKED

For workmen:

- Ex. W-1/21-2-79—Letter from the Union to the Assistant Labour Commusioner, Madras for taking up conciliation. (with postal receipts—2 Nos.) and a certificate of posting)
- Ex. W-2/22-2-79—Letter from the Union to the Management requesting for payment of wages and work to the workmen.
- Ex. W-3/ , —Letter signed by the workmen to the Union regarding non-payment of wages to the workmen for January, 1979 and refusal of work by the Management. (with postal receipt).
- Ex. W-4/19-3-79—Letter from the Union to the Assistant Labour Commissioner(C)-II, Madras regarding conciliation of the dispute with postal acknowledgement.
- Ex. W-5/19-5-79—Letter signed by the workmen to the Management requesting to mark 'Present' on 17-5-79 and pay full wages.
- Ex. W-6/18-5-79—Letter from the Assistant Commissioner (Central), Madras to the Union.
- Ex. W-7-Reply letter from the Union to Ex. W-6.
- Ex. W-8|23-5-79—Letter signed by the workmen to the Management regarding payment of wages and employment.
- Fx. W 9/24-7-79—Letter from the Management to W.W.1 and 31 others.
- Ex. W-10/9-8-79—Letter from the Union to the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Madfas for initiating conciliation.

- Ex. W-11/ ,, —Reply letter from workmen to the Management to Ex. W-9.
- Ex. W-12/16-8-79—Letter from the Union to the Management requesting to provide work regularly to workmen.
- Ex. W-13/ ,. —Letter from the Management to Thiru K. P. Kandasamy and 31 others.
- Ex. W-14/29-8-79—Reply letter from W.W.1 and others to Ex. W-13.
- Ex. W-15/5-9-79—Letter from W.W.1 and 9 others to the Management requesting for work.
- Ex. W-16/6-9-79—Letter from the Union to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), Madras requesting to provide work for the workmen.
- Ex. W-17/, —Complaint by the workmen to the Labour Enforcement Officer, Salem against the Moragement
- Ex. W-18|8-9-79—Report of the Union to the Director of Mines Safety, Ocrgam against the Management.
- Ex. W-19/18-9-79—Notice of the Management to W.W.1 and 30 others.
- Ex. W-20/25-9-79—Letter from the Union to the Management in reply to Ex. W-19.
- Ex. W-21/27-9-79—Letter from the Union to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), Madras.
- Ex. W-22/29-9-79—Letter from the Management to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), Madras.
- Ex. W-23—Letter from W.W.1 and 34 others to the Management requesting for payment of full wages.
 - Ex. W-24/31-10-79—Letter from the Union to the Management.
- Ex. W-25/9-11-79—Letter from the Union to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C)-II, Madras requesting to refer the dispute for adjudication.
- Ex. W-26/14-12-79—Letter from the Union to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C)-II, Madras requesting to provide work for the workmen.
- Ex. W-27/2-2-80—Letter from the Management to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), Madras.
- Ex. W-28/23-2-80—Letter from the Union to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C)-I, Madras, in reply to Ex. W-27.

For Management:

- Ex. M-1 series-Vouchers passed by W.W.1. (16 Nos.)
- F.x. M-2/8-9-79—Voucher passed by W.W.1 for Rs. 164-80
- Ex. M-3/6-8-74—Government's order sanctioning the grant to the Management of a mining lease for limestone, Feldspar and Qarty for a period of 20 years. (copy) (G.O.Ms.No. 1109, dated 6-8-74, Industries Department.)
- Ex. M-4/23-9-74-Mining lease deed.
- Ex. M-5/17-7-79—Letter signed by W.W.1 and 34 others to the Management for employment.
- Ex. M-6/10-9-79—Complaint of the Management to the Sankari Police.
- Ex. M-7/, —Receipt given by the Police for having received the original of Ex. M-6.
- Ex. M-8/14-9-79—Letter from the Management to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central) Madraf for taking up conciliation of the dispute.
- Ex. M-9/19-9-79—Letter from the Management to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central) Madras sending copy of notice sent to the temporary workmen by the Management for continued absence from work.
- Ex. M-10/15-2-80—Letter from the Director of Mines Safety, Oorgatim to the Management disclosing contraventions of the provisions of Mines Rules, 1955.

Ex. M-11/4-3-80—Explanation of the Management to Ex. M-10.

- Ex. M-12/7-3-80—Letter from the Management to the Director of Mines Safety, Oorgaum producing registers for perusal.
- Ex. M-13/13-8-80—Letter from the Management to the Collector of Salem surrendering lease areas.
- Ex. M-14 series—Payment vouchers passed by the workmen. (119 Nos.)
- Fx. M-15/2-4-75—Contract agreemnt reached between the Management and M.W.1.
- Ex. M-16/1-2-77—Annual return for the year ending 31-12-
- Ex. M-17/25-12-77-Notice of opening of Mines.
- Ex. M-18/ , —Register of leave account during the year 1979.
- (Sd) T. SUDARSANAM DANIEL, Presiding Officer.

New Delhi, the 21st August, 1981

8.0. 2349.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby nublishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, New Delhi, in the industrial dispute between Shri Mohammad Usman, Mine Owner, Kheemuch and his workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th August, 1981.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFICER. CENTRAL, GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM--LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI I. D. No. 47 of 1980

In re:

The Office Secretary, Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh, Ramganjmandi, Rajasthan.

Petitioner.

Versus

M.s. Shri Mohammad Usman slo Ladu Khan, Mine Owner, Haria Kheri, Kheemuch. District Kota.Respondent.

AWARD

The Central Government as appropriate Government vide its order No. L-29011/12/80-D.HI.B dated the 31st May. 1980 referred an Industrial Dispute in the following terms uls 10 of the I.D. Act to this Tribunal:

- Whether the following demands of the workers employed in the Lime Stone Mine of Shri Mohd. Usman slo Shri Ladu Khan, Mine Owner. Haria Kheri Kheemuch, District Kota are justified? If so, to what relief the workmen are entitled?
 - Upward revision of rate of daily wage of unskilled workers.
 - 2. Enhancement of piece rate fo Stone cutters.
 - Rationalisation and introduction of suitable pay scale for the cherical and other staff employed in the mine.
 - 4. Provision of medical facilities.
 - 5. Grant of two extra paid festival holidays.
 - 6. Grant of 20 days casual leave in additions to leave admissible under the Mines Act ?"
- 2. On receipt of the reference it was ordered to be registered. In pursuance of the notice workmen side had appeared but the Management side had absented. The notices were ordered to be issued to the Management for five times but none appeared on behalf of the Management and it was thereafter that I was constrained to order exparte against the Management and ex-parte evidence was ordered to be recorded on the pleadings of the petitioners workmen.

3. I have gone through the evidence produced by the workmen side and have gone through the pleadings and after giving my considered thought to the matter before me I have come to the following findings upon this reference.

e programme in local community of the largest substitution with a

- 4. From the perusal of statement of claim filed by the workmen I find that it is contended therein that Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh, Districtt Kota is a registered trade union of Lime Stone workers and the respondent was owner of a Lime Stone; that the respondent had not been paying proper wages as other Lime Stone owners are paying therefore the matter was taken up and the present reference has been made by the appropriate Government.
- 5. In support of its evidence the workmen side has produced only the affidavit of one Shri Ram Gopal Gupta slo Shri Jamna I.al who has described himself in para No. I of the affidavit to be the Office Secretary and elected Treasurer of Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh. The only other thing stated by this witness in his affidavit is that the respondent have not raised wages since October, 1979 of the workers in their Lime Stone Mines while most of the other Lime Stone Mine owners have increased the wages w.e.f. 1-10-79 and that similar work is carried out in the Lime Stone Mines of the respondent and hence it is prayed that the revised wages be paid w.c.f. 1-10-79.
- 6. From the perusal of above statement of claim and the evidence it would be found that it does not even remotely touch the points of reference which have been referred as Industrial Dispute by the appropriate Government to this Tribunal and in view thereof it cannot be said that the workmen side have led appropriate evidence to entitle it to any relief whatsoever.
- 7. Now that the Management side had absented the burden of proving the entire claim had fallen upon the workmen side Even otherwise from the order of reference it would be found that the workmen had to establish the justification of their demands incorporated in the said order of reference. The workmen side has led practically no evidence worth the name to discharge the said burden. They have not cared to examine even one such employer who was paying higher wages than this management respondent, even though it is alleged that most of the other employers were paying higher wages. The workmen side has further fuiled to produce any evidence to suggest even remotely that the present wages were insufficient or required revision, likewise they have not brought any evidence on record to make out a case for upward revision of rates of daily wages or enhancement of piece rates. Similarly in the absence of any evidence on the point it cannot be said that any rationalisation or introduction of any suitable pay scale for clerical or other staff is called for or can be done. Likewise there is absolutely no evidence on the question of provision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave in addition to the leave admissible to these workmen under the Mines Act. From whichever angle I may consider the matter before me I have come to the conclusion that the workmen have miserably failed to establish their claim in this reference.
- 8. It may incidently be mentioned here that the appropriate Government had vide its order No. S. 32019(V1)79-WC(MW) dated the 12th September, 1980 revised the minimum rate of wages in respect of categories of employees employed in Stone Mines which includes Lime Stone Mines. From the perusal of the said order it would be found that the appropriate Government has fixed minimum rates of wages for unskilled, semiskilled and skilled and clerical staff working in these mines and explanation to this notification shows that this minimum rate of wages is also inclusive of basic rates, cost of leaving allowance, cash value of concessional supply and wages for the weekly rest and are also applicable to employees by contractors, which term would include the lease holder of the present type also. This order is effective from 1 10-80 and therefore it is too premature thereafter to revise the rate of wages. It may also be mentioned here that for the same reasons no rationalisation or introduction of suitable pay scale at this stage is called for. Similarly there are no grounds for further revision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave in addition to leave admissible to these people under the Mines Act very fact that the appropriate Government has revised minimum rates of wages in respect of employees employed in Stone Mines which term would include Lime Stone Mines would go to suggest that this industry is still in its very infancy and would

--- .

not admit of any revision or upward revision of wages or any rationalisation or introduction of regular pay scale or provision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave. For this reason also the workmen side is not entitled to any relief in this reference whatsoever.

- 9. The matter may be considered from yet another angle. The workmen side have failed to produce any figures showing their output of production or to bring out the incidence of financial barden of expenditure which would be involved if any practice of further medical facility or grant of two additional festival holidays or additional 20 days casual leave is introduced in this industry. The time is still not ripe for granting of any of these reliefs. Further more now that minimum wages have been revised by the appropriate Government in respect of workers working in Stone Mines Industry including the Lime Stone Mines it is natural that further revision would be made in accordance with the provisions of Minimum Wages Act as and when such a necessity would arise.
- 10. In view of my discussions above, I hold that none of the demands of the workmen in this reference is justified it is awarded that the workmen are not entitled to any relief whatsoever in this reference. However parties are left to bear their own costs.

Further Ordered :

That requisite number of copies of this award may be sent to the appropriate Government for necessary action at their end.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Office.

[No, L-29011(12)[80-D.III.(B)]

Dated: the 21st July, 1981.

S.O. 2350.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, New Delhi in the industrial dispute between Shri Gangaram Kalooram Jatwa, Mine Owner, Satalkheri, District Kota and his workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th August, 1981.

BEFORE SHRI MAHESH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFI-CI'R. CENTRAI GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBU-NAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, NEW DELHI

I. D. No. 41 of 1980

In re:

The Office Secretary, Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh, Ramganjmandi, Rajasthan Petitioner

Versus

Shri Ganguram Kalooram Jatwa, Mine Owner, P.O. Satalkheri, Via Ramganjmandi, Distt. Kota

... Respondent

AWARD

The Central Government as appropriate Government vide its order No. L-29011/14/80-D.HI.B dated the 31st May, 1980 referred an Industrial Dispute in the following terms u.s. 10 of the ID Act to this Tribunal:—

- Whether the following demands of the workers employed in the Lime Stone Mine of Shri Gangaram Kalooram Jatwa, Mine Owner, P.O. Satalkheri via Ramganjmandi, Distt. Kota are justified? If so, to what relief the workmen are entitled?
- Upward revision of rate of daily wage of unskilled workers.
- 2. Enhancement of piece rate for Stone Cutters.
- 3 Rationalisation and introduction of suitable pay scale for the clerical and other staff employed in the mine.

- 4. Provision of medical facilities.
- 5. Grant of two extra paid festival holidays.
- Grant of 20 days casual leave in addition to leave admissible under the Mines Act.'

- 2. On receipt of the reference it was ordered to be registered. In pursuance of the notice workmen side had appeared but the Management side had absented. The notices were ordered to be issued to the Management for five times but none appeared on behalf of the Management and it was thereafter that I was constrained to order exparte against the Management, and ex-parte evidence was ordered to be recorded on the pleadings of the petitioners/workmen.
- 3. I have gone through the evidence produced by the workmen side and have gone through the pleadings and after giving my considered thought to the matter before me I have come to the following findings upon this reference.
- 4. From the perusal of statement of claim filed by the workmen I find that it is contended therein that Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh, District Kota is a registered trade union of Lime Stone Workers and the respondent was owner of a Lime Stone; that the respondent had not been paying proper wages as other Time Stone owners are paying therefore the matter was taken up and the persent reference has been made by the appropriate Government.
- 5. In support of its evidence the workmen side has produced only the affidavit of one Shri Ram Gopal Gupta S/o Shri Jamna Lal who has described himself in para No. 1 of the affidavit to be the Office Secretary and elected Treasurer of Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh. The only other thing stated by this witness in his affidavit is that the respondent have not raised wages since October, 1979 of the workers in their Lime Stone Mines while most of the other Lime Stone Mine owners have increased the wages w.e.f. 1-10-79 and that similar work is carried out in the Lime Stone Mines of the respondent and hence it is prayed that the revised wages be paid w.e.f. 1-10-79.
- 6. From the perusal of above statement of claim and the evidence it would be found that it does not even remotely touch the points of reference which have been referred as Industrial Dispute by the appropriate Government to this Tribunal and in view thereof it cannot be said that the workmen side have led appropriate evidence to entitle it to any relief whatsoever.
- 7. Now that the Maragement side had absented, the burden of proving the entire claim had fallen upon the workmen side. Even otherwise from the order of reference it would be found that the workmen had to establish the justification of their demands incorporated in the said order of reference. The workmen side has led practically no evidence worth the name to discharge the said burden. They have not cared to examine even one such employer who was paying higher wages than this management/respondent, even though it is alleged that most of the other employers were paying higher wages. The workmen side has further failed to produce any evidence to suggest even remotely that the present wages were insufficient or required revision, I ikewise they have not brought any evidence on regard to make out a case for inpward revision of rates of daily wages or enhancement of piece rates. Similarly in the absence of any evidence on the point it cannot be said that any rationalisation or introduction of any suitable pay scale for elerical or other staff is called for or can be done. Likewise there is absolutely no evidence on the question of provision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave in addition to the leave admissible to these workmen under the Mines Act. From whichever angle I may consider the matter before me I have come to the conclusion that the workmen have miserably failed to establish their claim in this reference.
- 6. It may incidently be mentioned here that the appropriate Government had vide its order No. S-32019(VI)/79-WC (MW) dated the 12th September, 1980 revised the minimum rates of wages in respect of entegories of employees employed in Stone Mines which includes Lime Stone Mines, From the perusal of the said order it would be found that the appropriate Government has fixed minimum rates of wages

for unskilled, semi-skiled and skilled and clerical stad working in these mines and explanation to this notification shows that this minimum rate of wages is also inclusive of basic rates, cost of leaving allowance, cash value of concessional supply and wages for the weekly rest and are also applicable to employees employed by contractors, which term would include the leave holder of the present type also. This order is effective from 1-10-80 and therefore it is too premature therefore to revise the rate of wages. It may also be mentioned here that for the same reasons no rationalisation or introduction of suitable pay scale at this stage is called for. Similarly there are no grounds for further revision for medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave in addition to leave admissible to these people under the Mines Act. The very fact that the appropriate Government has revised minimum rates of wages in respect of employees employed in Stone mines which term would include lime stone mines, would go to suggest that this industry is still in its very infancy and would not admit of any further revision or upward revision of wages or any intionalisation or introduction of regular pay scale or provision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave. For this reason also the workmen side is, not entitled to any relief in this reference whatsoever.

9. The matter may be considered from yet another angle, The wormen side have failed to produce any figures showing their put of production or to bring out the incidence of financial burden of expenditure which would be involved if any practice of further medical facility or grant of two additional festival holidays or additional 20 days casual leave is introduced in this industry. The time is still not ripe for granting of any of these reliefs. Furthermore now that minimum wages have been revised by the appropriate Government in respect of workers working in Stene Mines Industry including the Lime Stone Mines it is natural that further revision would be made in accordance with the provisions of Minimum Wages Act as and when such a necessity would arise.

10. In view of my discussion above, I hold that none of the demands of the workmen in this reference is justified and it is awarded that the workmen are not entitled to any relief whatsoever in this reference. However parties are left to bear their own costs

Further Ordered:

That requisite number of copies of this award may be sent to the appropriate Government for necessary action at their end.

Dated: the 17th July, 1981

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer [No. L-29011(14)/80-D.III(B)]

S.O. 2351.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, New Delhi in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. West Suket Co-operative Labour Contractor Sahakari Samiti and their Workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th August, 1981.

BEFORE SHRI MAHFSH CHANDRA, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL. CUM-LABOUR COURT NEW DELHI

I.D. No. 42 of 1980

In re:

The Office Secretary, Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh, Ramganimandi, Rajasthan

.. Petitioner

Versus
Mis. West Suket Cooperative Labour
Contactors Sahakari Samiti Ltd.
P.O. Suket. District Kota.

AWARD

The Central Govt, as appropriate Govt, vide its order No. L-29011]17[80-D.HIB dated the 31st May, 1980 referred an Industrial Dispute in the following terms n/s 10 of the ID Act to this Tribunal;

Whether the following demands of the workers employed in the Lime Stone Mine of M's. West Suket Cooperative Labour Contractors Suhakara Samiti Ltd., P.O. Suket, District Kota are justified? If so, to what relief the workmen are entitled?

- Upward revision of rate of faily wage of unskilled workers.
- 2. Enhancement of piece rate for Stone cutters.
- Rationalisation and introduction of suitable pay scale for the clerical and other staff employed in the mine.
- 4. Provision of medical incilities.
- 5. Grant of two extra paid festival holidays.
- 6. Grant of 20 days casual leave in addition to leave admissible under the Mines Act.
- 2. On receipt of the reference it was ordered to be registered. In pursuance of the notice workmen side had appeared but the Management side had absented. The notices were ordered to be issued to the Management for five times but none appeared on behalf, of the Management and it was thereafter that I was constrained to order exparte against the Management and ex-parte evidence was ordered to be recorded on the pleadings of the petitioners workmen.
- 3. I have gone through the evidence produced by the workmen side and have gone through the pleadings and after giving my considered thought to the matter before me I have come to the following findings upon this reference.
- 4. From the perusal of statement of claim filed by the workmen I find that it is contended therein that Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh, District Kota is a registered trade union of Lime Stone Workers and the respondent was owner of a Lime Stone; that the respondent had not been paying proper wages as other Lime Stone owners are paying therefore the matter was taken up and the present reference has been made by the appropriate Govt.
- 5. In support of its evidence the workmen side has produced only the affidavit of one Shri Ram Gopal Gupta 870 Shri Jamna I al who has described himself in para no. I of the affidavit to be the Office Secretary and elected Treasurer of Rushtriya Mazdoor Sangh. The only other thing stated by this witness in his afficult is that the respondent have not raised wages since October, 1979 of the workers in their Lime Stone Mines while most of the other Lime Stone Mine owners have increased the wages w.c.f. 1-10-79 and that similar work is carried out in the Lime Stone Mines of the respondent and hence it is prayed that the revised wages be paid w.c.f. 1-10-79.
- 6. From the perusal of above statement of claim and the evidence it would be found that it does not even remotely touch the points of reference which have been referred as In Instital. Dispute by the appropriate Govt, to this Tribunal and in view thereof it cannot be said that the workmen side have led appropriate evidence to entitle it to any relief whatsoever.
- 7. Now that the Management side had absented, the builden of proving the entire claim had follen upon the workmen side. Even otherwise from the order of reference it would be found that the workmen had to establish the justification of their demands incorporated in the said order of reference. The workmen side has led practically no evidence worth the name to discharge the said burden. They have not cared to examin, even one such employer who was paying higher wages than this management/respondent, even though it is alleged that most of the other employers were paying higher wages. The workmen side has further failed to produce any evidence to suggest even remotely that the present wages were insufficient or required

revision. Likewise they have not brought any evidence on record to make out a case for appeard revision of rates of daily wages or enhancement of piece rates. Similarly in the absence of any evidence on the point it cannot be said that any rationalisation or introduction of any suitable pay scale for clerical or other staff is called for or can be done. Likewise there is absolutely no evidence on the question of provision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave in addition to the leave admissible to these workmen under the Mines Act. From whichever angle I may consider the matter before me I have come to the conclusion that the workmen have miserably failed to establish their claim in this reference.

8. It may incidently be mentioned here that the approprinte Govt. had vide its order No. S-32019(V1)/79-WC(MW) dated 12th September, 1980 revised the minimum rates of wages in respect of categories of employees employed in Stone Mines which includes Lime Stone Mines. From the perusal of the said order it would be found that the appropriate Govt, has fixed minimum rates of wages for unskilled, semi-skilled and skilled and clerical staff working in these mines and explanation to this notification shows that this minimum rate of wages is also inclusive of basic rates, cost of living allowane, cash value of concessional supply and wages for the weekly rest and are also applicable to employees employed by contractors, which term would include the lease holder of the present type also. This order is effective from 1-10-80 and therefore it, is too premature thereafter to revise the rate of wages. It may also be mentioned here that for the same reasons no rastionalisation or introduction of suitable pay scale at the stage is called for. Similarly there are no grounds for further revision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave in addition to leave admissible to these people under the Mines Act-The very fact that the appropriate Government has revised minimum rates of wages in respect of employees employed in Stone mines which term would include lime stone mines, would go to suggest that this industry is still in its very infancy and would not admit of any further revision or upward revision of wages or any rationalisation or introduction of regular pay scale or provision of medical facilities or grant of two extra paid festival holidays or 20 days casual leave. For this reason also the workmen side is not entitled to any relief in this reference whatsoever.

9. The matter may be considered from yet another angle. The workmen side have failed to produce any figures showing their output of production or to bring out the incidence of financial burden of expenditure which would be involved if any practice of further medical facility or grant of two additional festival holidays or additional 20 days casual leave is introduced in this industry. The time is still not ripe for granting of any of these reliefs. Furthermore now that minimum wages have been revised by the appropriate Government in respect of workers working in Stone Mines Industry including the Lime Stone Mines it is natural that further revision would be made in accordance with the provisions of Minimum Wages Act as and when such a necessity would arise,

6 In view of my discussions above, I hold that none of the demands of the workmen in this reference is justified and it is awarded that the workmen are not entitled to any relief whatsoever in this reference. However parties are left to bear their own costs.

Further ordered :

That requisite number of copies of this award may be sent to the appropriate Government for necessary action at their end.

MAHESH CHANDRA, Presiding Officer.

[No. U-29011(17)/80.D.III(B)]

वर्ष विद्या २५ व्यास्य १९८१

कार थार 2352.--भैसर्स गिनगुरधी स्टीन क्वारिय कम्पनी श्रीर उनके कमेकारो के बीच, जिनका श्रीतिनिधित्व तान्दुर स्टीन क्यारीज लेबर एड एस्पमाक्य यूनियन. बशीररबाट करती है, एक श्रीदीगिक विवाद शिवमान

भीर उक्त नियोजन तथा उक्त य्नियन भीषांगिक विवाद भिक्षित्वन, 1947 (1947 का 14) भी भारा 10-क की उपधारा (i) के उपविधा के अनुभरण में, लिखिन करार द्वारा उक्त विवाद को उसमें उन्तिबित स्थार द्वारा उक्त विवाद को उसमें उन्तिबित स्थाक के माध्यस्यम को भीजने के लिए सहमत हो गए है और उक्त माध्यस्थम करार की एक प्रति केंद्रीय सरकार की भेजी गई है और उसे उक्त धारा की उप-धारा (3) के उपकंषों के अधीन भारत के नारीख

के राजधन के भाग H, खंड 3. उप-खंड (i) में प्रकाणित भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय के ध्रावेग संत्रा एल० 29011/26/81- की० 111(41), किसंक 25-8-81 के साथ प्रकाणित किया गया 8;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार का समाधान हो गया है कि उक्त निर्देश करने बाले व्यक्ति प्रत्येक पक्षकार के बहुमत का प्रतिनिधित्व करने हैं:

ग्रतः, धव श्रीद्योगिक विवाद (केन्द्रीय) नियम, 1957 के नियम 8-क के साथ पठित उक्त भ्रारा की उप-धारा (3क) के उपनंश्रों के भ्रानु-सरण में, केन्द्रीय मरकार उन निर्धालकों भ्रीर कर्मकारों की सूचना के लिए, जो उक्त साध्यस्थम करार के पक्षकार नहीं है लेकिन जो उक्त विवाद से संबंधित हैं, यह अधिसूचिन करती है कि उक्त निर्देश करने बाले व्यक्ति प्रत्येक पक्षकार के बहुमत का प्रतिनिधित्व करते हैं।

[संख्या एल-29011/26/81-धी**ः [[(बी**)]]

New Delhi, the 25th August, 1981

S.O. 2352.—Whereas, and industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of M/s. Gingurthi Stone Quarrying Co. and their workmen represented by Tandur Stone Quarries Labour and Employees Union. Basheerabad;

'And, whereas, the said employer and the said union have by a written agreement in pursuance of the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration of the person mentioned therein, and a copy of the said arbitration agreement has been forwarded to the Central Government and the same has been published under the provisions of sub-section (3) of the said section with the order of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No L-29011/26/81.11I(B) dated 25th August, 1981 published in Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India, dated

And, whereas the Central Government is satisfied that the persons making the said reference represent the majority of the party;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of the provisions of subsection (3A) of the said section, read with rule 8A of the Industrial Disputes (Central) Rules, 1957, the Central Government hereby notifies for the information of the employers and workmen who are not parties to the said arbitration agreement but who are concerned with the said dispute, that the persons making the said reference represented the majority of each party.

यादेण

जार आर 2353.— मैसर्स मिनगुरथी स्टीन क्वारीज प्राप्तवेट लिए के प्रबंधतंत्र से संबद्ध नियोजको भीर उनके कर्मकारों के बीच. जिनका प्रति-निधित्व तान्त्र स्टीन क्वारीज लेबर एंड एस्पलाइन यूनियन बणीरखाद करती है, एक भौधोगिक विथाद विश्वमान है .

बीर उक्त नियोजको बीर कर्यकारों ने बीबोगिक विवाद प्रधिनियम. 1917 की धारण (0-क की एपपारर (1) है जावेशे के पनप्रका में एक निश्चित करार द्वारा उक्त विवाद को उसमें विणित व्यक्ति के माध्यस्थम के लिए निर्देणित करने का करार कर लिया है और उक्त माध्यस्थम करार की एक गति केस्त्रीय सरकार की भेजी गई है ,

श्रातः, श्राम, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क को उप-धारा (3) के उपवंधीं के श्रानुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त भाध्यस्थम करार की प्रकाशित करती है।

(करार)

(ग्रीचोरिक विवाद श्रिप्तियम, 1947 की धारा 10-क के अधीन) पक्षकारों के नाम :

- (1) सैसर्ग गिटगुरथी स्टोन क्वारिंग कम्पनी, डाकघर व तान्द्र संगा रेड्डी, शिवर अस्त्रि प्रदेश ।
- (2) तान्द्रर स्टांन क्वारीज लेबर एंट एम्पलाइज यूनियन, डाकघर वशीराबाद तान्द्रर ताल्क्का, रंगारेडी, जिला आन्ध्र प्रदेश ।

नियोजकों का धितिनिधिस्त्र करने याले, श्री बी० पी० णर्मा,पार्टतर, गिनगुरथी स्टोन क्वारिंग कम्पनी, डाकघर सान्द्रर, रंगा रेड्डी जिला स्रांध्र प्रदेख ।

कर्मकारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले : श्री डी॰ बीची रेड्डी, प्रेजीडेंट सान्द्रर स्टोन क्यारीज लेबर एंड एम्मलाईज यूनियन, डाकघर वशीराबाद, तान्द्रर सल्लुक, रगार्रेडडी जिला आन्धा प्रदेश ।

पक्षकारों के बीच निम्नलिखित भौद्योगिक विश्वाद को श्री एम० भ्रार० राजू, उप मुख्य श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), मुख्य श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय) का कार्यालय, नई दिल्ली, के माध्यस्थम के लिए निर्देशित करने का करार किया गया है।

- 1. विनिर्दिष्ट विवाद ग्रस्त विषय : "त्या मैसर्स गिनगुरथी स्टौन क्यारिंग कम्पनी, तत्त्वूर, रंगा रेड्डी जिला (ग्रान्ध्र प्रदेश) की स्टोन क्यारिंग/बानों/विभागों में काम करने वाले कर्मकारों की मजदूरी-वरों में वृद्धि की मांग त्यायोचित है ? यदि हो, तो मंबंधित कर्मकार किस ग्रनुतीय के हक्कार हैं और किस तारीख से ?"
- विवाद के पक्षकारों का विवरण, जिसमें अंतर्वलित स्थापन या उपक्रम का नाम और पना भी सम्मिलित है:
- श्री त्री० पी० सर्मा, पार्टनर गिनगुरथी स्टोन क्वारिंग कम्पनी लान्द्रर, रगारेट्टी जिला (आ-न्ध्र प्रदेश) ।
- 2. श्री डी० बीची रेड्डी, प्रैजी-डेट, तान्द्रर स्टीन क्वारीज लेबर एन्ड एस्पलाइज युनियन, डाकघर क्शीराबाद, तान्द्रर ता-ल्लुक, रगारेड्डी जिला (ब्राध्न प्रदेश)
- 3 शर्मकार का लाम, यदि वह स्वयं दिखार में शंलेशस्त है या यदि धर्म सघ प्रण्नगत कर्मकार या कर्षकारों का प्रतिविधित्व करना हो तो उसका नाम :

नास्त्र स्टीन स्वारीत लेकर एंड एम्मलाइज यूनियन, डाकघर वशीराबाद, नांदूर ताल्लुक, रगा-रेड्डी जिला (झास्झ प्रदेश) ।

- प्रभावित उपक्रम में नियोजित कर्मकारों की कृत संख्या । तगमग 350
- तिवाद क्वान्य प्रभावित या सम्भारपत.
 प्रभावित , होन त्यांक क्यं-द्वारी की प्रावधीति संख्या त्याभव ३३०

हम यह करार भी करते हैं कि माजस्य का वितिष्वय हम पर आय**बढ़** कर होगा । मध्यस्य अपना पचाउ ठा, करार के प्रकाशन की तारी**ख** से दी माम की कालायित या इसने और मस्य के भीनर जो हमारे वीच परस्यरिक विशिवन करार हाल प्रकृत्या जास देशा । यदि पूर्व विणित कालावित के भीनर पचाट नहा दिया जाला, तो माध्यस्थम के लिए निर्देश स्वतः रहे ही जायेगा और हम नए माध्यस्थम के लिए बानचीन करने की स्वतंत होंगे ।

पक्षकारों के हस्ताक्षर

निबंद्यको का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले वर्नेकारों का प्रतिनिधित्व करने वाले हुए /-(बी०वी० गर्मा) पार्टनर, हुए /-(बी०वीको रेड्डी) प्रैजीडेड, गिनगुरथी स्टोन क्वारिय कं०, तान्द्रर स्टान क्वारीज लेवर एंड तान्द्रर । एस्मलाइज सृतियन, बशीशवाध । स्टक्षी

- 1 च० $f^*(\hat{n})$ कुरणा मूर्ति , क्यिंका प्रविधकः ।(१५ यौर नावान्द्रगी स्टोन क्यारीज (प्रा०) स्थि० बक्रीराबाद ।
- ्र हरु /-(यीरु एसरु शास्त्रोः) घ्रासृतिषिक, दीतोः श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीयः) का नार्योक्ष, ईयराबाद ।

मार्शिष्ट 17-6-1981

मैं उपमुक्त विचाद में मध्यस्थ के रूप में काम करने के लिए श्रपनी सहभति देता हूं।

> हैं। (एम० झा४० राजू) उप मुख्य श्रमायुक्त (केन्द्रीय), नई दिल्ली) [स० एल-29011/26/81-धी-III(बी)] के० के० हांडा, धवर सचिव

ORDER

S.O. 2353.—Whereas, an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Messers Gingurthi Stone Quarries Pvt. Ltd., and their workmen represented by Tandur Stone Quarries I abour and Employees Union, Basheerabad;

And, whereas, the said employers and workmen have, by a written agreement in pursuance of the provisions of the sub-section (1) of section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, agreed to refer the said dispute to arbitration by the person specified therein and a copy of the said agreement has been made available to the Central Government.

Now, therefore, in pursuance of sub-section (3) of section 10-A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby publishes the said Agreement.

AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947).

BETWEEN

Name of the Parties:

- (1) M/s. Gingurthi Stone Quarrying Co., P. O. Tandur Ranga Reddy District, Andhra Pradesh,
- (2) Tandur Stone Quarries Labour and Employees' Union, PO: Basheerabad, Tandur Taluk, Ranga Reddy District, (Andhra Pradesh).

Representing Employer.—Shri V P. Sharma, Partner, Gingurthi Stone Quarrying Co., PO; Tandur, Ranga Reddy District (Andhra Pradesh).

.... : *:==*=

Representing the Workmen.—Shri D. Bichi Reddy, President, Tandur Stone Quarries Labour and Employees' Union, PO: Basheerabad, Tandur Taluk, Ranga Reddy District (Andhra Pradesh).

It is hereby between the parties to refer the following dispute to the arbitration of Shri M. R. Rafu, Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), Office of the Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), New Delhi:—

- (i) Specific matters in dispute.—"Whether the demand of the workmen working in Stone Quarry/Mine/ Departments of M/s. Gingurthi Stone Quarrying Co., Tandur, Ranga Reddy District (Andhra Pradesh) for upward revision of rate of wages is justified? If so, to what relief are the concerned workmen entitled to and from what date?"
- (ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the mame and address of the establishment or undertaking involved:—
 - (1) Shri V. P. Sharma, Partner, Gingurthi Stone Quarrying Co., Tandur, Ranga Reddy District (Andhra Pradesh).
 - (2) Shri D. Bichi Reddy, President, Tandur Stone Quarries Labour and Employees' Union, PO: Basheerabad, Tandur Taluk, Ranga Reddy District (Andhra Pradesh).
- (iii) Name of the workmen in case he himself is involved in the dispute or the name of the Union, if any, representing the workmen or workman in question:—

Tandur Stone Quarries Labour and Employees' Union, PO: Basheerabad, Tandur Taluk, Ranga Reddy District (Andhra Pradesh).

- (iv) Total No. of workmen employed in the undertuking affected:—About 350.
- (v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute:—About 350.

We further agree that the decision of the Arbitrator be binding on us. The Arbitrator shall make his Award within a period of two months from the date of publication of the agreement or within such further time as extended by mutual agreement between me in writing. In case the Award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to the arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh Arbitration.

Signature of the Parties:

Representing the Employer:-

Sd/-

(V. P. SHARMA), Partner, Gingurthi Stone Quarrying Co. Tandur. Representing the Workmen:

Sd/-

(D. BICHI REDDY), President, Tandur Stone Quarries Labour and Employees' Union, Basheerabad.

Witnesses:-

1. Sd/-

(K. Krishna Murthy)

Personnel Manager, Tandur and Navandgi

Stone Quarries (Private) Limited. Basheerabad.

2. Sd/-

(V. S. Sastry)

Stenographer,

Office of the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Hyderabad.

Date: 17-6-1981.

I hereby give my consent to be an Arbitrator in the above dispute.

Sd/-

(M. R. Raju)

Deputy Chief Labour Commissioner (Central)

New Delhi.

[No. L-29011/26/81-D.III(B)] K. K. HANDA, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 19th August, 1981

S.O. 2354.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Pootkee Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Kusunda, District Dhanbad and their workmen which was received by the Central Government on the 14th August, 1981.

BFFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2), DHANBAD

Reference No. 7 of 1980

In the matter of an industrial dispute under S. 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Pootkee Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post office Kusunda, District Dhanbad and their workmen.

APPEARANCES:

On hehalf of the employers.—Shri B. Joshi, Advocate. On behalf of the workmen.—None.

STATE:Bihar

INDUSTRY: Coal

Ist August, 1981. AWARD

This is a reference under S. 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947. The Central Government by its order No. L-20012/128/79-D.III(A) dated 4th June, 1980 has referred this dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication on the following terms:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of the workmen of Pootkee colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post office Kusunda, District Dhanbad that Sarvashri M. Banerjee, Samiron Guha, Raujhan Ahmed, P. K. Sinha, P. C. Chatterjee, Abhai Narain Ray, Shyamnand Jha and S K. Ghose, should be promoted from clerical grade II to Grade I from the date their juniors have been promoted is justified? If so, to what relief are the said workmen entitled?"

- 2. The above named concerned workmen were denied promotion from clerical grade II to clerical grade I and this led to an industrial dispute. I need not elaborately go into the case of the workmen and the employer for the very simple reason that on behalf of the employer a petition has been filed for passing a 'No dispute' award. The management has admitted that the Departmental Promotion Committee which considered the promotion matter made a mistake in considering seniority colliery-wise instead of considering seniority Area-wise. This was the main reason why the concerned workmen could not be recommended for promotion by Departmental Promotion Committee. The petition further says that not only the case of the concerned workmen but a large number of others who suffered on account of the aforesaid mistake are going to be rectified by constituting a fresh Departmental Promotion Committee to go into the question. It has been conceded in this petition that the recommendation of the earlier Departmental Promotion Committee and orders passed thereon have been clearly rescinded by the management.
 - 3. It is accordingly clear that the case of the concerned

workmen for promotion from Grade II to Grade I is now open to be considered by a fresh Departmental Promotion Committee. This leaves no scope for a dispute in this reference and the point raised in this reference need not be answered. In fact the prayer of the management to pass a 'No dispute' award is acceptable. The reference is accordingly disposed of.

J. P. SINGH, Presiding Officer [No. L-20012/128/79-D.III(A)]

S.O. 2355.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Regional Stores, Slnidh, Govindpur Area (No. III) of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Sonardh, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 11th August, 1981.

BFFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, DHANBAD.

In the matter of a reference under Sec. 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 24 of 1980

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Regional Stores, Sinidih Govindpur Area (No. III) of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Sonardih, District Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT:

Mr. Justice B. K. Ray (Retd.).—Presiding Officer, APPEARANCES:

For the Employers.—Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.
For the Workmen.—Shri S. Bose, Secretary, Rashtriya
Colliery Mazdoor Sangh

STATE: Bihar

INDUSTRY: Coal

Dhanbad, dated the 1st August, 1981

AWARD

By Order No. L-20012(83)/80-D.III(A) dated the 10th October, 1980, the Central Government being of opinion that an industrial dispute existed between the employers in relation to the management of Regional Stores, Sinidih Govindpur Area (No. III) of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Sonardih, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the schedule attached to the order referred the same for adjudication to this Tribunal. The schedule to the order reads thus:

"Whether the demand of the workmen of Regional Stores, Simidin of Govindpur Area (No. III) of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Sonardin, District Dhanbad that Shri P. Jha, Store Keeper should be placed in Grade-I (Clerical) and paid wages accordingly from June, 1973 is justified? If so, to what relief is the said workman entitled?"

2. After notice to the parties the management only has filed its written statement. On 6-3-81 when insplie of notice the union did not file its written statement the Tribunal ordered that the union had no written statement the to file and posted the case for hearing to 18-3-81. Ultimately the hearing of the case was taken up on 30-6-81 after submission of Sri S. Bose for the union to contest the case without filing written statement was accepted. On that day the union examined one witness as WW-1 and got some documents marked as exhibits (Exts. W-1 to W-6). Parties were thereafter heard on their respective cases.

3. The reference in this case relates to the demand of the workman for placing Sri P. Jha, Store Keeper in Gr. I (Clerical) and for payment of wages accordingly from June, 1973. The case of the management as appears from its written statement is as follows. The concerned workman was an office clerk on 31-1-73 at North Akashkirzaree Colliery the management of which was taken over by the Central Government from that day. Since then the concerned workman was put in Clerical Gr. II in accordance with the recommendation of the Coal Wage Board. management thereafter transferred the concerned workman to Regional Stores in June, 1973 which was opened in the area after nationalisation of the Coking Coal Mines. that place the concerned workman was posted as Asst. Store Keeper at the Regional Stores of the Area situated at Sinidih, Asst. Store Keepers are entitled to Clerical Gr. II and so the concerned workman after his posting in Regional Stores was allowed to draw wages admissible to Clerical Gr. II Under the recommendation of the Coal Wage Board Store Keepers can be placed both in Clerical Grade I and Clerical Gr. II. Asstt. Store Keepers according to recommendations of the Coal Wage Board are in Clerical Gr. Il. The concerned workman continued to work as Asstt. Store Keeper in Gr. II from June 1973 till he was promoted to the post of Store Keeper Gr. I in December 1978 after his case was recommended by Departmental Promotion Committee. Therefore the union's demand that the concerned workman should have been placed in Gr. I from June 1973 is not justified. Promotions from Gr. II to Gr. I are made on the basis of metit and experience depending upon the availability of number of promotional posts. In these circumstances the concerned workman is not entitled to any relief.

4. As has been stated earlier the union has not filed its written statement and Mr. S. Bose appearing for the union contested the case without filling a written statement by examining the concerned workman as WW-1 and by getting as many as six documents marked as exhibits through WW-1. The concerned workman admits in his evidence that he was posted as Sinidih Regional Store in June 1973. This store according to the evidence was in respect of four sub-areas, namely, Dharmaband, Gobindpur, Fhularitand and Mohuda. At that time according to the workman there were two sections in the General Stores—one for spares and other for general stores and he was incharge of General Store Section and was not assisting any other Store Keeper and was in independent charge of the section. Inspite of this the workman says that he was in Gr. II. The workman further asserts that at the time he was in independent charge of the section there was one Asstt. Store Keeper under him and so the management was not justified in placing him in Gr. II. He also deposes that the work he was doing was the work of a Store Keeper Gr. I though being posted as Asstt. Store Keeper in Gr. II he was signing the attendance register as such. In the evidence the workman says that although he himself being incharge of one section had been placed in Gr. II another man incharge of spare section as Store Keeper was in Gr. I and that the Store Keeper incharge of spare section was not supervising his (workman's) work. In cross-examination the witness admits that he was initially appointed in Akashkinari Colliery on 16-8-72 and at that time his basic pay was Rs. 205 per month which was the basic pay of a Clerk in Gr. 11. He further admits that after rantonulisation he continued in the same category and that he was transferred to Central Store in Jone 1973 as Asst. Store Keeper in Gr. II. It is also admitted by him that in the Central Store there is a section known as Issue Section which is devided into two subsections. number, spares and general stores and that one Anil Misra was incharge of Issue Section as well as spare sub-section. It is thus clear from the evidence that general store is not a section but is a sub-section under Issue Section and that Anil Misra was incharge of Issue Section. It is also clear from the evidence of the workman that after his transfer to the Store he has never been placed incharge of an indeto the Store he has never been placed incharge of an independent section and that a Store Keeper Gr. I always remains incharge of a Section. In these circumstances from the evidence of the concerned workman it is manifest that after his transfer to Store in June 1973 he has been at times placed incharge of different sub-sections and never been incharge of a Section. Central Wage Board recommendations show that a Store Keeper may be both in Gr. I and also in Gr. II. It is not disputed that in the

year 1978 the concerned workman was promoted as Store Keeper Gr. I. Between 1973 to 1978 it is not clear from the evidence of the concerned workman that he was performing the duties of a Store Keeper Gr. I. The documents relied upon by the union in this connection also do not improve the case of the workman. Exts. W-1 to W-4 are only correspondence under which the concerned workman claims to be put in Gr. I. Ext. W-5 is the order showing that the concerned workman was promoted to Gr. I with effect from 29-6-78. Thus there being nothing to show that before the concerned workman was actually promoted to Gr. I he was discharging the duties attached to the job of a Store Keeper Gr. I the claim of the union to place him in Gr. I since 1973 cannot therefore be justified. The promotiom of the concerned workman to the post of Store Keeper Gr. I since June 1978 is however not affected. The reference is answered accordingly. There will be no order for costs.

B. K. RAY, Presiding Officer. [No. L-20012/83/80-D.III(A)]

S.O. 2356.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Regional Stores, Sinidih of Govindpur Area (No. III) of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Sanardih, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 11th August, 1981.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Reference No. 25 of 1980

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Regional Stores, Sinidih of Govindpur Area (No. III) of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Sonardih, District Dhanbad.

AND

Their Workmen.

PRESENT :

Mr. Justice B. K. Ray (Retd.) Presiding Officer.

APPEAR ANCES

For the Employers.—Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.

For the Workman.—Shri S. Bose, Secretary, Rashtriya Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bibar.

INDSTRY: Coal.

Dhanbad, dated, the 1st August, 1981 AWARD

By Order No. L-20012(85) 80-D.III(A) dated the 14th October, 1980, the Central Government being of opinion that an industrial dispute existed between the employers in relation to the management of Regional Stores, Sinidih of Govindpur Area (No. III) of Mesars Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Sonardih, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the schedule attached to the order referred the same for adjudication to this Tribunal, The scheduled attached to the order reads thus:

"Whether the demand of the workmen of Regional Stores, Sinidih of Govindpur Area (No. III) of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Post Office Sonardih District Dhanbad that Shri Bijay Bahadur Singh, Store Keeper should be placed in Grade-1 (Clerical) and paid wages accordingly, from January, 1973 is justified? If so, to what relief is the said workman entitled?"

2. After notice to the parties the management has failed its written statement. Inspite of repeated chances being given to the union it has not filed written statement at all. With the consent of the parties the case was heard and at

the time of hearing management did not choose to examine any witness whereas union examined the concerned workman and proved as many as three documents marked Exts. W-1 to W-3.

- 3. The case of the management as made out in its written statement may be briefly stated thus. The concerned workman was an Attendance Clerk on 17-10-1971 at South Govindpur Colliery whose management was taken over by the Central Government with effect from 17-10-1971. After take over the workman was placed in Clerical Gr. II, according to recommendations of the Central Wage Board. While he was so working he was transferred to the Regional Store and was posted as Asstt. Store Keeper there at Sinidih in the month of December, 1972. Asstt. Store Keepers belong to Clerical Gr. II under the recommendations of the Central Wage Board. So after his transfer the concerned workman was allowed to draw wages admissible to Clerica Gr. II. The Central Wage Board recommendation also provide that a Store Keeper may be both in Gr. I or in Gr. II. According to the same recommendations as Asstt. Store Keeper is always in Gr. II, That being so the mangement was fully justified in placing the concerned workman in Clerical Gr. II after he was transferred to Regional Store and was posted there as Asstt. Store Keeper. The workman however was promoted to Gr. I in December, 1978 as recommended by Departmental Promotion Committee. Such being the position the union's demand that the concerned workmen should be placed in Gr. I from 1-1-73 is without any basis.
- 4. It may be stated that the union has not filed any written statement but has examined the concerned workman only as WW-1. He admits that in December 1971 he was transferred as Asstt. Store Keeper to Sinidih Regional Store and that he was promoted in December, 1978. In his deposition he never claims that after his transfer to Regional Store he ever worked as a General Store Keeper Gr. I. It is also not disputed that under the recommendations of the Central Wage Board a Store Keeper may be both in Gr. I and in Gr. II. Therefore even conceding for the sake of argument that sometime after his transfer the concerned workmen was doing the work of a Store Keeper that would not entitle him to be placed in Gr. I because while working as a Store Keeper he may still be in Gr. II. It is the positive case of the management that promotion from Gr. II to Gr. I is aways made by recommendations of the Departmental Promotion Committee. Law is well settled that a promotion is not a right for a workman. It depends upon his merit, as well as seniority. It is not the case of the workmen that even though he was eligible for promotion he was not considered. The documents relied upon by the union marked Exts W-1 to W-3 do not improve the case for the workman. They are mere recommendations and as such cannot clothe the workman with any right to be placed in Gr. I. Such being the position I do not see any merit in the demand of the union and hold that the said domand for placement of the concerned workman in Gr. I since January 1973 is not justified. The placement of the workman in Gr. I since December 1978 will however, stand. The reference is answered accordingly. There will be no order for costs.

B. K. RAY, Presiding Officer.

[No. L-20012[85]80-D.III(A)]

New Delhi, the 21st August, 1981

S.O. 2357.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bhagaband Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited. At and Post Office Bhagaband, District Dhanbad and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th August, 1981,

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,

Reference No. 39. of 1980

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Bhagaband Colliery of Mesers Bharat Coking Coal Limited, at and Post Office Bhagaband, District Dhanbad.

AND

Their workmen

PRESENT : APPEARANCES :

For the Employers.—Shri B. Joshi, Advocate. For the Workman.—Shri S. Bose, Secretary, Rashtriya Colliery Mazdoor Sangh.

STATE: Bihar.

INDUSTRY : Coal.

ANNEXURE

Dhanbad, dated, the 3rd August, 1981. AWARD

By Order No. L-20012|159|80-DJIII(A) dated the 8th 9th December, 1980, the Central Government being of opinion that an industrial dispute existed between the employers in relation to the management of Bhagaband Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited. At and Post Office Bhagaband, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the schedule attached to the order referred the same for adjudication to this Tribunal. The schedule attached to the order reads thus:

Whether the demand of the workmen of Bhagaband Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, at and Post Office Bhagaband, Distt, Dhanbad for upgradation of the workmen detailed in the Annexure below from clerical grade-II to clerical Grade-I is justified ? If so, to what relief are the said workmen entitled and from which date ?"

Serial No.	Name	· Present designation/ grade.	Date of appointment.	Demanded grade
1	2	3	4	5
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.	Shri S.K. Nag Shri Balsi Chatterjee Shri S.S. Chatterjee Shri Mathur Bouri Shri Kailash Lall Shri Sconath Prasad Shri Arbind Raha Shri R.K. Bose Shri S.K. Chatterjee Shri Seopujan Singh Shri N. N. Pandy Shri B. Mahato Shri Matai Singh Shri S.N. Jha Shri Anirudh Pd. Shri Munar Yedav Shri Dushrate Lal	Tysist Grade II —do— Clerks Gr. II —do— Bill Clerk Gr. II —do— Asstt. Store/Clerks Gr. II P.O's Clerks G. II Bill Clerks Gr. II Typist Gr. II P.F. Clerks Gr. II Magazine Clerks—II Bonus Clerks Gr. II Bill Clerk Gr. II A/C. Grade II —do—	18.9.63 18.9.63 15.5.51 1.4.54 1.7.55 1951 1.1.55 1.3.75 1.5.60 1.10.56 1974 1.11.63 1.5.56 1.7.71 1-10-71 3.1.58	Grade.I do

2. After notice to the parties only the management has filed its written statement. Inspite of repeated notice the union has not filed any written statement at all but still then Mr. S. Bose for the union submitted that he would contest the case of the management for the union without filing any written statement. Accordingly the case was heard on 24-7-81. None of the parties adduced any oral evidence. On behalf of the management two documents have been filed, namely, Exts. W-1 and M-2.

3. This case involves the claim of as many as seventeen workmen who have been named in the order of reference. The claim of the union is that the workmen named in the order of reference be upgraded from Clarical Gr. II to Gr. I. This shows that the seventeen workmen named in the order of reference were in Clerical Gr. II at the time the dispute arose. Management in its written statement admits that upgradation promotion from Gr. II to Gr. I according to norm followed by the management is made on the basis of areawise seniority subject to satisfactory performance. This stand of the management is borne out from the circular Ext. M-1 which has been marked on admission by the parties. It is also admitted by the management in its written state ment that there was upgradation promotion of some clerks from Gr. II to Gr. I in September 1977 in pursuance to the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee. At the time of making this upgradation/promotion areawise seniority was not however considered and recommendations were made by the Departmental Promotion Committee on the basis of colliery-wise seniority which was accepted and given effect to by the management. Ext. M-2 a document filed by the management and marked after formal proof was waived by the union shows that in the area in question there are as many as six collieries. Therefore according to the circular Ext. M-1 which prescribes the norm to be followed for upgradation promotion of clerks from G, II to Gr. I seniority of clerks in the entire area consisting of six collieries should have been considered. But admittedly as seen from the written statement of the management and as conceded by Mr. R. Joshi for the management this was not done and

Departmental Promotion Committee recommended cases for upgradation promotions on the basis of seniority in individual collieries. Such being the position the union's case that the case of the concerned workmen was over-looked by the Departmental Promotion Committee on account of following a wrong procedure contrary to the procedure laid down in Ext. M-1 has to be accepted. Mr. Bose only says that in view of the admitted position that the management has not followed the procedure laid down in Ext. M-1 for upgradation/promotions of clerks from Gr. II to Gr. I in September 1977 it should be asked to consider the case of the concerned workmen in accordance with the procedure laid down in Ext-M-1 afresh on the basis of the position that was existing immediately before the Departmental Promotion Committee made its recommendations in September, 1977 and to promote upgrade those clerks in Gr. II to I according to areawise seniority subject to their satisfactory performance. This contention of Mr. Bose is fully justified. I, therefore, hold that the cases of the concerned workmen for upgradation promotion from Gr. II to Gr. I are to be considered by the management afresh on the basis of the position that existed just before the Departmental Promotion Committee of the management considered some cases of upgradation promotion in September 1977 as admitted in the management's written statement according to the procedure laid down in Ext. M-1 and that on such consideration those of the concerned workmen who would fulfil the norm prescribed under Ext. M-1 (management's circular No. BCCL/PA-II/77/31000-80 dated 20th June, 1977) will be entitled to upgradation promotion from Gr. II to Gr. I will effect from the date they will be found fit. The reference is answered accordingly. These will be no order for costs. The departmental promotion committee of the management will consider the cases of the concerned workmen within three months from the date when the award came into force.

B. K. RAY, Presiding Officer.[No. L-20012(159)]80-D.HI/A)]P. V. SREEDHARAN, Desk Officer.

'	